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Abstract

Large quantities of pure synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) are important for preclinical research, drug development, and biological studies. These ODNs are synthesized on an automated synthesizer. It is inevitable that the crude ODN product contains failure sequences which are not easily removed because they have the same properties as the full length ODNs. Current ODN purification methods such as polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP HPLC), anion exchange HPLC, and affinity purification can remove those impurities. However, they are not suitable for large scale purification due to the expensive aspects associated with instrumentation, solvent demand, and high labor costs.

To solve these problems, two non-chromatographic ODN purification methods have been developed. In the first method, the full-length ODN was tagged with the phosphoramidite containing a methacrylamide group and a cleavable linker while the failure sequences were not. The full-length ODN was incorporated into a polymer through radical acrylamide polymerization whereas failure sequences and other impurities were removed by washing. Pure full-length ODN was obtained by cleaving it from the polymer. In the second method, the failure sequences were capped by a methacrylated phosphoramidite in each synthetic cycle. During purification, the failure sequences were separated from the full-length ODN by radical acrylamide polymerization. The full-length ODN was obtained via water extraction. For both methods, excellent purification yields were achieved and the purity of ODNs was very
satisfactory. Thus, this new technology is expected to be beneficial for large scale ODN purification.
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 General Introduction

Oligodeoxynucleotide (ODN) is an essential molecule that has high anticipation for a wide range of applications including therapeutic development, \(^1\) ODN drug production, \(^2\) and biological studies. \(^3\) For decades, ODN has been successfully made by automated synthesis using phosphoramidite chemistry and solid phase synthesis. After the synthesis, crude ODN is cleaved from the solid support containing full-length ODN and impurities (small organic molecules and failure sequences). To obtain pure ODN, the crude product has to be purified before the applications.

The most widely used methods for ODN purification are gel electrophoresis and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Although these methods work well in academic laboratory, they have disadvantages. They are suitable for small scale but limited for large scale ODN purification due to expensive instrument, large volume of harmful solvents, and intensive work.

From the problems described above, many researchers created alternative methods for ODN purification. Those are affinity purification, such as biotin-avidin extraction \(^4\) and fluorous chromatography. \(^5\) However, the methods still suffer from several drawbacks including high budget, labor, and timing. They are still not suitable for high-throughput purification.
1.2 The new ODN purification technology

To overcome the problems, we have developed new ODN purification technology. The principle of the new technology utilizes polymerization reaction to separate full-length ODN from impurities or remove impurities from full-length ODN. Therefore, it does not involve any types of chromatography. The purification is accomplished by simple operations, such as shaking, and filtration.

There are many polymerization reactions which can be used for ODN purification, such as atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), click polymerization, and Diels-Alder polymerization. However, the polymerization reaction that we decided to use is a radical acrylamide polymerization. The reaction is exact same as preparation of polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis. It is commonly used in biology and biochemistry research. In addition, the reaction involves low-cost materials. Therefore, this reaction is suitable for large scale ODN purification. We have designed two simple non-chromatographic methods which are presented as follows.

For the first method, the concept of ODN purification is illustrated in Scheme 1.1. During automated synthesis, the full-length ODN will be attached with the designed phosphoramidite containing a terminal deoxynucleotide, a polymerizable function, and a cleavable linker at 5’-end. However, the failure sequences will not. After the synthesis, purification is achieved by polymerization of full-length ODN. Only full-length ODN is incorporated into the polymer while the failure sequences are not. Then, the failure sequences and other impurities are removed by washing with water. Finally, the pure full-length ODN will be released by cleaving from the gel.
Scheme 1.1. General design of the first ODN purification method using catching full-length ODN by polymerization
The principle of the second method is to catch the failure sequences by polymerization. For each synthetic cycle, failure sequences are capped with the phosphoramidite consisting of polymerizable group when the full-length ODN is not. Only failure sequences are incorporated into the gel. Then, the pure full-length ODN are recovered by water extraction.

Scheme 1.2. General design of the second ODN purification method using catching failure sequences by polymerization

Two methods are complimentary to each other. For catching full-length ODN, it is suitable to purify long sequence ODN because it only requires the designed phosphoramidite for coupling at the last synthetic cycle. On the other hand, catching failure sequences is appropriate for purification of short sequence ODN since it is necessary to cap failure sequences with polymerizable group after each monomer addition. So, cleaving step is not required for the second method.
According to both methods, we designed three methacrylated phosphoramidites for ODN synthesis. These compounds are vital molecules for ODN purification. They are stable under ODN synthesis conditions. The compound 1.1 is used for ODN purification by catching full-length ODN (The first method). The structure consists of the methacrylamide group (polymerizable group) for polymerization, the diisopropyl silyl acetal group (cleavable linker), and thymidine as a terminal deoxynucleotide (Figure 1.1).

![Figure 1.1. Phosphoramidite 1.1](image)

The ODN synthesis using phosphoramidite 1.1 is shown in Scheme 1.3, the compound 1.1 will couple at 5’-OH of (n-1)-mer to get n-mer (1.4). The compound 1.1 will not be able to couple the failure sequences because the 5’-OH groups are capped with capping agent. After cleavage and deprotection, the crude mixture will contain 1.5, failure sequences, and small organic molecules.
Scheme 1.3. ODN synthesis for catching full-length ODN
As seen in Scheme 1.4, crude ODN will be polymerized by acrylamide radical polymerization. Only compound 1.5 which has methacrylamide group will be incorporated into the insoluble gel 1.6. Then, failure sequences and small organic molecules will be removed by washing with water. Cleaving the diisopropyl silyl acetal group of 1.6 will release pure full-length ODN 1.7.

Scheme 1.4. Catching full-length ODN by polymerization
The phosphoramidite 1.2 (Figure 1.2) containing only methacrylamide group is used as a capping agent to purify ODN by catching failure sequences (The second method). The method is illustrated in Scheme 1.5. Instead of normal capping agent, the failure sequences are capped with 1.2 to give 1.8. Since 5´-end of the full-length ODN is protected, it will not be capped by 1.2. After the synthesis, cleavage and deprotection will give crude mixture which comprises of failure sequences 1.9, full-length ODN 1.10, and small organic molecules.

During acrylamide radical polymerization, only 1.9 is polymerized into the gel to get insoluble gel (1.11) while the full-length ODN 1.10 is not. After that, water will be used to extract 1.10 from the gel. Then, $n$-BuOH precipitation will removes small organic molecules to give 1.10.

![Methacrylamide group](image)

*Figure 1.2. Phosphoramidite 1.2*
Scheme 1.5. Catching failure sequences by polymerization
For the compound 1.3, the functional groups are similar to the compound 1.1 (The methacrylamide group and the diisopropyl silyl acetal group). So, the method used for ODN purification is as same as the first ODN purification method. However, it has the bis(hydroxymethyl)malonate group which utilizes phosphate group to be linked at 5´-end of ODN.

![Phosphoramidite 1.3](image)

*Figure 1.3. Phosphoramidite 1.3*

The 5´-phosphorylated ODN synthesis using 1.3 is presented in Scheme 1.6. The compound 1.3 will couple with full-length ODN at 5´-end in the last synthetic cycle to get 1.12. On the other hand, the failure sequences will not be able to couple with 1.3 because the 5´-OH groups are already capped with capping agent. After cleavage and deprotection, the crude mixture will contain 1.13, failure sequences, and small organic molecules.

After the synthesis, crude ODN will be polymerized by polyacrylamide gel formation (Scheme 1.7). The ODN 1.13 will be polymerized into the insoluble gel 1.14. Then, water will be used to wash impurities. Next, cleaving the diisopropyl silyl acetal group will release ODN 1.15. Bis(hydroxymethyl)malonate on the phosphate group will be removed using ammonium hydroxide solution at 80 ºC. Lastly, n-BuOH precipitation will give pure 1.16.
Scheme 1.6. 5’-Phosphorylated ODN synthesis for catching full-length ODN
Scheme 1.7. Catching full-length 5′-phosphorylated ODN by polymerization
This dissertation focuses on our ODN purification technology. Background information about ODN including applications, synthesis, impurities during the synthesis, typical ODN purification methods, and ODN characterization is introduced in Chapter 2.

The specific task for the new ODN purification technology has been described in the subsequent chapters (Chapter 3-6). The synthesis of compound 1.1 and its purification method (Catching full-length ODN by polymerization) are reported in Chapter 3. The second purification method (Catching failure sequences by polymerization) using the capping agent 1.2 is explained in details in Chapter 4. Purification of 5′-phosphorylated ODN including the synthesis of phosphoramidite 1.3, long sequence ODN purification, and large-scale ODN purification using 1.3 is presented in Chapter 5. In addition, the future research plan with alternative acid-labile functional group for new phosphoramidite, further studies for catching failure sequences, and peptide purification is summarized in Chapter 6.
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Chapter 2

History and Background

2.1 Deoxyribonucleic acid

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is the molecule that contains genetic information for development and growth of living cells. DNA was discovered in 1869 by Friedrich Miescher.\(^1\) He reported that there was an unknown material isolated from cell’s nuclei. The properties of the substance were not as same as proteins or any recognized molecules. For example, protease pepsin could not digest this compound. Elemental analysis showed the material contains carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and hydrogen. However, it did not contain sulfur but significant amount of phosphorus. In addition, the compound was dissolved in basic solution and was precipitated in acidic or alkaline solutions. According to the isolation the material from the cell nuclei, he named DNA “nuclein”. He and his co-workers, Felix Hoppe-Seyler and P. Plosz, published the manuscript in 1871. After the discovery, Miescher continued analyzing DNA from animal’s cells and he found very interesting information. For instance, he was able to confirm that nuclein is in the form of phosphoric acid. Furthermore, he could estimate the atomic formula of nuclein in salmon sperm. Despite his success to obtain the analyses, Miescher never returned to find the conclusion of nuclein.

Since Miescher’s discovery, some scientists were interested to investigate more information about DNA. In 1890s, Albrecht Kossel isolated four nucleobases: adenine, cytosine, guanine, and thymine which are DNA’s key components. Later, Phoebus Levene was able to identify that each unit of DNA contains nucleobase and 2’-deoxyribose. In
1953, James Watson and Francis Crick proposed three dimensional structure of DNA based on the X-ray diffraction image (Figure 2.1). The picture was taken by Rosalind Franklin and Maurice Wilkins. They found that there are two strands of DNA existing in the form of double helix (Figure 2.2).

![X-ray diffraction image of DNA](image)

**Figure 2.1.** X-ray diffraction image of DNA [Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature* 1953, 171 (4356), 737-738, copyright 1953. See Appendix D. Permission from publishers.]

Double-stranded DNA consists of two deoxyribonucleic acid strands. Each strand has the backbone of deoxynucleotide. Each deoxynucleotide contains deoxynucleoside and phosphate group. The nucleoside comprises of 2'-deoxyribose (pentose sugar) and heterocyclic nucleobase (Figure 2.3). The nucleobase and deoxyribose are connected together with β-glycosidic bond. There are two types of nucleobases in DNA: purines and
pyrimidines (Table 2.1). Purines are the heterocyclic compounds containing both being fused five- and six-membered rings. They are adenine (A) and guanine (G).

Pyrimidines are six-membered ring heterocyclic compounds. They are cytosine (C) and thymine (T). Each deoxynucleotide has bonded with phosphate diester at 5' hydroxyl group to attach one unit and 3' hydroxyl group to attach the next unit. Both DNA strands have the specific sequences which are complementary to each other. They are held together by two weak forces: hydrogen bonding and nucleobase stacking interaction. Each nucleobase has the specific base pairing and number of hydrogen bonds. For example, adenine (A) and thymine (T) are formed with two hydrogen bonds, whereas cytosine (C) and guanine (G) are formed with three hydrogen bonds (Figure 2.4).[^4]

**Figure 2.2.** Double helical structure of DNA
Figure 2.3. Nucleosides and Nucleotides in DNA
Table 2.1. Purine and pyrimidine bases in DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purine</th>
<th>Pyrimidine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenine (A)</td>
<td>Cytosine (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanine (G)</td>
<td>Thymine (T)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.4. Hydrogen bonding for each base pair in double-stranded DNA
2.2 Oligodeoxynucleotide and applications

Oligonucleotide (ODN) is short single-stranded DNA. It has been widely used in medical and scientific research. Examples include antisense ODN drug, DNA sequencing, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), and synthetic biology for total gene synthesis.

2.2.1 Antisense ODN

The concept of antisense ODN is based on DNA-DNA or DNA-mRNA hybridization. The antisense sequence is designed and synthesized to selectively hybridize to the complementary strand of DNA or mRNA (It is also called sense sequence). After binding the target sequence, transcription or translation process will be terminated. This can suppress abnormal gene expression that can cause diseases. There are two ODN drugs on the market. Fomivirsen (Commercial name is Vitravene) is the first one that was approved by United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1998. Fomivirsen contains 21-mer oligodeoxynucleotides (Figure 2.5.1) that can be used to cure Cytomegalovirus retinitis (Inflammatory retina). The structure contains phosphothioate diester bond instead of phosphate diester bond. So, the compound is resistant to degradation by nucleases. In addition, Mipomersen (Commercial name is Kynamro) is the other antisense ODN drug that FDA has been approved in 2013. This drug has a specific sequence to hybridize to messenger RNA of Apolipoprotein B. Therefore, it can reduce Familial hypercholesterolemia (Cholesterol in blood stream).
The structure of Mipomersen contains 2'-deoxyribosenucleotides and 2'-$O$-methoxyethyl-modified ribonucleotides linked with phosphothiorate bonds (Figure 2.5.2). It’s commercially available in a form of sodium phosphothiorate.

*Figure 2.5.(1) structure of Fomivirsen (5'-GCG TTT GCT CTT CTTCTT GCG-3'), (2) structure of Mipomersen (5'-G*-C*-C*-U*-C*-AGTCTG-d(5-methyl-C)-TT-d(5-methyl-C)-G*-C*-A*-C*-C*-3')[* = 2'-$O$-(2-methoxyethyl)]
2.2.2 DNA sequencing

Another important application of ODN is DNA sequencing. DNA sequencing is to determine the order of deoxynucleotides in DNA sequence. One of the DNA sequencing methods that requires ODN is the Sanger method. It was created by Frederick Sanger and co-workers in 1977. The DNA sample is divided into four portions. Each portion contains ODN primers, DNA polymerase, and four standard deoxynucleosidetriphosphates (dNTPs) (dNTPs are dATP, dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP). However, only one of the modified dideoxynucleosidetriphosphates (ddNTP) (ddNTP is ddATP, ddGTP, ddCTP, or ddTTP) is added to each portion. These ddNTP are radioactively labeled or fluorescently labeled. The ODN primers hybridize to the target sequence. Then, DNA is elongated by coupling to dNTPs with polymerase catalysis. During elongation, ddNTP, which does not have 3´-OH group, is also incorporated into DNA, so it ceases the elongation. Heat-initiated denaturation releases DNA fragments from target strand. Those fragments are separated by gel electrophoresis. With the gel image of the different fragments from each portion, the target sequence of DNA is determined.

2.2.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

PCR also has to use ODN as a primer. It is a commonly used technique that can amplify DNA by producing numerous copies of a specific DNA sequence. This technology had been established in 1983 by Kary Mullis. The concept of PCR is to synthesize a complementary DNA strand according to the template strand. First of all, double-stranded DNA is heated to separate the two template strands. After that, primers (short ODN sequences that are complementary to the 3´ segment of the template sequences) bind to each template sequence at 3´-end. The next process is called elongation. DNA polymerase
synthesizes complementary DNA on the template sequence. Each new deoxynucleotide is added from 3’-end to 5’-end. Then, the process is terminated when the synthesis has reached to 5’-end. The process is usually repeated for multiple times. After PCR, a specific DNA sequence will be gathered in million to billion copies.

2.2.4 Total gene synthesis

Total gene synthesis requires thousands of ODNs. Gene synthesis is an important application for many areas of DNA technology, such as mutagenesis studies, gene expression, and gene engineering, etc. It is the method to make artificial genes using a combination of chemical reactions and biological technique. Since genes consists of very long double-stranded DNA, the synthesis needs to be partial ODN synthesis before assembling together. Firstly, sets of uniquely designed ODN segments are synthesized using solid phase synthesis with phosphoramidite chemistry. After ODNs are purified, each ODN segment is connected to each other using polymerase and precise annealing to get a complete genes. Currently, some researchers use PCR to improve the method which lower the cost and errors during the synthesis.

Furthermore, many ODN drug development projects are still underway. Large quantities of ODN have been highly demanded. As a result, ODN synthesis is essential for this research area as well.
2.3 ODN Synthesis

Due to the high demand of ODN, synthesis of ODN has become important. In the history of ODN synthesis, many scientists were interested to develop ODN synthesis methods using chemical reactions. Some methods have been successful and people are still using them. However, some of them have drawbacks and people are no longer using those methods. The history of ODN synthesis is briefly summarized below.

2.3.1 First ODN Synthesis Method

Todd and Michelson published the first ODN synthesis method in 1955. They reported the synthesis of dithymidyldeoxynucleotide using phosphorylchloridate method (Scheme 2.1). In the reaction, a phosphate linkage between two thymidines using 5′-benzoyl-3′-phosphonyl thymidine (2.4) and 3′-benzoyl thymidine (2.5) in the presence of 2,6-lutidine was formed. The mechanism of the synthesis is illustrated in Scheme 2.2. First, 3′-hydroxyl group of 2.1 was phosphonated with benzyl phosphonic diphenyl phosphoric anhydride to get H-phosphonate 2.2. The compound 2.2 can be tautomerized to get hydroxyphosphite 2.3 and was then converted to 2.4 using N-chlorosuccinimide. Next, 5′-hydroxyl group of 2.5 was coupled with the compound 2.4 to obtain 2.6 as a product. The synthesis appeared to be interesting. Nevertheless, there were some flaws in this method. In their report, the reaction between 2.4 and 2.5 was indeed slow. In addition, the phosphoryl chloride 2.4 was not stable since it could be hydrolyzed in the presence of moisture to get phosphoric acid. Therefore, 2.4 had to be generated in situ prior to coupling.
Scheme 2.1. First ODN Synthesis
Scheme 2.2. Mechanism of the first ODN Synthesis
2.3.2 Phosphodiester Method

In 1958, Khorana and his colleagues had developed the ODN synthesis after Todd and Michelson’s work. They introduced the phosphodiester method to synthesize ODN instead of preparing the hydrolysable phosphochloridates. Later, they published the synthesis of 72-mer transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA) using this method in 1970. The concept of the reaction is similar to a peptide coupling reaction. It is a condensation between reactants such as 5’-O-DMTr deoxynucleoside-3’-O-phosphate 2.7 and deoxynucleoside 2.9 using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as a coupling agent (Scheme 2.3).

![Scheme 2.3. ODN synthesis using phosphodiester method](image)

The mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.4. The phosphate group of 2.7 is activated with DCC to get the intermediate 2.8. Then, the free 5’-hydroxyl group of 2.9 is coupled with 2.8 to give dideoxynucleoside phosphate 2.10 and 1,3-dicyclohexylurea (2.11) as a side product.
Despite their success, Khorona and co-workers had found shortcomings. For instance, branched ODN was a major side-product during the synthesis. It was generated from the coupling between interdeoxynucleotide phosphate linkages and intermediate **2.8** (Scheme 2.5). Consequently, a laborious multi-step purification process was followed to remove branched contaminants after coupling. The other drawback was the long coupling
time. They reported that coupling reaction between \textbf{2.8} and \textbf{2.9} took up to two days to get a good yield. That would be a serious problem when this method was used to synthesize long strand ODN.

\textit{Scheme 2.5.} Branched ODN from phosphodiester synthesis
2.3.3 Introduction of Solid Support for ODN Synthesis

After successful peptide synthesis, solid-phase chemistry had been applied to the ODN synthesis. Robert Letsinger firstly introduced dimer and trimer ODN synthesis using solid support in 1963. In his paper, styrene-divinyl benzene polymer was used as a solid support to attach deoxycytidine (Scheme 2.6).\textsuperscript{14} Since 3´-hydroxyl group and 5´-hydroxyl group of 2.12 were protected with benzoyl group and DMTr group respectively, the amino group was coupled with 2.13 to give 2.14. ODN could be synthesized on this support by removal of DMTr group. Then, 5´-hydroxyl group would be ready for the next coupling with the other deoxynucleotide. Letsinger used the phosphotriester method for the synthesis. After the synthesis is complete, ODN was cleaved from the support with a strong base.
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\textit{Scheme 2.6.} Preparation of solid support using 5´-DMTr-protected dC.
Later, Letsinger found the best way to attach the initial nucleoside to the solid support. Instead of attaching to the amino group of nucleobase, the solid support was linked to the 3'-hydroxyl group of the nucleoside via an ester bond (Figure 2.6). Since the ester bond is more easily cleaved than the amide bond of the previous solid support (see 2.14), the new approach has been commonly used until nowadays. However, support swelling in organic solvent was still a main issue of the support.

\[ \text{DMTrO} \quad \text{Base} \]

\[ \bullet = \text{styrene-divinyl benzene polymer support} \]

**Figure 2.6.** The new version of solid support attaching to 3'-end of the initial nucleoside
2.3.4 Phosphotriester Method

Phosphotriester method was published in 1969 by Letsinger and Ogilvie.\textsuperscript{15} The reaction is a coupling between reactants such as 5’-O-DMTr-deoxynucleoside \textsuperscript{2.15} and deoxynucleoside-linked solid support \textsuperscript{2.18} (Scheme 2.7). Mesitylsulfonyl nitrotriazole \textsuperscript{2.16} (MSNT) was used as the coupling agent. The synthesis is similar to phosphodiester method, but the advantage is that the phosphate group \textsuperscript{2.16} was protected by a 2-cyanoethyl group. This avoided branched ODN which was generated in the previous version of phosphodiester method. In addition, 2-cyanoethyl group was simply removed with ammonium hydroxide (NH\textsubscript{4}OH).

![Scheme 2.7. ODN synthesis using phosphotriester method](image-url)
The mechanism of the synthesis is shown in Scheme 2.8. The phosphate group of 2.15 is reacted with 2.16 to get mixed anhydride 2.17. Then, 5’-hydroxyl group of 2.18 is coupled with 2.17 to obtain 2.19 as a product.

However, the major problem of this method was the coupling time. The coupling reaction acquired more than one and a half hour to complete. The other drawback is that the coupling is inefficient. It was reported that the average step-wise yield was low from the coupling step. Therefore, the method could not be used to synthesize ODN longer than 20-mer.
Scheme 2.8. Mechanism of ODN synthesis using phosphotriester method
2.35 $H$-Phosphonate Method

Todd and Michelson’s work on the first ODN synthesis motivated many researchers. Later, a new ODN synthetic method had been developed by two other research groups (Roger Stromberg’s group and Mark Matteucci’s group).\textsuperscript{16} This method used the $H$-Phosphonate chemistry. As shown in scheme 2.9, 3'-$H$-phosphonate-2'-deoxynucleoside (\textit{2.20}) and \textit{2.18} were used as starting materials to form the phosphonate interdeoxynucleotide linkage in \textit{2.22}. Pivaloyl chloride is a coupling agent to form phosphonate bond. The mechanism of the synthesis was presented in scheme 2.10.

\textit{Scheme 2.9.} ODN synthesis using $H$-phosphonate method
According to the Scheme 2.10, the compound 2.20 was acylated with pivaloyl chloride to get the intermediate 2.21 in the form of mixed phosphonic carboxylic anhydride. After that, 5’-hydroxyl group of 2.18 was coupled with 2.21 to obtain the phosphonate 2.22. Then, H-phosphonate 2.22 in the form of phosphorus (V) is converted to reactive hydroxyphosphite 2.23 in the form of phosphorus (III) via tautomerization. Next, the lone-pair electrons of phosphorus react with iodine to get iodophosphonium 2.24. Proton absorption using pyridine retrieves iodophosphate 2.25. Finally, hydrolysis of 2.25 in the presence of water and pyridine gives deoxynucleotide 2.26.

This approach has a major problem. H-phosphonate activation can cause side reactions. For example, the reaction requires two to five equivalents of pivaloyl chloride to achieve the high yield of product. The excess amount of pivaloyl chloride can cause acylation of phosphonate 2.22 to get acylphosphonate 2.27 (Scheme 2.11). Also, compound 2.20 can be acylated twice to produce bis-acyl phosphate 2.28. Then, 2.28 can react with two equivalents of 2.18 to form phosphitetriester 2.29 (Scheme 2.12). That will lower yield of the product because their side products cannot convert to get ODN 2.26.
Scheme 2.10. Mechanism of ODN synthesis using H-phosphonate method
Scheme 2.10 (Continued). Mechanism of ODN synthesis using H-phosphonate method
Scheme 2.11. Phosphonate acylation (side reaction) of H-phosphonate compound

Scheme 2.12. Double acylation (side reaction) of H-phosphonate group
2.3.6 Phosphite-triester Method

Since previous methods were suffering from side reactions and long coupling time, researcher tried to find ODN synthesis methods that are more effective than the former methods. In 1975, Letsinger and co-workers published the new ODN synthesis method called phosphite-triester method (Scheme 2.13). The concept of this reaction is using phosphorus (III) intermediate for coupling instead of phosphorus (V) intermediate. This is because phosphorus (III) compound has much higher reactivity than phosphorus (V) species. Therefore, the reaction time can be significantly reduced and the synthesis can be achieved in higher yields.
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Scheme 2.13. ODN synthesis using phosphite-triester approach
As illustrated in Scheme 2.14, 5′-hydroxyl group of 2.31 was reacted with phosphomonochloridite 2.30 via bimolecular nucleophilic substitution (SN2). Then, pyridine absorbed proton to give 2.32. The phosphorus of intermediate 2.32 is oxidized by iodine to get iodophosphonium 2.33. Then, water is used to hydrolyze 2.33 in the presence of pyridine to give phosphate trimester 2.34 as a product.

The major problem of this method is that the phosphomonochloridite is very sensitive to air. The lone-paired electrons on phosphorus can quickly react with oxygen to form inactive phosphate triester. It can also be hydrolyzed by moisture in air. Thus, the intermediate has to be freshly prepared for ODN synthesis.
Scheme 2.14. Mechanism of phosphite-triester approach
### 2.3.6 Contemporary ODN synthesis

By the time of early 1980s, there were two major problems for solid phase ODN synthesis. First, the organic polymer supports (see section 2.3.3) swells in organic solvents. That could block the flow of reagents and solvents when applying to the automated synthesis. Second, the nucleoside intermediates such as phosphonyl nucleoside (see section 2.3.1) and phosphomonochloridite (see section 2.3.6) were not stable. In early 1980s, a new approach of ODN synthesis, which successfully eliminated these problems, had been developed by Caruthers’ research group. The support swelling problem was resolved by using controlled pore glass (CPG). The other problem was overcome by phosphoramidite chemistry. The concept of the reaction resembles phosphite-triester method. However, they changed the leaving group of phosphorus (III) compound from chloride to a diisopropyl amino group. 2-Cyanoethyl (CE) group was used to replace the o-chlorophenyl protecting group. This is very magnificent because phosphoramidites are stable in a form of solid. It can be prepared beforehand and stored properly until needed. As a result, this approach is convenient for researchers and it has become widely used for decades.

There are four deoxynucleoside phosphoramidites for ODN synthesis: deoxyadenosine (dA) phosphoramidite, deoxyguanosine (dG) phosphoramidite, deoxycytidine (dC) phosphoramidite, deoxythymidine (dT) phosphoramidite (Figure 2.7). 5’-OH group of each phosphoramidite is also protected with 4,4´-dimethoxytrityl (DMTr) group. The exocyclic amino groups of dA, dC, and dG are protected to prevent side reactions during the coupling step. For standard ODN synthesis, the amino group of dA is protected by benzoyl (Bz) group. The amino group of dG is protected by isobutyryl (i-
The amino group of dC is protected by acetyl (Ac) group. Because dT does not have exocyclic amino group, it does not need any protection. For ultramild ODN synthesis, dC phosphoramidite and dT phosphoramidite are the same as those used in the standard ODN synthesis. However, the amino groups of dA and dG are protected by phenoxyacetyl (Pac) group and 4-isopropyl-phenoxyacetyl (i-Pr-Pac) group respectively (Figure 2.8).

Later, Caruthers and Hood developed automated system for ODN synthesis. The system combined solid phase synthesis and phosphoramidite chemistry. During collaboration, they formed a company named Applied Biosystems, Incorporated (ABI). They also exploited the first phosphoramidite DNA synthesizer which benefits to ODN research nowadays. The concept of automated synthesis is a synthetic cycle. For each cycle, addition of phosphoramidite takes place at 5’-end of ODN strand. The synthesis continues until the chosen sequence is complete. ODN synthetic cycle is illustrated in Scheme 2.15. It contains four steps that are described in the next section.
**Figure 2.7.** Deoxynucleoside phosphoramidites

**Figure 2.8.** Pac-dA-CE phosphoramidite and iPr-Pac-dG-CE phosphoramidite for Ultramild ODN synthesis
Scheme 2.15. ODN synthesis using phosphoramidite approach
2.3.7 ODN synthetic cycle

2.3.7.1 Detritylation

4,4´-Dimethoxytrityl (DMTr) group, which protects 5´-hydroxyl group of the initial deoxynucleoside, is removed in this step. Therefore, the incoming phosphoramidite monomer can couple at 5´ end. DMTr group is acid-labile enough that can be cleaved using acidic solution (2-3 %), such as dichloroacetic acid or trichloroacetic acid in dichloromethane. The mechanism of detritylation is shown in Scheme 2.16. Oxygen atom at 5´ position of 2.35 is protonated by the acid. Then, orange-colored DMTr cation (2.37) is released from the CPG-linked nucleoside to get 2.36. The cation is stable because it can form several resonance structures (Scheme 2.17).

Scheme 2.16. Mechanism of detritylation
2.3.7.2 Coupling

When detritylation is finished, the solution of phosphoramidite monomer is delivered. The phosphoramidite is activated by a weak acid catalyst such as 1H-tetrazole, 4,5-dicyanoimidazole (DCI), etc. Then, the activated phosphoramidite is coupled at 5’-hydroxyl group of CPG-linked nucleoside. The most common weak acid used for activation is 1H-tetrazole. The pKa of 1H-tetrazole is 4.9, which is adequately acidic to activate phosphoramidite but not acidic enough to deprotect DMTr group. However, some researchers considered 1H-tetrazole as an explosive compound.\(^{21}\) In addition, there were reports that 1H-tetrazole could cause premature detritylation, which results addition sequences, so replacing it with the other activating agent, such as 4,5-dicyanoimidazole (DCI) was pursued.\(^{22}\) Although DCI is less acidic than 1H-tetrazole (pKa = 5.2), it can activate phosphoramidite efficiently. It is also less harmful than 1H-tetrazole for large-scale ODN synthesis.

The mechanism of phosphoramidite activation and coupling is proposed in Scheme 2.18, the diisopropylamino group in 2.38 is protonated by 1H-tetrazole to generate a good leaving group of diisopropylammonium. Next, tetrazole anion is acted as a nucleophile to displace the diisopropylammonium to get 2.39 and diisopropylamine. Then,
5’ hydroxyl group of 2.36 attacks to the P(III) atom of 2.39 to form phosphite-triester 2.40.

In the final step, the proton is absorbed by diisopropylamine to form 2.41 as a side product.

Scheme 2.18. Mechanism of phosphoramidite activation and coupling
2.3.7.3 Capping

In spite of rapid coupling of phosphoramidite, it is inevitable that the reaction cannot be 100% complete. There are a few unreacted 5'-unreacted hydroxyl groups, which result from failed coupling. These can be available to react with the phosphoramidite monomer in the next cycle. This will generate deletion sequences that miss one nucleotide (Figure 2.9). If the ODN synthesis is left unchecked, deletion sequences accumulate from each synthetic cycle. The final ODN crude product would contain a complexed mixture of full-length sequence and deletion sequences. That would make purification very challenging.

![Deletion sequences](image)

*Figure 2.9.* Example of deletion sequence (right). The dG at 8th position was missing compared to the full-length sequence (left)

To solve the problem, 5'-unreacted hydroxyl groups are blocked using a capping agent to terminate the failure sequences. There are two standard capping solutions on DNA synthesizer. One is the mixture of acetic anhydride and small amount of pyridine in THF. The other is N-methylimidazole (NMI) in THF. For Ultramild synthesis, phenoxyacetic anhydride is used instead of acetic anhydride because it avoids undesired side reactions. During capping, these two solutions are delivered to the synthesis column at the same time.
The mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.19. 1-Methylimidazole is reacted with acid anhydride to get intermediate 2.42. Then, unreacted 5'-hydroxyl group of 2.36 is acylated with 2.42 to get capped ODN 2.43.

Scheme 2.19. Mechanism of capping
2.3.7.4 Oxidation

The newly formed phosphite-triester bond, which contains phosphorus (III), is not stable to acid. So, it has to be converted to stable phosphorus (V) species by oxidation before detritylation of the next cycle. The standard oxidizing agent, which is commonly used, consists of iodine (I$_2$), water, and organic weak base (pyridine, 2,6-lutidine, or collidine) in THF. The mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.20. Phosphite-triester 2.40 is transformed to iodophosphonium 2.44 by iodine. Then, hydrolysis of 2.44 using water and proton removal using pyridine gives product 2.45. Since the next coupling reaction could be inhibited by water residue from the oxidizing agent, some researchers prefer to carry out the capping step after oxidation. Thereby, the solid support could be more likely to be dry before the next cycle.

Alternative oxidizing agents have been studied. For example, some researchers had developed non-aqueous oxidizing agents for anhydrous conditions. Examples include tert-butyl hydroperoxide ($t$-BuOOH), cumene hydroperoxide, bis-trimethylsilyl peroxide, etc.$^{23}$ However, these are not widely used because they are not stable. All of them must be prepared prior to use for the synthesis. ($1S$)- (+)-(10-Camphorsulfonyl)-oxaziridine (CSO) is the other commercially available non-aqueous oxidizer. It is very useful for synthesis of certain special ODNs which can be degraded by iodine. Examples include DNA-phosphonoacetate,$^{24}$ and ODN containing 7-deaza-deoxyguanosine.$^{25}$
Scheme 2.20. Mechanism of oxidation
2.3.8 Cleavage and Deprotection

The previous four steps are repeated as one cycle for adding each nucleoside phosphoramidite monomer until the ODN synthesis is complete. After that, ODN on the solid support, which is attached to CPG, is released from the solid support by linker cleavage. Also, all of the protecting groups on the nucleobases and phosphates are deprotected. These are achieved using concentrated ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH, 28%) solution. Ammonium hydroxide is the most widely used reagent for this step because it can cleave and deprotect ODN simultaneously. As seen in Scheme 2.21, mechanisms are described in the followings:

2.3.8.1 Cleavage ODN from solid support

The succinyl ester linker, which connects 3’-end of synthetic ODN to CPG, is readily cleaved by aminolysis. In the Scheme, ammonia acts as a nucleophile to react the carbonyl group of succinyl ester. Then, the ODN is cleaved from the CPG. For automated synthesis, ammonium hydroxide solution is usually delivered to the CPG for four times. Each time, cleavage reaction is allowed to proceed for 15 minutes. Argon was used to flush the ODN solution to a glass vial. Manual cleavage of ODN can also be achieved by shaking the CPG with ammonium hydroxide solution.
2.3.8.2 Phosphate deprotection

The 2-cyanoethyl groups, which protect phosphate groups during the entire synthesis are removed. As shown in Scheme 2.21, a proton on the α-carbon next to cyano-group is quickly deprotonated by ammonia. Then, β-elimination gives ODN in a form of ammonium phosphate and acrylonitrile as a by-product. In addition, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU), which is a non-nucleophilic base, can also be used for deprotecting 2-cyanoethyl groups.

2.3.8.3 Nucleobase deprotection

Protecting groups on nucleobases are deprotected by simple amide aminolysis. For normal conditions, the nucleobase with standard protecting group is treated in ammonium hydroxide solution at 55°C for 8 to 15 hours. For Ultramild conditions, protecting groups can be removed in the same solution but room temperature for 1 to 2 hours.
Scheme 2.21. Mechanism of cleavage, phosphate deprotection, and nucleobase deprotection.
2.3.8.4 Quenching of by-product

Acrylonitrile, which is generated from phosphate deprotection, is a Michael acceptor. It is reactive enough to react with nucleobases through Michael addition at room temperature. That causes undesired and modified ODN. As illustrated in Scheme 2.22, amino group of adenine, cytidine, and guanine can react with acrylonitrile to get 2-cyanoethyl adduct. Michael addition of thymine needs ammonia to deprotonate the proton at N-3 position of thymine. Then, the nucleophile reacts acrylonitrile to get the thymine adduct (Scheme 2.23).

Scheme 2.22. Michael addition of adenine, cytidine, and guanine with acrylonitrile
Since Michael addition is a reversible reaction, un-modified nucleobases can be retrieved by NH₄OH. Excess ammonia will deprotonate the proton on the carbon which is next to the electron withdrawing cyano-group. Then, β-elimination would give unmodified nucleobases (Scheme 2.24). The excess ammonia also scavenges the remaining acrylonitrile to give 3-aminopropanenitrile which is no longer Michael acceptor (Scheme 2.25).

Some researchers adjusted the method to avoid Michael adducts. This was achieved by completing phosphate deprotection before cleavage and nucleobase deprotection. CPG-bound ODN was treated with an organic weak base in an organic solvent, such as 10 % diethylamine in acetonitrile, 10 % DBU in acetonitrile, or 1:1 triethylamine/acetonitrile. Phosphate groups are deprotected while ODN remains on the CPG. Acrylonitrile cannot react any nucleobases which are still protected. Thus, it will be washed away by the organic solvent.
Scheme 2.24. Reversed Michael reaction in excess of ammonia

Scheme 2.25. Mechanism of scavenging acrylonitrile in ammonia
2.4 Impurities in Crude ODN

After automated synthesis, final crude ODN contains the mixture of full-length ODN and other impurities. The amount of impurities and the yield of pure ODN depends on quantity of synthesis, quality of solvents and reagents, and cautiousness of operating automated synthesizer. For instance, 20-mer ODN synthesis typically contains 40-70% of full-length ODN and 30-60 % of impurities. There are several types of impurities in crude ODN.26

2.4.1 Small Organic Molecules

Mostly, they are generated from removing the protecting groups. Examples include acetamide, benzylamide, isobutylamide, 3-aminopropanenitrile etc. Since they are neutral molecules, they can be easily removed by ethanol or \( n\)-BuOH precipitation27 from an aqueous buffer. ODN, which is negative charged in the buffer, is insoluble in ethanol or \( n\)-BuOH. Then, ODN is precipitated. The small molecules are soluble and remaining in the supernatant. Besides precipitation, size-exclusion chromatography can be used to remove small organic molecules (See 2.5.1).

2.4.2 Failure Sequences

2.4.2.1 Usual failure sequences

They are generated from the coupling step due to incomplete coupling. The longer the strand of ODN is synthesized, the more failure sequences are produced. Those sequences will be capped with a capping agent, such as acetic anhydride, or phonoxyacetic anhydride (For Ultramild conditions) to stop elongation which cause deletion sequences.
Because the properties of the failure sequences are similar as the full-length ODN, removing failure sequences are challenging.

2.4.2.2 Unusual failure sequences

(1) Deletion sequences

They can be produced from incomplete capping. The failure sequences without capping still have free 5’-OH groups. These hydroxyl groups can couple with the coming phosphoramidite monomer in the next synthesis cycles. Hence, the sequences will be missing a nucleotide (Scheme 2.26).

\[ \text{Scheme 2.26. Formation of deletion sequence} \]
(2) Addition sequences

Addition sequences are the sequences that contain one or more extra nucleobases. They are resulted from premature detritylation. So, the phosphoramidite monomer can be added to the ODN strand twice (Scheme 2.27).

![Scheme 2.27. Formation of addition sequence during coupling step](image)

For deletion sequences and addition sequences, they are very difficult to remove. Luckily, they occur in very small amount in crude ODN because the conditions for contemporary ODN synthesis have been designed to prevent this type of impurities. Thus, the main task of ODN purification is focusing on removing failure sequences from the full-length ODN.
2.5 Current Methods for ODN Purification and Limitations

Purification is a major task for ODN production for many applications. Typically, there are several methods to purify crude ODN.

2.5.1 Size-exclusion Chromatography

The principle of size-exclusion chromatography is separation of molecules by size. The packing material in the column contains a lot of pores. When crude ODN solution is loaded, smaller molecules enter deeply in the pores. So, they are eluted out slowly. On the other hand, ODN cannot penetrate in those pores because it is a larger molecule. Thus, it is eluted quickly through the column.

Size-exclusion Chromatography works well for the separation of ODN from small organic molecules with a minimum volume of eluate. Nevertheless, the method has a problem. The method cannot separate impurities which their sizes are similar to the size of full-length ODN. In addition, the method gives a good resolution when doing small scale. Large scale purification using this chromatography can cause a poor resolution.

2.5.2 Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE)

PAGE has the best resolution to separate pure ODN from impurities. It is suitable to purify ODN containing more than 60 nucleobases. It can separate and retrieve pure ODN depending on charges on the molecules. The method requires polyacrylamide gel. Despite high purity of ODN, this method is mostly used for qualitative analysis (μg-mg). For purification, only at very small scale is applicable.
2.5.3 High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

HPLC is the most popular method for quantitative and qualitative analysis of ODN. The separation using the method is based on the properties of ODN and column absorbents. There are two kinds of HPLC that are used to purify ODN: reverse-phase HPLC and ion-exchange HPLC.

2.5.3.1 Reverse-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC)

The separation using RP-HPLC is based on the difference of hydrophobic interaction between absorbent and compound when the sample is eluted by a mobile phase. For absorbent, it is the modified silica containing hydrophobic long-chain alkyl group (e.g. -C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{37} or -C\textsubscript{8}H\textsubscript{17}). There are two standard mobile phases required for elution. One is a polar mobile phase (usually 0.1 M triethyl ammonium acetate). The other is a non-polar mobile phase (usually 90-100% acetonitrile). The elution will typically be gradient beginning from 100 % polar mobile phase to 100 % non-polar mobile phase.

After automated synthesis, the 5’-end of full-length ODN contains DMTr group while impurities (failure sequences and small organic molecules) do not. Since DMTr group is hydrophobic, so the interaction of DMTr-on ODN with the absorbent is stronger than that of impurities during gradient elution. Therefore, impurities are eluted out before pure DMTr-on ODN is eluted. After separation, DMTr group on full-length ODN is removed by detritylation (usually 80% acetic acid) to give pure ODN. However, this method has some drawbacks. It requires large amount of solvent, expensive HPLC column and machine. Also, it is time-consuming to finish purification in the large batches.
2.5.3.2 Ion-exchange HPLC (IX-HPLC)

IX-HPLC is the other HPLC method that can purify ODN. It is based on the charges interactions between ODN and the ions stationary phase. An additional drawback of this method is the eluent containing non-vaporizable salts. Consequently, the purified ODN needs to be desalted which requires another step before use.

2.5.4 ODN Cartridge Purification

The concept of cartridge purification is similar to RP-HPLC. However, pure ODN can be separated from impurities by gravity. So, it does not need high pressure and the HPLC instrument. The drawback of this method is that it is highly expensive when doing high-throughput purification of ODN due to large column, large volume of harmful solvents. Also, the method itself is very slow.

2.5.5 Affinity Purification

Affinity purification is the method that separate ODN from impurities by specific interaction. Examples are biotin-avidin affinity purification\textsuperscript{28} and fluorous chromatography.\textsuperscript{26, 29}

2.5.5.1 Biotin-avidin affinity purification

This method utilizes the interaction between biotin and avidin to purify ODN. During ODN synthesis, full-length ODN is tagged with biotin while the failure sequences are not. Therefore, only full-length ODN will bind with avidin beads in purification process. Failure sequences are washed away and pure full-length ODN is obtained from
the beads. The problem of this method is that avidin coated beads are very expensive. So, large scale purification could be concerned.

2.5.5.2 Fluorous chromatography

The concept of fluorous chromatography is the same as the Biotin-avidin method. However, the separation is based on the interaction of fluorous-attached ODN and fluorinated absorbent. During automated synthesis, the full-length ODN is tagged with fluorousdimethoxytrityl group but the failure sequences are not. Then, crude ODN is loaded in the Fluoro-Pak column that contains fluorinated organic groups on polymeric resins. Since the full-length ODN (with fluorous tag) has stronger affinity with the absorbent than failure sequences, full-length ODN elutes slower and separate from failure sequences which elute earlier. Nonetheless, the method has disadvantages. It needs large column to scale up the purification which is high cost. In addition, the fluorous column is not reusable.
2.6 ODN Characterization

After purification, ODN are characterized to confirm its identity. ODN is a large and non-volatile molecule. One way to prove the identity of ODN is molecular mass. There are two methods used for obtaining molecular mass of ODN.

2.6.1 Matrixed Assisted Laser Desorption and Ionization Time-of-Flight (MALDI-TOF) Mass Spectroscopy

MALDI-TOF is the technique that can be used to analyze mass of biomolecules. For ODNs, it can determine the molecular mass of sequences up to 50-mer. Sample is usually prepared by mixing the ODN and a matrix homogenously (eg. Dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB), 3-hydroxypicolinic acid (HPA), α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA), Picolinic acid, etc.) in organic solvents. The mixture is put on a plate and the solvents are evaporated to give dried-droplet spot. The target is loaded into MALDI-TOF machine. After that, UV laser irradiates the sample spot. Matrix absorbs UV and transforms it to heat energy. Then, matrix heats quickly and leads ODN desorption. The protonized matrix gives protons to the ODN. Then, ODN becomes ions (Ionization). Since the energy from the laser is not direct to the ODN, the molecular mass can be found in a low fragmentation level. After that, the ions are analyzed by Time of flight (TOF) analyzer which determine molecular mass of ODN by ion flight time.
2.6.2 Electrospray Ionization (ESI) Mass Spectroscopy

ESI is suitable for ODN containing up to 150 nucleobases. The ODN sample in a form of solution is sprayed and ionized to get charged droplets in the electrospray chamber. Next, the volatile organic solvent on droplets is desolvated to get ions. Afterward, ions with varied charges will travel to detector at different times. Mass to charge ratio of each ion is measured by detector. Then, the result will be shown on a mass spectrum.
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Abstract

Synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides are purified with use of a catching by polymerization, washing, and releasing approach. The method does not require any chromatography, and purification is achieved by simple operations such as shaking, washing, and extraction. It is therefore useful for large-scale purification of synthetic oligonucleotide drugs. In addition to purification of oligonucleotides, this catching by polymerization concept is expected to be equally useful for purification of other synthetic oligomers such as peptides and oligosaccharides.
3.1 Introduction

There is a high expectation on using synthetic oligonucleotides (ONs) as therapeutics to cure human diseases.1 Currently, one such agent is used clinically and more are on various stages of clinical trials. In addition, numerous projects with the goal of developing ON drugs through selective gene silencing are in progress. As a result, large quantities (kilograms to metric tons) of pure synthetic ONs are needed for preclinical research, clinical trials, and patient use.2 Due to the advancement of solid phase synthesis technology, large-scale ON synthesis has become possible. However, the crude ON contains various impurities, which must be removed for most applications. Current purification technologies include trityl-on reverse phase (RP) HPLC, anion exchange HPLC, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), and various types of affinity chromatography.3 These methods are either unsuitable or highly expensive for large-scale purification, and ON purification has been considered as a bottleneck in antisense drug development.2 In this communication, we report the use of an unprecedented concept; catching by polymerization; for the development of a simple method for ON purification.4 This method is easy to perform and uses inexpensive materials, and therefore is suitable for large-scale purification.

3.2 Results and Discussion

The major impurities in crude ON are the failure sequences generated in the coupling step in each synthetic cycle. For a typical 20-mer oligodeoxynucleotide (ODN) synthesis, the yield of full-length ODN is 40-70% depending on the scale of the synthesis, and 30-60% of ODNs are failure sequences.3f During automated synthesis, the failure
sequences are capped with acetic anhydride in each synthetic cycle to prevent them from coupling with phosphoramidite monomers in the next synthetic cycles. We reasoned that if a phosphoramidite that contains a reversibly linked polymerizable function is used in the last synthetic cycle, it will only be coupled to the 5’-end of the full-length ODN. As a result, after synthesis, cleavage, and deprotection, all full-length ODN will contain a polymerizable group while the failure sequences will not. The full-length sequence can then be incorporated into a polymer through a simple copolymerization process, and failure sequences and other impurities can be removed by simple washing. Pure ODN can then be obtained by cleaving from the polymer. If successful, this purification method does not need any chromatography and expensive solid phase affinity extraction materials, and purification can be achieved by simple operations such as shaking, washing, and extraction.

The polymerization reaction we chose for this process was the radical acrylamide polymerization reaction. This reaction uses inexpensive materials, and is widely used by biologists and biochemists for PAGE. The required phosphoramidite 3.1 that contains the polymerizable function (the methacrylamide) and the cleavable linker (the diisopropyl silyl acetal) was synthesized using the route shown in Scheme 3.1. The amino tertiary alcohol 3.3 was prepared by using 3.2 with a known procedure we reported previously.5 This compound was acrylated with methacryloyl chloride to give 3.4, which was coupled with thymidine by diisopropylsilyl bis(trifluoromethane sulfonate) to give 3.5. Phosphinylation of 3.5 with Beaucage’s method provided phosphoramidite 3.1.3a
Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite 3.1

To prove the purification concept, the 20-mer ODN 3.6 (see Scheme 3.2) was synthesized on a 1 μmol scale with standard phosphoramidite chemistry on CPG on a synthesizer. To perform the cleavage and deprotection with ammonium hydroxide at room temperature, the UltraMild DNA synthesis conditions were used (see in the experimental section). In the last synthetic cycle, phosphoramidite 3.1 was used to incorporate the last nucleotide and the polymerizable methacrylamide group.
The ODN synthesis result was analyzed with RP HPLC (Figure 3.1). The full-length sequence 3.6 appeared at 57 min due to the hydrophobic group at its 5’-end. Most impurities including failure sequences 3.7 have a retention time between 15 and 20 min.

![Figure 3.1. RP HPLC profile of crude ODN](image)

For polymerization, the crude ODN (~50 nmol) was dissolved in water. The polymerization monomer N,N-dimethylacrylamide and a small amount of cross-linking agent N,N’-methylenebis(acrylamide) were added. The polymerization was initiated by ammonium persulfate and TMEDA (Scheme 3.2). The reaction is not very sensitive to air but it was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. The polyacrylamide gel 3.8 was formed within 30 min at room temperature. To ensure completion of the reaction, the gel was allowed to stand for another 30 min. At this stage, the full-length ODN 3.6 was incorporated into the polymer 3.8 while impurities including failure sequences 3.7 remained in solution. Water was added to the gel to extract the impurities. The extract was analyzed with RP HPLC. As shown in Figure 3.2, the full-length ODN 3.6 was completely incorporated into the gel.
Scheme 3.2. Purification of ODN with use of the catching by polymerization, washing, and releasing approach
After drying the gel under vacuum thoroughly, the full-length unmodified ODN 3.9 was cleaved with HF-pyridine in DMF at room temperature. Excess HF was quenched with Me₃SiOMe (Scheme 3.2). The supernatant was removed, and the gel was washed with water. The supernatant and water were combined. Volatiles, which included Me₃SiF, (i-Pr)₂SiF₂, MeOH, pyridine, DMF, and water, were evaporated, and the ODN was analyzed with RP HPLC. As shown in trace a (Figure 3.3), failure sequences (3.7) and other impurities were completely removed, and ODN 3.9 was pure. Due to its lower lipophilicity than 3.6, 3.9 has a retention time of 19 min. The recovery yield for the purification process was estimated to be 72% by comparing the area of the peak in trace a (Figure 3.3) at 19 min with the area of the peak in Figure 3.1 at 57 min. This yield is higher than those typically obtained with trityl-on and fluorous phase purification methods. We anticipated that the yield of this new technology could be further increased when the process is used for larger scale ODN purification. By comparing Figure 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we can also conclude that the diisopropyl silyl acetal linker is stable during ODN synthesis, cleave, deprotection, acrylamide gel formation, and extraction of failure sequences.
The identity of ODN 3.9 was established by comparing with an authentic sample synthesized under standard conditions and purified with RP HPLC by a company (Figure 3.4, trace b). As shown in Figure 3.3 (trace a), a co-injection of 3.9 purified by using our technology with an authentic sample gave a single peak. The HPLC profile for the authentic sample is shown in trace b of Figure 3.4. MALDI-TOF analysis of 3.9 also gave correct molecular weight. Because damaged ODNs resulting from nucleobase modifications under radical polymerization conditions may not be able to be detected by HPLC and MALDI-TOF, the four nucleosides, adenosine, thymidine, guanosine, and cytidine, were subjected to the polymerization conditions, and then recovered from the polyacrylamide gel by extraction. HPLC analysis showed that these compounds are completely stable under the polymerization conditions (see in the experimental section).
This new ON purification technology has significant advantages over known ones. The most widely used method for ON purification is trityl-on RP HPLC. Compared with this method and other methods that use more hydrophobic tags than DMTr,\textsuperscript{3c} our technique does not need any capital expenses including those for the highly expensive and consumable preparative columns. In addition, our technique only needs a minimum amount of organic solvents. The polymerization, removing failure sequences, and extraction of full-length ON are all carried out with water as the solvent. Furthermore, our method is expected to give better results for purification of ONs that are prone to adopt secondary structures and phosphorothioates that contain different diastereoisomers. These materials...
usually gave broad peaks in chromatography. In the literature, ONs have also been purified with fluorous affinity chromatography and biotin-avidin enabled affinity extraction. Compared with these methods, our technique does not need any expensive affinity materials such as fluorous affinity column and avidin coated beads. ON purification methods involving capping failure sequences with reagents that contain reactive groups such as a diene were also proposed. Using these methods, failure sequences could be removed by extraction with dienophile functionalized microspheres through a Diels-Alder reaction. Compared with these methods, our technology does not need any reactive group functionalized microspheres, which could be highly expensive. In addition, due to the intrinsically lower reaction rate of heterogeneous reactions than homogeneous reactions, and the fact that only groups on the surface of solids can participate in reactions and the majority of groups within the solids cannot, to efficiently remove failure sequences, the previously proposed methods require a large excess of solid phase extraction materials, which will prevent them from being used for large-scale ON purification. In contrast, our technology does not rely on any heterogeneous reactions for purification. In principle, the ratio of the polymerization monomer over ON can be kept to a minimum as long as the steric bulkiness of ON does not significantly slow down the polymerization.
3.3 Conclusion

We have developed a new method for the purification of synthetic ON. This method is based on an unprecedented concept, which is catching by polymerization. With use of this method, purification was achieved by simple operations such as shaking, washing, and extraction; and the method does not require any expensive equipment and materials. As a result, it is suitable for large-scale purification. Application of this new concept for the purification of other synthetic oligomers such as RNA, modified ON, peptides, and oligosaccharides is in progress.

3.4 Experimental Section

General Experimental

All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Reagents and solvents available from commercial sources were used as received unless otherwise noted. Toluene, benzene and THF were distilled from Na/benzophenone ketyl. 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,4-dioxane, CH₂Cl₂ and pyridine were distilled over CaH₂. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Sigma-Aldrich TLC plates, silica gel 60F-254 over glass support, 0.25 μm thickness. Flash column chromatography was performed using Selecto Scientific silica gel, particle size 32-63 μm. Melting points were determined using a MEL-TEMP® melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. GC-MS were measured on GCMS-QP5050A, Shimadzu; column, DB-5MS, 0.25 μm thickness, 0.25 mm diameter, 25 m length; MS, positive El. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on a Varian UNITY INOVA spectrometer at 400, 100 and 162 MHz, respectively; chemical shifts (δ) were reported in reference to solvent peaks.
(residue CHCl₃ at δ7.24 ppm for ¹H and CDCl₃ at δ77.00 ppm for ¹³C; residue CHD₂OD at δ3.31 ppm for ¹H and CD₂OD at δ49.00 ppm for ¹³C) and H₃PO₄ (at δ0.00 ppm for ³¹P). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan Mat 95XL spectrometer. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu Biotech Axima CFRplus spectrometer. ODNs were synthesized on an ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer. HPLC was performed on a JASCO LC-2000Plus System, Pump PU-2089Plus Quaternary Gradient Pump, Detector UV-2075Plus. C-18 reverse phase analytical column (100Å, 250 3 4.6 mm). Solvent A: 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate, 5% acetonitrile; solvent B: 90% acetonitrile; all profiles were generated by detection of absorbance of DNA at 260 nm using the linear gradient solvent system: solvent B (0-45%) in solvent A over 60 min at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Aqueous NH₄OH (~29%), HF-pyridine (HF,~70%; pyridine, ~30%) and Me₃SiOMe were purchased from Aldrich Inc. THF/pyridine/Pac₂O, succinic ester linked DMTr-dT-lcaa-CPG (pore size 1000 Å) and 5’-DMTr, 2-cyanoethylphosphoramidites acetyl-dC, Pac-dA, 4-isopropyl-Pac-dG and dT were purchased from Glen Research, Inc.

**Synthesis of compound 3.2.**

![Synthesis of compound 3.2.](image)

Succinic anhydride (15.0 g, 150 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added in a round bottomed flask, and the flask was flushed with nitrogen. THF (500 mL) was added via syringe. The solution was cooled to 0 °C. Methyl magnesium bromide in ether (3.0 M, 100 mL, 300
mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added via syringe slowly. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt gradually, and then heated to 50 °C for 12 h. A yellow solution was formed. After cooling the reaction mixture to rt, AcOH was added until pH = 4, and stirring was continued for an additional 12 h. Water (75 mL) was added, and THF and ether were removed under reduced pressure. The green suspension was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL × 5), and the organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. Purification by vacuum distillation gave 3.2 (9.7 g, 46%) as brown oil. This compound has been synthesized using several different methods in the literature.¹¹-¹³

Synthesis of compound 3.3.

A procedure reported previously by us was followed.³c,⁵ Compound 3.2 (2.7 g, 19.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.), 1,12-diaminododecane (9.6 g, 47.7 mmol, 2.5 eq.), and water (8.0 mL) were combined. The solution was refluxed under a nitrogen atmosphere overnight. A yellow solution was formed. After cooling to rt, water was removed under reduced pressure. The yellow oily residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, Et₂O/CH₃OH/CH₃CN/Et₃N = 5 : 2 : 2 :1) to give 3.3 as a light yellow solid (2.0 g, 30%).

\[ R_f = 0.5 \text{(Et}_2\text{O/CH}_3\text{OH/CH}_3\text{CN/Et}_3\text{N} = 5 : 2 : 2 :1)} \]

\[ ^1\text{H NMR (400 MHz,CDCl}_3) \delta \text{ 5.67 (br s, 1H), 3.23-3.18 (m, 2H), 2.67 (t, 2H, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz), 2.25 (t, 2H, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz), 2.02 (br s, 3H), 1.74 (t, 2H, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz), 1.50-1.40 (m, 8H), 1.33-1.20 (m, 16H), 0.85 (t, 6H, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz).} \]
Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 174.2, 73.9, 42.2, 39.9, 33.7, 31.0, 30.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.7, 29.4, 27.1, 27.0, 8.1; HRMS (ESI, [M + H]$^+$) m/z calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{42}$N$_2$O$_2$ 343.3325, found 343.3321.

**Synthesis of compound 3.4.**

![Chemical structure](image)

To a round bottom flask under a nitrogen atmosphere was added diisopropylethylamine (750 $\mu$L, 4.31 mmol, 2.5 eq.), 3.3 (0.6 g, 1.75 mmol, 1.0 eq.), and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (120 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C. Methacryloyl chloride (171 $\mu$L, 1.75 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added via a syringe. The flask was then detached from the nitrogen atmosphere and connected to air via a Drierite tube. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The content were then transferred into a separation funnel, and were washed with water (50 mL), which was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL × 2). The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. Volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH = 97 : 3) giving 3.4 as a white solid (0.6 g, 86 %). $R_f$ = 0.7 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH = 9 : 1); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 5.85 (br s, 2H), 5.63-5.62 (m, 1H), 5.28-5.27 (m, 1H), 3.26 (dt, 2H, $J$ = 8.4, 6.0 Hz), 3.18 (dt, 2H, $J$ = 6.8, 6.0 Hz), 2.52 (br s, 1H), 2.25 (t, 2H, $J$ = 7.2 Hz), 1.93 (dd, 3H, $J$ = 1.2, 0.8 Hz), 1.73 (t, 2H, $J$ = 7.2 Hz), 1.52-1.41 (m, 8H), 1.31-1.20 (m, 16H), 0.83 (t, 6H, $J$ = 7.6 Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$
HRMS (ESI, [M + H]⁺) m/z calculated for C₂₄H₄₆N₂O₃ 411.3587, found 411.3582.

Synthesis of compound 3.5.

Compound 3.4 (274 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) in a 2-necked round bottomed flask was dried under vacuum. The flask was then refilled with nitrogen. The vacuum-nitrogen cycle was repeated for two additional times. Dry DMF (5 mL) and diisopropylethylamine (350 μL, 3.0 mmol, 3.0 eq) were added via a syringes. After cooling to 0°C, diisopropyl silyl bis(trifluoromethane sulfonate) (196 μL, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added via a syringe in one portion. The solution was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and rt for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0°C again. A solution of thymidine (162 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) in dry DMF (1.5 mL) were added via a cannula. After stirring at 0°C for 3 h, DMF was removed under vacuum. To the residue, ice-cooled EtOAc (60 mL) and NaHCO₃ solution (5%, 50 mL) were added, and the phases separated. The aqueous phase was further extracted with ice-cooled EtOAc (0°C, 50 mL × 4). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. EtOAc was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product as a yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH from 100 : 0 to 95 : 5) giving 3.5 as a white foam (370 mg, 73 %). \( R_f = 0.6 \) (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH = 9 : 1.);

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) \( \delta \) 7.92 (br s, 1H), 7.82 (br t, 1H, \( J = 5.2 \) Hz), 7.49 (s, 1H),
6.24 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.2, 3.2$ Hz), 5.64 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.42 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.4, 2.8$ Hz), 4.02-3.91 (m, 3H), 3.24-3.16 (m, 2H), 3.16-3.09 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.13 (m, 4H), 1.91 (dd, 3H, $J = 1.6, 0.8$ Hz), 1.86 (d, 3H, $J = 1.2$ Hz), 1.86-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.40 (m, 8H), 1.36-1.24 (m, 16H), 1.12-1.00 (m, 14H), 0.90 (t, 6H, $J = 7.2$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CD$_3$OD) $\delta$ 174.6, 170.0, 165.0, 151.0, 140.3, 136.2, 118.9, 110.3, 87.3, 84.8, 79.0, 70.7, 62.9, 39.8, 39.5, 39.2, 34.7, 31.61, 31.57, 30.7, 29.5, 29.3, 26.8, 17.7, 17.42, 17.36, 17.32, 17.29, 13.8, 13.7, 11.5, 7.7; HRMS (ESI, [M+H]$^+$) calculated for C$_{40}$H$_{72}$N$_4$O$_8$Si 765.5198, found 765.5186.

Synthesis of compound 3.1.

A round-bottomed flask containing 3.5 (150 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was flushed with nitrogen. Dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (6 mL) and 2-cyanoethyl- N,N,N′,N′- tetraisopropyl phosphoramidite (68 $\mu$L, 0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq.) were added via a syringe sequentially. To the resulting solution was added the solution of 1H-tetrazole in CH$_3$CN (0.45 M, 480 $\mu$L, 0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq.) in three portions over a period of 1 h. After stirring at rt for another 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/CH$_2$Cl$_2$/Et$_3$N = 1 : 2 : 0.3), giving 3.1 as a white foam (158 mg, 84%). $R_f = 0.5$ (hexanes/CH$_2$Cl$_2$/Et$_3$N = 1 : 2 : 0.3); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$
7.37 (s, 0.7H), 7.32 (s, 0.3H), 6.29 (dd, 1H, \( J = 8.0, 6.4 \text{ Hz} \)), 5.63 (s, 1H), 5.27 (q, 1H, \( J = 1.2 \text{ Hz} \)), 4.61-4.52 (m, 1H), 4.18-3.52 (m, 7H), 3.32-3.21 (m, 2H), 3.21-3.10 (m, 2H), 2.67-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.50-2.34 (m, 1H), 2.24-2.14 (m, 2H), 2.13-2.02 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.91 (m, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.38 (m, 8H), 1.30-1.10 (m, 28H), 1.10-0.95 (m, 14H), 0.85 (t, 6H, \( J = 7.2 \text{ Hz} \)); \(^{13}\text{C} \text{NMR (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\)) \( \delta \) 173.1, 168.6, 163.8, 150.4, 140.5, 135.5, 119.2, 117.8, 111.2, 86.7, 86.3 (d, \( J = 6.1 \text{ Hz} \)), 84.8, 84.6, 79.3, 73.6 (d, \( J = 18.3 \text{ Hz} \)), 62.8, 58.3 (d, \( J = 18.0 \text{ Hz} \)), 43.5 (d, \( J = 12.2 \text{ Hz} \)), 39.9, 39.7, 34.7, 34.6, 32.0, 31.9, 31.2, 29.8, 29.75, 29.67, 29.46, 29.43, 27.1, 24.84, 24.77, 24.74, 24.6, 20.6, 18.9, 18.29, 18.26, 18.13, 18.06, 14.1, 14.0, 13.7, 12.5, 8.7; \(^{31}\text{P} \text{NMR (160 MHz, CDCl}_3\)) \( \delta \) 150.1, 149.8

**Synthesis of ODN 3.6**

![ODN 3.6](image)

ODN 3.6 was synthesized on an ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer using standard phosphoramidite chemistry under UltraMild conditions on controlled pore glass (CPG, pore size 1000 Å) on a 1 μmol scale. The succinyl ester linkage was used to anchor the ON to CPG. The phosphoramidite monomers used were Pac-dA-CE, Ac-dC-CE, i-Pr-Pac-dG-CE and dT-CE. Manufacture recommended synthetic cycles were adopted. In the last synthetic cycle, phosphoramidite 3.1 (in acetonitrile, 0.1 M, which is two times of normal
phosphoramidite (concentration) was coupled to 5'-end of the ON for 5 min. Detritylation was not performed in the last synthetic cycle. Cleavage and deprotection were carried out on the synthesizer with concentrated NH₄OH (900 min × 4) at rt. The solution was distributed equally into 20 Eppendorf tubes (1.5 mL), and dried in a SpeedVac, separately (each portion contained ~50 nmol ON, P₁-P₂₀). P₁ was dissolved in 80 μL water, 20 μL (~12.5 nmol) was injected into RP HPLC to generate the profile (Figure 3.1).

**Catching by polymerization—synthesis of ODN-polyacrylamide conjugate 3.8**

![Chemical structure of 3.8](image)

The crude ON 3.6 (P₂) was transferred into a 25 mL 2-necked round bottomed flask by dissolving into water (100 μL, 50 μL × 3). To the flask was also added aqueous dimethylacrylamide solution (250 μL; N,N-dimethylacrylamide 1.69 M, N,N'-methylenebis(acrylamide) 16.9 mM; the solution could be stored at -20 °C in dark for 1 month). The flask was flushed with nitrogen for 2 min with gentle stirring. (NH₄)S₂O₈ (10%, 5 μL) and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA, 5 μL) were added sequentially via pipettes under positive nitrogen pressure. The solution was stirred gently under nitrogen at rt. A gel, which was the ON-polyacrylamide conjugate 3.8, was formed.
within 30 min, which was allowed to stand for another 30 min to ensure completion of polymerization (Scheme 3.2).

Washing—removal of failure sequences 3.7 and other impurities

The gel 3.8 in the 2-necked round bottomed flask was broken into smaller pieces with a glass rod. Water (5 mL) was added via a pipette. The content was gently stirred overnight (gentle shaking may be better) at rt. The supernatant, which contains failure sequences 3.7 and other impurities, was removed using a pipette (on large scale, filtration should be more convenient). To analyze the efficiency of the catching by polymerization process, the supernatant was concentrated to ~1 mL and desalted using a D-Salt™ dextran desalting column (5K MWCO). After concentration to dryness in a SpeedVac concentrator, 80 μL water was added, 20 μL was injected into RP HPLC to generate the profile (Figure 3.2). The gel was further rinsed with water (3 mL/1 h × 3) to ensure complete removal of impurities.

Releasing—cleavage of full-length ODN 3.9 from polymer

3´ HO-TCGCCAGATTCGTCGTTAC-OH 5´ (3.9)

The gel was transferred into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and dried under vacuum overnight. To the tube was added dry DMF (1 mL) and HF-pyridine (30 μL), the tube was vortexed shortly, and then was allowed to stand for 5 h at rt. At this stage, ODN 3.9 was cleaved from polymer (Scheme 3.2). The supernatant was transferred into another
Eppendorf tube. To the gel was added Me₃SiOMe (300 μL). After standing at rt for 30 min, Me₃SiOMe was combined with the supernatant, and mixture was stand at rt for a minimum of 15 min. The gel was transferred into a round bottomed flask and water (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred gently at rt for 12 h. The supernatant was removed via a pipette. The gel was further extracted with water (3 mL/2 h × 2). All supernatants (DMF, Me₃SiOMe and water) were combined and dried to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 80 μL water, 20 μL was injected into RP HPLC to generate the profile in Figure 3.3. The recover yield for the purification process was estimated to be 72% by comparing the area of the peak in Figure 3.3 at 19 min with the area of the peak in Figure 3.1 at 57 min.

**Identification of ODN 3.9**

The authentic ODN of 3.9 was synthesized using standard phosphoramidite chemistry on a 1 μmol scale and purified with trityl-on RP HPLC at The Midland Certified Reagent Company, Inc. (Midland, TX, USA). MALDI-TOF spectrum of the authentic ODN was also obtained at the company showing correct molecular weight: calculated for [M – H]⁻ 6057, found 6060. At Michigan Tech, the authentic sample was divided equally into 20 portions (each portion contains ~50 nmol ODN assuming the yield for the synthesis and purification was 100%). One portion was dissolved in 80 μL water, 20 μL was injected into RP HPLC to generate trace b (Figure 3.4). To compare ODN 3.9 synthesized in our lab and purified using our catching by polymerization, washing and releasing technique with the authentic sample, 10 μL of the solution used to generate trace in Figure 3.3 and 10 μL of the solution
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used to generate trace b in Figure 3.4 were mixed, and injected into RP HPLC to generate trace a (Figure 3.4). A single peak was observed showing the two were identical. ODN 3.9 was also characterized with MALDI-TOF: calculated for [M – H]⁻ 6057, found: 6057. In addition, two other peaks were also observed in the mass spectrum: calculated for [M – 2H – adenine]⁻ 5922, found: 5925; and calculated for [M – 2H]²⁻ 3028, found: 3030.¹⁴ The spectrum is attached in the MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ODN 3.9 purified using the catching by polymerization, washing and releasing approach section of appendix A.

**Testing the stability of nucleobases under radical acrylamide polymerization conditions**

5 mg of guanosine (dG) was charged into a 2-necked round bottomed flask. Water (250 μL) was added. The material could not dissolve, so DMF (250 μL) was added. After gentle heating with a heat gun, a solution was formed. After cooling to rt, dimethylacrylamide solution [250 μL; N,N'-dimethylacrylamide 1.69 M, N,N'-methylenebis(acrylamide) 16.9 mM] was added. The flask was flushed with nitrogen for ~3 min. (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ solution (10%, 5 μL) and TMEDA (5 μL) were added, and the mixture was gently stirred at rt. The polymerization was allowed to proceed for 1 h. Water (5 mL) was added to extract dG (rt, 3 h, gentle stirring). The extract was removed. The gel was further extracted with DMF (rt, 6 h, gentle stirring, 2 mL × 2). All extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness in a SpeedVac concentrator. The residue was dissolved in 15 mL buffer A, 20 μL were injected into HPLC (eluting conditions described in the general experimental section were used) to generate HPLC profile *Recovered dG* (Figure 3.5).
Figure 3.5. HPLC profile of recovered guanosine

The authentic dG (2.5 mg) was dissolved in 5 mL buffer A, 20 μL were injected into HPLC to generate HPLC profile Authentic dG (Figure 3.6).

Figure 3.6. HPLC profile of authentic guanosine

Commercially available 8-oxo-guanosine (1 mg) was dissolved in 8 mL buffer A, 20 μL were injected into HPLC to generate HPLC profile Authentic 8-oxo-dG (Figure 3.7).
Figure 3.7. HPLC profile of authentic 8-oxo-guanosine

The co-injection HPLC profile of recovered guanosine and authentic guanosine was generated using 10 μL of each solution. A single peak was observed, which indicated that they are identical (Figure 3.8).

Figure 3.8. Co-injection HPLC profile of recovered guanosine and authentic guanosine

The co-injection HPLC profile of recovered guanosine and authentic 8-oxo-guanosine was also generated using 10 μL of each solution. Two peaks were observed,
which indicated that the recovered guanosine was not oxidized to 8-oxo-guanosine (Figure 3.9).

![HPLC profile of recovered guanosine and authentic 8-oxo-guanosine](image)

**Figure 3.9.** Co-injection HPLC profile of recovered guanosine and authentic 8-oxo-guanosine

The other three nucleosides, adenosine (dA), thymidine (dT) and cytidine (dC), are more soluble in water, and the procedures for testing their stability under radical conditions were slightly different from that for dG. The one for dA is described. Adenosine (5.0 mg) was charged into a 2-necked round bottomed flask. A solution was formed after stirring with gentle heating with heat gun. To the solution, a dimethylacrylamide solution [250 μL; N,N-dimethylacrylamide 1.69 M, N,N’-methylenbis(acrylamide) 16.9 mM] was added. The flask was flushed with nitrogen for ~3 min. (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ solution (10%, 5 μL) and TMEDA (5 μL) were added, and the mixture was gently stirred at rt. The polymerization was allowed to proceed for 1 h. Water (2 mL) was added to extract dA (rt, 12 h, gentle stirring). The extract was removed. The gel was further extracted with water (rt, 3 h, gentle stirring, 2 mL × 2). All extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness in a SpeedVac concentrator. The residue was dissolved in 10 mL water, 20 μL were injected into HPLC.
(eluting conditions described in the general experimental section were used) to generate HPLC profile Recovered dA (Figure 3.10). A single peak was observed.

![Recovered dA HPLC profile](image)

**Figure 3.10.** HPLC profile of recovered adenosine

The authentic dA (5.0 mg) was dissolved in 10 mL water, 20 μL were injected into HPLC to generate HPLC profile Authentic dA (Figure 3.11).

![Authentic dA HPLC profile](image)

**Figure 3.11.** HPLC profile of authentic adenosine
The co-injection HPLC profile *Recovered dA + Authentic dA* (Figure 3.12) was generated using 10 µL of each solution. A single peak was observed, which indicated that they are identical.

![Recovery of Adenosine](image)

**Figure 3.12.** Co-injection HPLC profile of recovered adenosine and authentic adenosine

The nucleosides thymidine (dT) and cytidine (dC) were subjected into the same radical polymerization conditions, respectively. The recovered nucleosides were dissolved in 5 mL water, 20 µL were injected into HPLC to generate profiles *Recovered dT* (Figure 3.13) and *Recovered dC* (Figure 3.14), respectively.

![Recovery of Thymidine](image)

**Figure 3.13.** HPLC profile of recovered thymidine
The authentic dT (5.0 mg) and authentic dC (5.0 mg) were dissolved in 5 mL water, 20 µL were injected into HPLC to generate profiles *Authentic dT* (Figure 3.15) and *Authentic dC* (Figure 3.16), respectively.
Figure 3.16. HPLC profile of authentic cytidine

The co-injection HPLC profiles *Recovered dT + Authentic dT* (Figure 3.17) and *Recovered dC + Authentic dC* (Figure 3.18) were generated using 10 μL of each solution. Single peaks were observed, which indicated that the nucleosides were stable under the radical polymerization conditions.

Figure 3.17. Co-injection HPLC profile of recovered thymidine and authentic thymidine
Figure 3.18. Co-injection HPLC profile of recovered cytidine and authentic cytidine
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Abstract

Synthetic oligodeoxynucleotide is purified by capping failure sequences with an acrylated phosphoramidite followed by polymerization and product extraction. The method is suitable for large scale oligonucleotide drug purification.
4.1 Introduction

For most applications of synthetic oligonucleotides (ONs) such as in PCR, only submicromolar quantities are needed. These amounts of ONs can be synthesized using automated solid phase synthesis and purified with polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis or HPLC with reasonable costs.\(^1\) In recent years, recognition of the ability of ONs to selectively silence gene expression, and thus potentially treat a wide range of human diseases, demands quantities of pure ONs at the kilogram to metric ton scales.\(^2\) Synthesis at this level is now possible using solid phase synthesis and phosphoramiditechemistry.\(^3\) Besides the desired full-length sequence, the crude ON contains impurities, which include truncated failure sequences generated in each synthetic cycle due to incomplete coupling, small molecules resulting from protecting groups, and minute quantities of deletion sequences from incomplete detritylation and incomplete capping, and addition sequences from premature detritylation before and during coupling.

For a typical synthesis of a 20-mer oligodeoxynucleotide (ODN) on a controlled pore glass (CPG), the failure sequences constitute 30–60\% of the total ODN content depending on the scale of synthesis.\(^4\) Because these impurities have the same physical properties as the full-length ODN, they are difficult to remove. The most powerful polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) can efficiently separate them, but this method cannot be scaled up. HPLC methods, especially trityl-on reverse phase (RP) and anion exchange HPLC, have been adapted to purify large scale ONs, which removes impurities including failure sequences.\(^5\) However, these methods require expensive instrument and preparative column. In addition, large volumes of solvent are needed, which must be
subsequently evaporated. As a result, the method is very expensive for large scale purification.

Several other methods have also been developed for the removal of failure sequences including biotin–avidin enabled affinity extraction,\textsuperscript{6} fluorous affinity extraction,\textsuperscript{4,7} hydrophobic RP chromatography,\textsuperscript{8} and reaction-based solid phase extraction.\textsuperscript{9} All these methods are still expensive for large scale purification as we discussed in a previous paper.\textsuperscript{10}

Unlike the removal of failure sequences, the separation of small molecule contaminants can be easily achieved by known methods such as simple \textit{n}-BuOH precipitation.\textsuperscript{11} Other ON-based impurities such as deletion and addition sequences are the most challenging to remove. Fortunately, they only exist in minute quantities. For large scale ON drug production, the best option is to adjust synthesis conditions to keep them at an acceptable level.

4.2 Results and Discussion

For separation of full-length ODN from failure sequences, we recently reported a method involving using a reversibly acrylated phosphoramidite to couple to the 50-end of full-length sequence. Because the failure sequences were all capped with Ac\textsubscript{2}O, only full-length sequence had a polymerizable acrylamide group. The crude ODN was subjected to polymerization. The full-sequence was incorporated into a polymer, and the failure sequences were removed by washing. Cleaving the full-length ODN from the polymer gave a pure product.\textsuperscript{10} In this communication, we report an even more convenient method for ODN purification, which involves capping failure sequences with an acrylated
phosphoramidite and incorporating them into a polymer. Using this method, full-length ODN can be simply extracted with water.

The acrylated phosphoramidite 4.1 (Figure 4.1) for this application was synthesized in two steps from 6-amino-1-hexanol with only one flash column chromatography purification. To prove the new purification concept, the 20-mer 4.4 (0.2 mmol) was synthesized on CPG on a standard synthesizer. In the synthetic cycle (see appendix B), the steps for Ac₂O capping in a standard cycle were removed. Instead, capping was achieved in added steps using a 0.2 M acetonitrile solution of 4.1. This solution was placed on the synthesizer in a bottle typically employed for additional phosphoramidites besides the ones for natural nucleotides. The tetrazole solution (0.45 M) used to activate 4.1 was from the same bottle used for the coupling steps. To ensure complete capping, 4.1 and the activator were delivered to the synthesis column four times instead of two times normally used in coupling. Because the failure sequences may locate at sites difficult for reagents to reach in CPG, the oxidation of the newly formed phosphitetriesters was carried out three times. In the last cycle, detritylation was performed. Cleavage and deprotection were carried out with concentrated NH₄OH.

![Figure 4.1. Structure of capping phosphoramidite 4.1](image-url)
The crude ODN was analyzed with RP HPLC. As shown in Figure 4.2, the full-length sequence appeared at ~19 min. Normally, when Ac₂O is used for capping, failure sequences have a shorter retention time than the full-length sequence. Here, due to the relatively more hydrophobic tag on 4.5, the order is reversed; and the failure sequences appeared at ~20 minutes. The small peaks at around 10, 28, and 56 min were probably due to small molecules from protecting groups. The tiny peaks, which could be hardly seen in a at the left of the full-length ODN, might be resulted from un-capped failure sequences, deletion sequences, or damaged sequences. According to our experience, from the relative intensity of peaks of the full-length ODN and the failure sequences, the modification of the synthetic cycle did not affect the efficiency of ODN synthesis.

![Crude ODN HPLC profile](image)

**Figure 4.2.** HPLC profile of crude ODN

The purification process is shown in Scheme 4.1. The crude ODN (~50 nmol), which mainly contains the full-length sequence 4.4, failure sequences 4.5, and small molecules (not shown), was dissolved in 250 mL water. A solution of \(N,N\)-dimethylacrylamide and \(N,N'\)-methylenebis(acrylamide) (250 mL) were added. The
polymerization was initiated with (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ and TMEDA, and allowed to proceed at rt for 1 h. The failure sequences 4.5 were incorporated into polymer 4.6. The full-length 4.4 and small molecules remained in the polymer matrix. Water was then added; and 4.4 and small molecules were extracted. The extract was analyzed with HPLC (Figure 4.3). To our surprise, four peaks instead of one at ~19 min appeared besides the weaker peaks from small molecules.

Scheme 4.1. Purification of ODN by polymerization of failure sequences
Figure 4.3. HPLC profile of pure ODN after polymerization

From our previous studies,\textsuperscript{10} we knew that ODN is stable under the radical polymerization conditions. We repeated the experiments for three times, and the HPLC profiles from all trials were identical. One possibility is that the nucleophilic sites of the full-length ODN reacted with acrylamide during polymerization through conjugate addition.\textsuperscript{13} If this is true, the adduct should not be stable and the reaction may be reversed to give unmodified ODN. Based on this hypothesis, the experiment was continued as planned. The extract was passed through a D-Salt dextran column (5 K MWCO), and analyzed with HPLC (Figure 4.4, trace a). As expected, the small molecules were removed. In addition, the four ODN peaks at \textasciitilde19 min merged into three, which indeed supported our hypothesis of conjugate addition. To completely reverse the reaction, the ODN was heated in concentrated NH$_4$OH at 80 °C for 15 min,\textsuperscript{14} and analyzed with HPLC. As shown in trace b (Figure 4.4), the remaining three peaks merged into one, and the ODN was pure.
Figure 4.4. RP HPLC profiles of pure ODN 4.4 after (a) polymerization and desalting, (b) polymerization, desalting, and treating with NH₄OH
The identity of \textit{4.4} was confirmed by co-injection with authentic sample. As shown in trace a (Figure 4.5), a single peak was observed. The trace for control (Figure 4.5, trace b) is also shown. MALDI-TOF analysis of \textit{4.4} gave the correct molecular weight. The recovery yield of the purification process was determined to be 83\% by comparing the area of the peak in trace b of Figure 4.4 with the peak area in Figure 4.2 at \textasciitilde19 min.

\textit{Figure 4.5.} RP HPLC profiles of (a) co-injection of ODN \textit{4.4} with control, (b) control ODN
There are several known methods for removing small molecules from ODN including size exclusion chromatography, dialysis, and EtOH, 2-PrOH or \( n \)-BuOH precipitation.\(^{11}\) Size exclusion chromatography, which we used in our initial studies, is easy to perform on small scales, but expensive on large scales. The \( n \)-BuOH precipitation method is simple, has high recovery yield, and can be easily scaled up. Therefore, we repeated the entire purification process including polymerization, extraction, and treating with concentrated NH\(_4\)OH. However, size exclusion chromatography was omitted. Instead, after treating with concentrated NH\(_4\)OH, \( n \)-BuOH was added. The ODN precipitated, while the small molecules remained in the supernatant. HPLC analysis showed that the ODN was pure (Figure 4.6).\(^{15}\) The recovery yield of the procedure was determined to be 85\% by comparing the peak area in Figure 4.6 with the peak area in Figure 4.2 at \( \sim \)19 min.

\[\text{Figure 4.6. RP HPLC profiles of ODN 4.4 after polymerization, NH}_4\text{OH, and } n\text{-BuOH precipitation}\]
Phosphoramidite 4.1 is stable under nitrogen at ~20 °C for at least three weeks. We also put its 0.2 M acetonitrile solution on DNA synthesizer for one week, no reduction of the capping efficiency was observed. Based on this information, 4.1 or its modified version could be made commercially available. Once this is realized, ODN purification, on large and small scales, can be simply achieved through the following steps: (1) synthesize ODN on a standard synthesizer using 4.1 as the capping agent. (2) Add reagents to polymerize failure sequences. (3) Extract full-length sequence by water. (4) Treat with concentrated NH₄OH briefly. (5) Add n-BuOH to precipitate pure ODN. This purification method does not need any chromatography, which requires expensive instrument and large volumes of solvent (solvent to ODN mass ratio can be as high as 10⁵). Instead, purification is achieved by simple operations such as shaking and extraction. Using this method, the waste to product mass ratio (defined as the weight of polymerization reagents divided by that of ODN) in the purification procedure can be less than 10². As a result, the method is suitable for large scale ODN drug purification.

The current ODN purification method and the method we reported earlier complement each other. In the earlier method, purification is achieved by polymerization of full-length sequence followed by washing, cleavage and extraction. That method only requires the polymerizable phosphor amidite in the last synthetic cycle, and the failure sequences are capped with less expensive Ac₂O. In addition, impurities such as the small molecules from protecting groups can also be removed in the washing step. Therefore that method is suitable for purification of long ODN (longer than 25-mer). For the current method, although the polymerizable phosphoramidite is needed in each synthetic cycle and impurities other than failure sequences have to be removed by n-BuOH precipitation, it has
significant advantages. The polymerizable phosphoramidite is far less expensive, and there is no need to cleave ODN from polymer. These two features make the current method far more convenient and less expensive to execute. Therefore, this method is highly suitable for purification of short ODN (shorter than 25-mer) on any scale.

4.3 Conclusion

We have developed a new method for ODN purification. This method does not need any chromatography or expensive reagents, and purification is achieved by simple operations such as shaking and extraction. Therefore, it is suitable for purification of large scale ON drugs. Studies on extending this method to purify other synthetic oligomers such as peptides are underway.

4.4 Experimental Section

General experimental

ODNs were synthesized on a standard ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu Biotech Axima CFRplus spectrometer. HPLC was performed on a JASCO LC-2000Plus System: pump, PU-2089Plus Quaternary Gradient; detector UV-2075Plus. A C-18 reverse phase analytical column (5 μm diameter, 100 Å, 250 × 3.20 mm) was used. Solvent A: 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate, 5% acetonitrile. Solvent B: 90% acetonitrile. All profiles were generated by detection of absorbance of ODN at 260 nm using the linear gradient solvent system: solvent B (0%-45%) in solvent A over 60 min followed by solvent B (45%-100%) in solvent A over 20 min at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Succinic ester linked DMTr-dT-lcaa-CPG (pore size
1000 Å ) and 5’-DMTr, 2-cyanoethyl phosphoramidites acetyl-dC, Pac-dA, 4-isopropyl-
Pac-dG and dT were purchased from Glen Research, Inc. DSalt™ dextran desalting
column (5K MWCO) was purchased from Pierce Biotechnology, Inc.

**Synthesis of phosphoramidite 4.1**

![Chemical diagram]

Compound 4.1 is known.\textsuperscript{16} We used a different method to prepare 4.3, and only this
step is described here. Compound 4.2 (5.0 g, 42.7 mmol), saturated Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} solution (50
mL) and CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (150 mL) were charged into a round bottom flask, and cooled to 0 °C. To
the solution was added the solution of methacryloyl chloride (4.46 g, 42.7 mmol) in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}
(50 mL) dropwise with efficient stirring via an addition funnel. After addition, the mixture
was stirred at rt for 3 h, and then transferred into a separation funnel. The organic layer was
separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} for three times. The combined
organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and filtered. The solution was
concentrated to give a sticky liquid (not completely dry). Ether was added until white solids
appeared, which was re-dissolved by adding CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. To make the solution more dilute,
hexanes and more CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} were added. The solution was then put in a freezer (-20 °C) for
12 h. Compound 4.3 was obtained as a colorless crystal: 7.33 g, 93% yield. If the compound melts at rt, a second crystallization from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexanes may be desirable.

**Synthesis of ODN 4.4**

3′ HO-TCGCCAGATTTCGTCGTTAC-OH 5′ (4.4)

ODN 4.4 was synthesized on a standard ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer using standard phosphoramidite chemistry under UltraMild conditions on controlled pore glass (CPG, pore size 1000 Å) on a 0.2 µmol scale. The succinyl ester linkage was used to anchor the ODN to CPG. The phosphoramidite monomers used were Pac-dA-CE, Ac-dC-CE, i-Pr-Pac-dGCE and dT-CE. A 0.2 M solution of the polymerizable capping phosphoramidite 4.1 in acetonitrile was placed on the 5th bottle position, which is normally used for incorporating an additional base into ODN. The two bottles normally used to supply Ac$_2$O capping reagents were empty. The synthesis was accomplished using the synthetic cycle shown in appendix B. In this synthesis, the capping failure sequences step was achieved using the polymerizable phosphoramidite 4.1 with 1H-tetrazole as the activator. The activator was from the same bottle that provided 1H-tetrazole for the coupling steps. To ensure complete capping, 4.1 and tetrazole were delivered to the synthesis column four times instead of two times normally used for standard nucleobase coupling (we found that capping two times were not enough for complete capping under the conditions we used. This can be seen in Figure B.7 of Appendix B), where the small peaks before the major full-length peak are un-capped failure sequences). Between each delivery, a waiting time of 15 seconds was applied. The oxidation of the phosphitetriesters between the capping agent and failure sequences was carried out for three times. In the last synthetic cycle, the
DMTr group was removed. Cleavage and deprotection were carried out on the synthesizer with concentrated NH₄OH (900 min × 4) at rt. The ODN solution was distributed equally into four Eppendorf tubes (1.5 mL), and dried in a SpeedVac, separately (each portion contained ~50 nmol ODN, P1-P4). P1 was dissolved in 150 μL water, 20 μL (~6.67 nmol) was injected into RP HPLC to generate trace in Figure 4.2. The full-length sequence appeared at ~19 minutes. The failure sequences were at ~20 minutes. The small peaks at around 10, 28, and 56 minutes were probably due to the small molecules from protecting groups. The very small peaks, which could be hardly seen in Figure 4.2 at the left of the full-length sequence, might be resulted from un-capped failure sequences, deletion sequences, or damaged sequences.

**Polymerization of failure sequences**

The remaining 130 μL solution of P1 was transferred into a 2-necked round bottom flask. The Eppendorf tube was washed with water (50 μL × 3); the washes were also placed into the same flask. To the flask was added the pre-formed polymerization solution [250 μL; N,N-dimethylacrylamide 1.69 M, N,N′-methylenbis(acrylamide) 16.9 mM; the solution could be stored at -20 °C in dark for 1 month]. The flask was flushed with nitrogen for 2 min with gentle stirring. (NH₄)S₂O₈ (10%, 5 μL) and N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA, 5 μL) were added sequentially via pipettes under positive nitrogen pressure. The solution was stirred gently under nitrogen at rt. A gel, which was the ODN failure sequences-polyacrylamide conjugate 4.6, was formed within 30 min. The gel was allowed to stand for another 30 min to ensure completion of polymerization.
**Extraction of full-length sequence**

To the gel, which was broken into several pieces, was added water (200 µL). The mixture was stirred gently (shaking should be better) at rt for 3 h. The supernatant was transferred into an Eppendorf tube. The gel was further extracted with water for two times (200 µL, rt, 12 h; 200 µL, rt, 3 h). The supernatants were combined and evaporated into dryness. The ODN was dissolved into 130 µL water; 20 µL was injected into HPLC to generate trace in Figure 4.3. As shown, the failure sequences were removed, but the full-length sequence appeared as four peaks. The small peaks resulted from small molecules from protecting groups also remained as expected.

**Size exclusion chromatography to remove small molecules**

A 10 mL D-Salt™ dextran desalting column (5K MWCO) was used. The column was first washed with water (20 mL). The remaining 110 µL solution of ODN 4.4 was loaded to the top of the column. The Eppendorf tube was washed with water (100 µL × 3), and the washes were also loaded to the column. The column was washed with 1.59 mL water. This first 2 mL eluent did not contain any ODN. The elution was continued and the next 5 mL was collected and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 110 µL water, 20 µL was injected into HPLC to generate trace a, Figure 4.4. As shown, the small molecules from protecting groups were removed. The four peaks at around 19 min were merged into three peaks. The desalting column was recovered by washing with water (20 mL), and was stored in 0.02% NaN₃ solution.
Treating with concentrated NH₄OH

The remaining 90 µL solution of ODN 4.4 was evaporated to dryness in an Eppendorf tube. Concentrated NH₄OH (300 µL) was added. The solution was heated to 80 ºC for 15 min in a sand bath. After evaporation to dryness, the residue was dissolved into 90 µL water, 20 µL was injected into HPLC to generate trace b, Figure 4.4. As shown, only one peak is observed, and the ODN is pure. The recovery yield of the purification process (polymerization, extraction, size exclusion chromatography and NH₄OH treatment) was determined to be 83% by comparing the area of the peak in trace b, Figure 4.4 with the area of the peak in Figure 4.1 at ~19 min.

Identification of ODN 4.4

The authentic ODN of 4.4 was synthesized using standard phosphoramidite chemistry on a 1 µmol scale and purified with trityl-on RP HPLC at The Midland Certified Reagent Company, Inc. (Midland, TX, USA). MALDI-TOF spectrum of the authentic ODN was also obtained at the company showing correct molecular weight: calculated for [M – H]⁻ 6057, found 6060. At Michigan Tech, the authentic sample was divided equally into 10 portions (each portion contains ~100 nmol ODN assuming the yield for the synthesis and purification was 100%). One portion was dissolved in 150 µL water, 20 µL was injected into RP HPLC to generate trace a (Figure 4.5). To compare ODN 4.4 synthesized in our lab and purified using our catching failure sequences by polymerization technique with the authentic sample, 10 µL of the solution used to generate trace a (Figure 4.5) and 10 µL of the solution used to generate trace b (Figure 4.4) were mixed, and injected into RP HPLC to generate trace b (Figure 4.5). A single peak was observed showing the
two were identical. ODN 4.4 was also characterized with MALDI-TOF: calcd for \([M – H]^-\) 6057, found: 6057 (See appendix B).

**ODN purification by polymerization of failure sequences and \(n\)-BuOH precipitation.**

The ODN 4.4 (\(P_2\), \(~50\) nmol) was dissolved into 150 \(\mu\)L water, 20 \(\mu\)L was injected into HPLC, which gave a trace exactly the same as Figure 4.1. The remaining 130 \(\mu\)L ODN solution was subjected into the procedure of polymerization of failure sequences and extraction of full-length sequence as described above. The size exclusion chromatography step was not performed. To the full-length sequence, which contained ODNs and small organic molecules from protecting groups, was added concentrated \(\text{NH}_4\text{OH}\) (100 \(\mu\)L). The solution was vortexed shortly and then heated to 80 \(^\circ\)C for 30 min. This converted the modified ODNs to the un-modified one. After cooling to rt, \(n\)-BuOH (1 mL) was added. The mixture was vortexed for 30 sec and then centrifuged at 14.5K for 5 min. The supernatant was removed. The residue was re-dissolved into 50 \(\mu\)L water, 500 \(\mu\)L \(n\)-BuOH was added. Votexed and centrifuged again, and the supernatant was removed. This removed the small organic molecules resulted from deprotection. The ODN was dissolved in 130 \(\mu\)L water, 20 \(\mu\)L was injected into HPLC to generate Figure 4.6. As shown, the ODN is pure. The recovery yield of the procedure (polymerization, extraction, \(\text{NH}_4\text{OH}\) treatment and \(n\)-BuOH precipitation) was determined to be 85% by comparing the area of the peak in Figure 4.6 with the peak area in Figure 4.1 at \(~19\) min.
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Abstract

The readily scalable catching by polymerization purification technology has been further advanced to purify 5′-phosphorylated synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs). The new technology utilizes a phosphoramidite that contains a fluoride-cleavable diisopropylsilyl acetal linker and a polymerizable methacrylamide group, and is capable of phosphorylation of ODN. For purification, the phosphoramidite was coupled to the 5′-end of full-length ODN on a synthesizer. Because failure sequences were capped in each synthetic cycle, only the full-length sequences were phosphorylated and acrylated. After cleavage and deprotection, the crude ODN was subjected to polymerization under typical acrylamide gel formation conditions. The full-length ODN was incorporated into polymer. The failure sequences and other impurities were simply removed by washing with water. Pure full-length ODN that contained a 5′-phosphate group was cleaved from the polymer with HF-pyridine. Reversed-phase (RP) HPLC showed that the ODN was pure, and the recovery yield was higher than that of typical preparative HPLC purification.
5.1 Introduction

Synthetic oligonucleotides (ONs) including ODNs and oligoribonucleotides and their unnatural analogs have found wide applications in molecular biology and other scientific areas. These ONs are synthesized on an automated synthesizer using protected nucleoside phosphoramidite monomers. Typically, the first monomer is anchored to a solid support via its 3’-OH group through a cleavable linker. Phosphoramidite monomers are coupled to the 5’-OH in a stepwise fashion. Each synthetic cycle consists of detritylation, coupling, capping and oxidation steps, which finishes the addition of one nucleoside. Excess reagents and side products are washed away after each step while the nascent ON remains on the solid support. Normally, the coupling step is highly efficient, but generation of truncated failure sequences is unavoidable. This problem is more serious for long ONs (more than 50-mer) and large-scale ON syntheses. To ease purification, the failure sequences are capped with reagents such as acetic anhydride so that they do not participate in reactions in the subsequent synthetic cycles. After synthesis, the ONs are cleaved from the solid support and fully deprotected. The crude ON, which mainly contains full-length ON, failure sequences and small organic molecule impurities resulting from deprotection, is then purified with various methods. The small organic molecules are easy to remove because they have very different physical properties from ONs. However, the separation of full-length sequence from the failure ones is difficult due to their identical physical properties. Currently used methods for ON purification include gel electrophoresis, RP HPLC, anion exchange HPLC, RP cartridge extraction, fluorous affinity extraction, biotin–avidin enabled affinity purification, and other techniques. All these methods have limitations as we discussed in a previous paper.
Briefly, gel electrophoresis can only be used to purify minute quantities of ON. HPLC methods need expensive instrument, consume large volumes of buffer, are labor intensive, and are expensive to scale up. RP cartridge extraction gives less pure ON. Fluorous affinity extraction and biotin–avidin enabled affinity purification require solid phase extraction materials, which are normally not reusable. To solve the ON purification problem, we recently developed two methods that utilized the concept of catching by polymerization for ODN purification. In one method, the failure sequences were capped with an acrylated phosphoramidite in each synthetic cycle. After synthesis, purification was achieved by simply polymerizing the failure sequences. In the second method, the full-length sequences were coupled to an acrylated phosphoramidite. After synthesis, purification was achieved by polymerization of full-length sequences, washing away the impurities and cleaving pure ODN from polymer. In this chapter, we report our results on using the catching by polymerization technology to purify synthetic ODNs that contain a 5′-phosphate group.

5.2 Results and Discussion

In some biological applications such as ligation of synthetic ODNs for gene synthesis, cloning, mutagenesis, and ligation chain reaction, a phosphate group at the 5′-end of ODN is required. This group can be installed via enzyme-catalyzed phosphorylation. A more convenient approach is to attach the group on an automated DNA synthesizer. Several reagents have been commercialized for the purpose. One example is the phosphoramidite of 4,4′-dimethoxytrityl (DMTr)-protected bis(hydroxymethyl)malonate. The DMTr group in this reagent serves as a hydrophobic
handle for RP HPLC purification after synthesis. At the end of purification, the group is removed and the phosphate group at the 5'-end of ODN is released under basic conditions. In this paper, we combine this chemical phosphorylation technology with our newly developed catching by polymerization ODN purification technology to develop a method for purification of 5'-phosphorylated ODN. The reagent we designed for this ODN phosphorylation and purification

![Scheme 5.1. Synthesis of acylation and phosphinylation phosphoramidite 5.1](image)

application is phosphoramidite 5.1. Its synthesis is shown in Scheme 5.1. The easily accessible and known methacryloyl tertiary alcohol 5.2<sup>16</sup> was coupled to diethyl bis(hydroxymethyl) malonate with dichlorodisopropylsilane to give 5.3. The alcohol 5.3 was then phosphinylated to give the target phosphoramidite 5.1. To demonstrate the usefulness of the phosphorylation and purification technique, the acrylated ODN 5.4 (see Scheme 5.2) was synthesized on a DNA synthesizer. Typical UltraMild ODN synthesis conditions, which use the more base-labile phenoxyacetyl protecting groups and allow deprotection with concentrated ammonium hydroxide at room temperature (see...
experimental section for details), were used. At the end of the synthesis, the phosphoramidite \(5.1\) was coupled to the 5’-end of the ODN for 5 min. Oxidation and capping in this cycle were carried out under normal conditions. The cleavage and deprotection were carried out on the synthesizer. The crude ODN contained the full-length sequence \(5.4\), and impurities, which included the failure sequences \(5.5\) and small molecules from protecting groups. Because the failure sequences were capped with phenoxyacetic anhydride (Pac\(_2\)O) in each synthetic cycle, they did not react with the phosphoramidite \(5.1\), and did not contain a methacrylamide group. Only the full-length sequences were acrylated. The crude ODN was analyzed with RP HPLC. As shown in Figure 5.1, the failure sequences \(5.5\) appeared at around 19 min. Due to the relatively more hydrophobic tag at the 5’-end of \(5.4\), the full-length ODN had an unusually long retention time of 62 min. The small peaks appearing at 31 and 59 min may be attributed to small molecules from protecting groups.

**Figure 5.1.** RP HPLC profile of crude ODN
The crude ODN was subjected to polymerization under typical polyacrylamide gel formation conditions (Scheme 5.2). Specifically, \( N,N \)-dimethylacrylamide was used as the monomer, a small amount of \( N,N' \)-methylenebis(acrylamide) was used as the cross-linker, water was used as the solvent, and the polymerization was conveniently initiated with ammonium persulfate and \( N,N,N',N' \)-tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA). The reaction could tolerate air, but was conducted under nitrogen to minimize radical termination reactions by oxygen. The reaction was completed within 30 min at room temperature. To ensure that all monomers and cross-linkers were incorporated into the polymer, the reaction mixture was allowed to stand under nitrogen at room temperature for another 30 min. The acrylated full-length sequence 5.4 was incorporated into the polymer to give the ODN-polymer conjugate 5.6 (Scheme 5.2). The failure sequences 5.5 and the impurities resulting from protecting groups remained in the polymer matrix, which were conveniently removed by extraction with water. The extracts were analyzed with RP HPLC to give a trace in Figure 5.2. Compared with the trace in Figure 5.1, the trace in Figure 4.2 did not have a peak at 62 min, which indicated that the full-length sequence was incorporated into polymer 5.6 efficiently.

The ODN–polymer conjugate 5.6 was dried under vacuum. The intermediate ODN 5.7 was cleaved from polymer with HF–pyridine complex in DMF. Excess HF was quenched with Me\(_3\)SiOMe. The supernatant, which contained the ODN 5.7, excess Me\(_3\)SiOMe, Me\(_3\)SiF, (iPr)\(_2\)SiF\(_2\), MeOH, and the solvent DMF, was removed. The polymer was washed with water. The supernatant and the washes were combined and evaporated to dryness leaving only the ODN 5.7. To remove the tag on the 5´-phosphate group, to 5.7 was added concentrated ammonium hydroxide solution and heated to 80 °C for 30 min.
Pure 5′-phosphorylated ODN 5.8 was obtained conveniently by direct n-BuOH precipitation from the solution. As shown in Figure 5.3, only one peak with a retention time of 19 min was observed. The recovery yield of the purification process was estimated to be 55% by comparing the area of the peak at 19 min in Figure 5.3 with the area of the peak at 62 min in Figure 5.1. The identity of the ODN was confirmed by MALDI-TOF MS analysis.
**Scheme 5.2.** Purification of 5'-phosphorylated ODN through catching full-length sequence by polymerization.
**Figure 5.2.** RP HPLC profile of impurities including failure sequences 5.5

**Figure 5.3.** RP HPLC profile of purified ODN 5.8
To further demonstrate the usefulness of this chemical phosphorylation and purification technology, the 61-mer ODN 5.9 (Figure 5.4), which is a portion of HIV protease gene, was synthesized and phosphinylated with phosphoramidite 5.1. To increase the yield of the synthesis, controlled pore glass (CPG) with a pore size of 2000 Å was used. Before starting the automated synthesis, the CPG was manually capped with Pac_2O for 20 min on the synthesizer. In addition, in the synthetic cycle, a 25-second waiting step was added after each delivery of coupling reagents (phosphoramidite and 1H-tetrazole) to the synthesis column. The capping step was performed two times. Following each capping step, a 50-second waiting step was added. Except for these modifications, the same synthesis procedure for ODN 5.4 was followed. The crude 5.9 was analyzed with RP-HPLC (trace a, Figure 5.5), and purified with the catching by polymerization procedure as described for purification of 5.4. The purified 61-mer ODN 5.10 was analyzed with RP-HPLC to give trace b (Figure 5.5). The recovering yield was estimated to be 65% by comparing the area of the peak at 20 min in the trace b with the peak area at 54 min in trace a (Figure 5.5).
Figure 5.4. Sequence of ODN 5.9, and 5.10
To avoid an extra heating step during deprotection of ODN, initially we used the UltraMild conditions for the synthesis of ODNs 5.4 and 5.9. However, in the more widely used base protecting strategy in DNA synthesis, dA is protected with a benzoyl group and dG is protected with an isobutyryl group. These protecting groups require heating in concentrated ammonium hydroxide for 8 h to remove. To test if our chemical phosphorylation and catching by polymerization techniques are compatible with these relatively harsher conditions, the 25-mer ODN 5.11 (Figure 5.6) was synthesized using this normal protecting strategy. Specifically, phosphoramidite monomers were Bz-dA, i-Bu-dG, Ac-dC and dT. The capping reagent was acetic anhydride. Except for these
modifications, all other reagents and conditions including the synthesis cycle are the same as those for the synthesis of 5.4. ODN 5.11 was cleaved from CPG with concentrated ammonium hydroxide at room temperature. The solution was then heated to 55 °C for 8 h to remove protecting groups. The crude 5.11 was analyzed with HPLC (trace a, Figure 5.7) and purified with the catching by polymerization procedure as described for purification of 5.4. The purified 25-mer ODN 5.12 (Figure 5.6) was analyzed with HPLC to give trace b (Figure 5.7). The recovering yield was estimated to be 79% by comparing the area of the peak at 18 min in trace b (Figure 5.7) with that of the peak at 58 min in the trace a (Figure 5.7).

![Figure 5.6](image-url)  
*Figure 5.6. Sequence of ODN 5.11, and 5.12*
This new ODN phosphorylation and purification technology provides a convenient method to access 5’-phosphorylated ODNs. We have found that the acrylation phosphoramidite 5.1 is stable for at least one month when stored at -20 °C under nitrogen in the dark.

In the future, when this compound or its revised version is commercialized, highly pure 5’-phosphorylated ODNs can be obtained using the following procedure: (1) Coupling the acrylation phosphoramidite to the ODN at the end of synthesis. (2) Perform cleavage
and deprotection as usual. (3) Subject the crude ODN to polymerization. (4) Wash the polymer with water. (5) Cleave ODN from the polymer. (6) Treat ODN with concentrated ammonium hydroxide shortly and precipitate ODN with n-BuOH. Several advantages of this technology are remarkable. When compared with HPLC, this technology requires minimum volume of solvent; the cost of the HPLC instrument and its maintenance fee can also be saved. When compared with fluorous affinity purification and biotin–streptavidin enabled affinity purification methodologies, which are also efficient and convenient, this new technology does not require any solid phase extraction material. The chemicals for the polymerization reaction are all commercially available, inexpensive, and can be stored for extended periods of time under suitable conditions. These materials are only needed in small quantities. The most significant advantage of the new technology is that it can be readily scaled up. Because there is no need of any type of chromatography, and purification is achieved by simple manipulations such as shaking and extraction, large quantities of ODN can be purified in each batch. This can be easily envisioned by the fact that there is virtually no cost difference between purification of 1 mg of ODN and purification of 1 g of ODN. If any other methods are used, the difference could be enormous. Due to these advantages, we expect that the technology presented in this paper will be widely used in industry and academia for the production of pure 5´-phosphorylated ODNs.
5.3 Conclusions

In conclusion, by combining a commercialized chemical phosphorylation technique and our newly developed ODN purification methodology, we have developed a new technology for the purification of synthetic 5’-phosphorylated ODN. The technology is simple, convenient, inexpensive, and highly efficient. It may be readily scaled up and affords pure ODN at both small and large scales. We believe that it will provide a more affordable way for scientists to obtain 5’-phosphorylated ODNs for applications in chemistry, biology and medicine.

5.4 Experimental

General

All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Reagents and solvents available from commercial sources were used as received unless otherwise noted. CH₂Cl₂ was distilled over CaH₂. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Sigma-Aldrich TLC plates, silica gel 60F-254 over glass support, 0.25 mm thickness. Flash column chromatography was performed using Selecto Scientific silica gel, particle size 32–63 mm. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on a Varian UNITY INOVA spectrometer at 400, 100 and 162 MHz, respectively; chemical shifts (δ) were reported in reference to solvent peaks (residue CHCl₃ at δ 7.24 ppm for ¹H and CDCl₃ at 77.00 ppm for ¹³C) and H₃PO₄ (at δ 0.00 ppm for ³¹P). High resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan Mat 95XL spectrometer. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum was obtained on a Shimadzu Biotech Axima CFRplus spectrometer. ODNs were synthesized on an ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer. HPLC was
performed on a JASCO LC-2000Plus System, Pump PU-2089Plus Quaternary Gradient Pump, Detector UV-2075Plus. C-18 RP analytical column (5 mm diameter, 100 Å, 250 × 3.20 mm) was used. Solvent A: 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate, 5% acetonitrile; solvent B: 90% acetonitrile. All profiles were generated by detection of absorbance of DNA at 260 nm using the linear gradient solvent system: solvent B (0–45%) in solvent A over 60 min followed by solvent B (45%–100%) in solvent A over 20 min at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹.

1. THF/pyridine/Pac₂O, THF/pyridine/Ac₂O, succinic ester linked DMTr-dTlcaa- CPG (pore sizes 1000 Å and 2000 Å ; lcaa = long chain alkylamino), 5’-DMTr 2-cyanoethyl phosphoramidites acetyl-dC, Pac-dA (Pac = phenoxyacetyl), Bz-dA, 4-isopropyl-Pac-dG, i-Bu-dG and dT, and other commonly used solid phase DNA synthesis reagents were purchased from Glen Research, Inc.

**Synthesis of compound 5.3**

A round-bottomed flask containing compound 5.2¹⁶ (200 mg, 0.49 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and a magnetic stirring bar was evacuated and then refilled with nitrogen. The evacuation and nitrogen filling cycle was repeated for two more times. Dry DMF (2 mL) and diisopropylethylamine (254 mL, 1.46 mmol, 3.0 eq.) were added via syringes. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Diisopropylsilyl bis(trifluoromethane sulfonate) (144 mL, 0.487 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in dry DMF (1 mL) was added via a syringe in one portion at 0 °C. The
solution was stirred at 0 ºC for 1 h and rt for 2 h. Imidazole (50 mg, 0.73 mmol, 1.5 eq.) in dry DMF (1 mL) was added via a syringe. The solution was stirred for 1 h, and then added to a flask containing diethyl bis(hydroxymethyl)malonate (107 mg, 0.487 mmol, 1.0 eq.), imidazole (33 mg, 0.487 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and DMF (2 mL) at 0 ºC via a cannula slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 ºC for 4 h, and then quenched with 5% NaHCO3 (0 ºC, 50 mL). EtOAc (0 ºC, 30 mL) was added, and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (0 ºC, 30 mL × 3). The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil. Purification with flash column chromatography (SiO2, hexanes/EtOAc, 3 : 1 to 1 : 1) gave **5.3** as a pale yellow oil (151 mg, 42%): Rf 0.60 (SiO2, hexanes/EtOAc = 1 : 2); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.07 (br s, 1H), 5.94 (br s, 1H), 5.614–5.609 (m, 1H), 5.25–5.24 (m, 1H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 4.21–4.08 (m, 6H), 3.86 (br s, 1H), 3.26–3.21 (m, 2H), 3.18–3.13 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.14 (m, 2H), 1.91–1.90 (m, 3H), 1.83–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.53–1.37 (m, 8H), 1.25–1.19 (m, 22H), 0.99–0.95 (m, 14H), 0.83–0.76 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.8, 169.3, 168.7,140.4, 119.3, 78.8, 61.8, 61.6, 61.5, 61.4, 39.9, 39.8, 34.7, 31.6, 31.3, 31.1, 30.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 27.1, 18.9, 18.1, 17.9, 14.4, 14.2, 13.7, 8.5; HRMS (ESI, [M+Na]+) calculated for C39H74N2NaO9Si 765.5061, found 765.5069.
Synthesis of phosphoramidite 5.1

A round-bottomed flask containing 5.3 (119 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and a magnetic stirring bar was evacuated and then refilled with nitrogen. The evacuation and nitrogen-filling cycle was repeated for two more times. Dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) and 2-cyanoethyl-$N,N,N',N'$-tetraisopropylphosphoramidite (60 mL, 0.176 mmol, 1.1 eq.) were then added via syringes. A 1$H$-tetrazole solution in CH$_3$CN (0.45 M, 391 mL, 0.176 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added via a syringe in one portion. After stirring at rt for 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was purified with flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, hexanes/EtOAc/ Et$_3$N = 3 : 1 : 1) giving 5.1 as a colorless oil (150 mg, 99%): R$_f$ 0.40 (SiO$_2$, hexanes/EtOAc/ Et$_3$N = 3 : 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 6.00 (br s, 1H), 5.85 (br s, 1H), 5.64–5.60 (m, 1H), 5.26–5.257 (m, 1H), 4.23–4.10 (m, 8H), 3.80–3.71 (m, 2H), 3.56–3.47 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.23 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.15 (m, 2H), 2.58–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.15 (m, 2H), 1.921–1.920 (m, 3H), 1.85–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.38 (m, 8H), 1.24–1.18 (m, 24H), 1.14–1.11 (m, 10H), 1.00–0.98 (m, 14H), 0.82 (t, 6H, J = 7.6 Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.6, 168.6, 140.5, 119.2, 117.7, 79.1, 61.7, 61.5, 61.1, 61.0, 58.7, 58.5, 43.4, 43.3, 39.9, 39.7, 35.6, 34.2, 31.9, 31.1, 29.8, 29.71, 29.67, 29.59, 29.50, 29.46, 27.1, 24.8, 24.74, 24.74, 24.69, 24.66, 20.55, 20.49, 18.9, 18.1, 17.9, 14.4, 14.1, 13.6, 8.53; $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 149.9.
ODN 5.4 synthesis, cleavage and deprotection

The 20-mer 5’-acrylated and phosphorylated ODN 5.4 was synthesized on an ABI DNA/RNA synthesizer at 1 mmol scale. The solid support was lcaa-CPG with a pore size of 1000 Å. The ODN was anchored to the support through a succinic ester linkage. The following 5’-DMTr-protected 2-cyanoethyl phosphoramidite monomers were used for the synthesis: Pac-dA, 4-isopropyl-Pac-dG, Ac-dC and dT. THF/pyridine/Pac₂O was used as the capping reagent. The manufacturer recommended synthetic cycle was followed except that the phosphoramidite 5.1 was coupled for 5 min. After synthesis, the ODN was cleaved from CPG with concentrated NH₄OH at rt and allowed to stand under these conditions for 8 h. The solution of crude ODN was divided into 10 equal portions, and evaporated to dryness in 10 Eppendorf tubes in a vacuum SpeedVac concentrator. One portion was dissolved in 150 mL water, of which 20 mL was injected into HPLC to generate trace in Figure 5.1.
The remaining 130 mL solution of the crude ODN 5.4 was transferred into a 2-necked round-bottomed flask. The Eppendorf tube was washed with water (40 mL × 3) and the washes were added to the flask. A polymerization solution [250 mL; \(N,N\)-dimethylacrylamide (1.69 M) and \(N,N'\)-methylenebis(acrylamide) (16.9 mM) in water; the solution can be pre-prepared and stored at -20°C in the dark for at least 1 month] was added via a pipette. The mixture was gently stirred under a nitrogen flow for 2 min. The solution of \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4\) (10%, 5 mL) was then added via a pipette, which was followed by \(N,N,N',N'\)-tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA, 5 mL). The mixture was stirred gently under nitrogen at rt for 30 min. The ODN–polyacrylamide conjugate 5.6 was formed. The failure sequences 5.5 remained in solution (Scheme 4.2). The gel was allowed to stand for another 30 min to ensure complete polymerization.
Removal of failure sequences and other impurities

To the ODN–polymer conjugate 5.6 in the round-bottomed flask was added 3 mL water. The content was gently shaken at rt overnight. The supernatant, which contained the failure sequences 5.5 and other impurities, was removed with a pipette. The gel was further washed with water (2 mL × 3; 2 h each time). The supernatant and the washes were combined and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 130 mL water, of which 20 mL was injected into HPLC to generate trace in Figure 5.2.

Cleavage of full-length ODN from polymer and releasing the 5´-phosphate group

The gel in the round-bottomed flask was dried under vacuum. Dry DMF (2 mL) was added via a pipette, which was followed by HF–pyridine complex (60 mL). The mixture was shaken gently under nitrogen for 5 h. Me$_3$SiOMe (500 mL) was then added. After shaking for 15 min, the supernatant was transferred to Eppendorf tubes. The gel was extracted with water (2 mL 63 at rt; 12 h, 2 h, 2 h, respectively). The supernatant and the extracts were evaporated to dryness in a SpeedVac vacuum concentrator and were combined to give ODN 5.7 (Scheme 5.2). To deprotect the 5´-phosphate group of 5.7, concentrated NH$_4$OH (~28%, 100 mL) was added. After a short vortex, the mixture was heated to 80 °C for 30 min. After cooling to rt, $n$-BuOH (900 mL) was added. The mixture was vortexed for 30 s and then centrifuged at 14.5 K for 5 min. The supernatant was removed. The residue was further dried shortly in a SpeedVac. The ODN 5.8 was dissolved in 130 mL water, of which 20 mL was injected into HPLC to generate the profile (Figure 5.3). The recovery yield of the purification process was estimated to be 55% by comparing the area of the peak in Figure 5.3 at 19 min with the peak area in Figure 5.1 at 62 min.
MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ODN 5.8: calculated for [M-2H+Na]⁻ \( C_{194}H_{247}N_{67}NaO_{125}P_{20} \) 6159.0, found 6159.8.

Synthesis and purification of the 61-mer ODN 5.10

For the solid phase synthesis, cleavage and deprotection of 5.9, the procedure for 5.4 was followed except for the following modifications. CPG with a pore size of 2000 Å instead of 1000 Å was used. Before synthesis, the CPG was manually capped with Pac₂O for 20 min on the synthesizer. In the synthetic cycle, a 25-second waiting step was added after each delivery of coupling reagents (phosphoramidite and tetrazole) to the synthesis column. An additional capping step was added, and after each capping step, a 50-second waiting step was added. The catching by polymerization procedure was exactly the same as described for 5.4. However, for RP HPLC analysis of 5.10, buffer A that contained 10% urea was used.
Synthesis and purification of the 25-mer ODN 5.12 using normal base protecting groups

For the solid phase synthesis, cleavage and deprotection of 5.11, the procedure for 5.4 was followed except for the following modifications. The 5′-DMTr-protected 2-cyanoethyl phosphoramidite monomers Bz-dA, i-Bu-dG, Ac-dC and dT were used. The capping agents were replaced with THF/pyridine/Ac₂O. After cleaving the ODN from CPG with concentrated NH₄OH at rt, the solution was heated to 55°C for 8 h in a tightly capped vial. The catching by polymerization procedure was exactly the same as described for 5.4.
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Chapter 6

Future Research Plan

ODN purification technology has become vital for many research applications including ODN drug development and biological studies. In preceding chapters, we described our technology which is to purify synthetic ODN including catching the full-length sequence and catching failure sequences. The principle is to use polymerization reaction to purify ODN, which is low cost and simple. Despite the fact that the technology is successful, there are some elements that we can improve the methods and extend this technology for the future.

6.1 User-friendly cleavable linker for ODN Purification by Catching Full-length Sequence

The phosphoramidite monomer 3.1, which was described in chapter 3, has diisopropyl silyl acetal group as a cleavable linker. After polymerization and impurities removal, purified ODN was released from the gel under anhydrous conditions using HF-pyridine. Although the results from the purification method were satisfactory, HF-pyridine might cause a problem when doing large-scale ODN purification because HF is corrosive and very toxic. In addition, cleavage will not be efficient if the gel still contains water. Thus, the procedure requires the step to remove water from the gel.

To simplify the method, the other phosphoramidite monomer is proposed in Figure 6.1. The phosphoramidite 6.1 has a modified DMTr group as a cleavable linker. This can avoid using HF-pyridine. Unlike diisopropyl silyl acetal group, this modified DMTr can
be cleaved using weak acid (e.g., CH₃COOH). Also, it does not need to remove water from the gel before cleaving. The purification process is proposed in scheme 6.1. The compound 6.1 would be coupled in the last cycle of ODN synthesis to get crude ODN (6.2 and 6.3). Then, 6.2 would be incorporated in the gel during polymerization. Once impurities are removed, weak acidic conditions would be used to cleave 6.4 to get pure 6.5.

![Phosphoramidite 6.1](image)

*Figure 6.1. Phosphoramidite 6.1*
**Scheme 6.1.** Proposed ODN purification using phosphoramidite 6.1
6.2 Detailed Studies on ODN Purification by Capping Failure Sequences

In chapter 4, the phosphoramidite 4.1 was introduced for catching failure sequences. To continue further studies, the other three capping phosphoramidites would be designed to test capping efficiency and optimal time (Figure 6.2). The hypothesis is that ether oxygen atom in each compound can increase the solubility in organic solvent (e.g. acetonitrile). Therefore, their reaction kinetics while capping might be better than 4.1.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 6.2. New polymerizable capping phosphoramidites**

Moreover, the detailed studies will also be focusing on diffusion speed and extraction efficiency of ODN from gel using different cross-linking ratio, purification of long sequence ODN, larger scale ODN purification, and polymerization of failure sequences in air. Finally, purified ODN will be tested for the stability under radical polymerization by complete enzymatic ODN digestion. All nucleosides from the digestion will be analyzed by RP HPLC.
6.3 Synthetic Peptide Purification using Polymerization Approach

Since synthetic peptides are beneficial to many research areas, such as clinical trials, therapeutic studies, and molecular biology, it is undeniable that peptide purification is essential for them. Therefore, purification methodology will be applied to synthetic peptides. In this case, both methods (Catching full-length peptide and catching failure sequences) will be planned for peptide purification.

For catching full-length peptide, compound 6.9 which consists of reactive \( p \)-nitrophenyl carbonate, an acid-labile linker, and polymerizable group will be synthesized (Figure 6.3). This compound will be incorporated in the last cycle of peptide synthesis. Then, full-length peptide will be in the gel by polymerization. Impurities including failure sequences will be removed by washing. Purified peptide will be given by cleaving from the gel. For catching failure sequences, compound 6.10 will be used as a capping agent (Figure 6.4). All failure sequences will be capped with 6.10. Then, polymerization will remove failure sequences and give pure full-length peptide.
Figure 6.3. Compound 6.9

Figure 6.4. Compound 6.10
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Scalable Synthetic Oligodeoxynucleotide Purification with Use of a Catching by Polymerization, Washing, and Releasing Approach
Figure A.1. $^1$H-NMR of compound 3.1
Figure A.2. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 3.1
Figure A.3. $^{31}$P-NMR of compound 3.1
Figure A.4. $^1$H-NMR of compound 3.2
Figure A.5. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 3.2
Figure A.6. $^1$H-NMR of compound 3.3
Figure A.7. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 3.3
Figure A.8. $^1$H-NMR of compound 3.4
Figure A.9. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 3.4
Figure A.10. $^1$H-NMR of compound 3.5
Figure A.11. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 3.5
Figure A.12. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ODN 3.9 purified using the catching by polymerization, washing and releasing approach.
Appendix B

Supporting Information for Chapter 4

Synthetic Oligodeoxynucleotide Purification by Polymerization of Failure Sequences
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Table B.1. ODN synthetic cycle using polymerizable phosphoramidite as capping agent

ODN synthetic cycle using polymerizable phosphoramidite 4.1 as the capping agent

Synthesizer: standard ABI 394 solid phase synthesizer; 4-column 8-base instrument

Polymerizable capping agent: 0.2 M solution of 4.1 in acetonitrile, placed at the bottle 5 position

The bottles for normal Ac₂O capping agents are empty

Activator for the capping phosphoramidite: from the same bottle for the coupling step

Synthesis scale: 0.2 µmol

Column used: column 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step number</th>
<th>Function number</th>
<th>Function name</th>
<th>Step time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Begin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Phos Prep</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Column 2 on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step number</td>
<td>Function number</td>
<td>Function name</td>
<td>Step time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Block vent</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Tet to waste</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>B+Tet to column</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tet to column</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>B+Tet to column</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Push to column</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Column 2 off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>wait</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15 To column</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flush to waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step number</td>
<td>Function number</td>
<td>Function name</td>
<td>Step time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Column 2 on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Block vent</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Tet to waste</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5+Tet to column</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tet to column</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5+Tet to column</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tet to column</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5+Tet to column</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tet to column</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5+Tet to column</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step number</td>
<td>Function number</td>
<td>Function name</td>
<td>Step time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Push to column</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>Column 2 off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Column 2 on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15 To column</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15 To column</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15 To column</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flush to waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step number</td>
<td>Function number</td>
<td>Function name</td>
<td>Step time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Start detrityl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18 To waste</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>If monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19 To column</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Monitor triyls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Monitor noise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Stop monitor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>If not monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step number</td>
<td>Function number</td>
<td>Function name</td>
<td>Step time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trityl flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trityl flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trityl flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14 To column</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Wait</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trityl flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trityl flush</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>End monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18 To column</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reverse flush</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Block flush</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>End</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Synthetic 5′-Phosphorylated Oligodeoxynucleotide Purification through Catching Full-length Sequences by Polymerization
Figure C.1. $^1$H-NMR of compound 5.1 in CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz
Figure C.2. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 5.1
Figure C.3. $^{31}$P-NMR of compound 5.1
Figure C.4. $^1$H-NMR of compound 5.3
Figure C.5. $^{13}$C-NMR of compound 5.3
Figure C.6. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ODN 5.8 purified by polymerization of failure sequences.
Figure C.7. MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of ODN 5.8 (Expanded) purified by polymerization of failure sequences.

MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrum of ODN 5.8
(Expanded)

Calculated for [M-2H+Na]+ 6159.0, found 6159.8
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