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On 28 February 2000, a volcanic cloud from Hekla volcano, Iceland, was serendipitously sampled by a DC-8 research aircraft during the SAGE III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment (SOLVE I). It was encountered at night at 10.4 km above sea level (in the lower stratosphere) and 33–34 hours after emission. The cloud is readily identified by abundant SO$_2$ ($\leq$1 ppmv), HCl ($\leq$70 ppbv), HF ($\leq$60 ppbv), and particles (which may have included fine silicate ash). We compare observed and modeled cloud compositions to understand its chemical evolution. Abundances of sulfur and halogen species indicate some oxidation of sulfur gases but limited scavenging and removal of halides. Chemical modeling suggests that cloud concentrations of water vapor and nitric acid promoted polar stratospheric cloud (PSC) formation at 201–203 K, yielding ice, nitric acid trihydrate (NAT), sulfuric acid tetrahydrate (SAT), and liquid ternary solution H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O/HNO$_3$ (STS) particles. We show that these volcanically induced PSCs, especially the ice and NAT particles, activated volcanogenic halogens in the cloud producing $>2$ ppbv ClO$_x$. This would have destroyed ozone during an earlier period of daylight, consistent with the very low levels of ozone observed. This combination of volcanogenic PSCs and chlorine destroyed ozone at much faster rates than other PSCs that Arctic winter. Elevated levels of HNO$_3$ and NO$_y$ in the cloud can be explained by atmospheric nitrogen fixation in the eruption column due to high temperatures and/or volcanic lightning. However, observed elevated levels of HO$_x$ remain unexplained given that the cloud was sampled at night.


1. Introduction

The 2000 eruption of Hekla volcano began at about 1815 UT on 26 February, with a brief (1–2 hours long)
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explosive phase generating a volcanic cloud reaching 10–12 km above sea level. During its first few hours, this volcanic cloud drifted with the wind to the NNE, and produced an ash fall blanket with an estimated bulk volume of 0.01 km$^3$ [Haraldsson, 2002]. Snowfall associated with the volcanic cloud in Iceland scavenged SO$_2^-$, Cl$^-$, and F$^-$ [Moune et al., 2006]. The eruption then became effusive in character with about 0.18 km$^3$ of lava emitted from a fissure over the next 11 days. The total mass of the initial tephra fall deposit and lava flows was estimated as 4.14 $\times$ 10$^{11}$ kg [Sharma et al., 2004]. The explosive phase of the eruption was detected by many satellite sensors, which showed that the cloud was SO$_2$-rich and its particles were predominately composed of ice [Rose et al., 2003]. Ground-based meteorological radar observations imaged the eruption volcanic cloud for 7–10 hours, i.e., up to 9 hours after the end of the eruption. The radar signals are consistent with ice nucleation and growth persisting for several hours after the explosive phase stopped, the volcanic cloud continued to produce radar reflections even after fallout of coarse ice and ash [Lacasse et al., 2003]. After the explosive phase the
ice-rich volcanic cloud drifted northward toward Svalbard for about 35 hours (see Figures 1a and 1b), while the mass of ice present in the cloud diminished from >1 Tg to less than 0.2 Tg. The initial SO$_2$ mass in the cloud was estimated at 0.16–0.24 Tg [Rose et al., 2003].

[3] Thirty-three to thirty-four hours after eruption (inferred because the aircraft encountered the younger portion of a cloud erupting from 35 to 33 hours before), at 0508–0518 UT on 28 February 2000 an atmospheric research DC-8 aircraft from NASA Dryden crossed the volcanic cloud at an altitude of 10.4 km at 76.4–75.7°N latitude and 9.0–4.4°W longitude (representing a distance traveled of about 100 km; Figure 1a). Modeled trajectories for the volcanic cloud at various levels are shown in Figure 1b. The aircraft was instrumented to study polar stratospheric clouds as part of the SAGE III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment (SOLVE/THESEO 2000 [Newman et al., 2002]) campaign, and most of the analytical equipment was in operation during the encounter. The temperature in the cloud was 201–203 K (Figure 2) and because of the time of year and latitude (before true dawn) there is not likely to have been any significant solar heating effects. In an earlier paper [Rose et al., 2003] we reported on the remote sensing and validations that came from the instruments on the NASA aircraft. In another study, Hunton et al. [2005] used part of the initial encounter SOLVE/THESEO 2000 data plus measurements collected from subsequent volcanic cloud encounters over the following 18 days to investigate the processes involved in formation of H$_2$SO$_4$ and other reactions. In this paper we investigate only the measurements from the first encounter, where the cloud is less diluted, with the aim to understand the early stages of chemical and physical evolution of a volcanogenic stratospheric cloud by combining the observations from the aircraft with a comprehensive stratospheric chemistry model along the volcanic cloud trajectory. As some of the aircraft results were used to initialize this model we will begin by discussing these measurements before describing the modeling methodology.

2. Direct Measurements of the Hekla Volcanic Cloud

[4] Table 1 shows a listing of data used in this paper from the NASA DC-8 during the SOLVE I mission. All were collected on 28 February 2000 at 0508 to 0518 UT, during the intersection of the aircraft with the drifting volcanic cloud. For this study, the data were obtained by downloading from the SOLVE web page where all data have been archived for more than four years. Estimates of errors in these data are not always available since the volcanic cloud had a somewhat unusual chemistry. References to articles that discuss errors are given in Table 1.

[5] SO$_2$, HCl, and HF mixing ratios were determined with the Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (Figures 3a and 3b). All three species provide clear definitions of the cloud boundary, since all of these gases have low abundance in the ambient atmosphere. The mixing ratios of the three gases are strongly correlated and the mean mass ratio of HCl/SO$_2$ is 1.15 and HCl/NO$_x$ is 0.068. These chemical signatures are consistent with volcanic gas composition as discussed below in section 3.2.

Figure 1a. Map showing the flight path of the NASA DC-8 traveling from NASA Dryden to Kiruna, Sweden, on 26–28 February 2000. The position of the Hekla volcanic cloud at 1115 UT on 28 February 2000 based on MODIS imagery [Rose et al., 2003] is shown. The aircraft encountered the volcanic cloud at 0508–0518 UT on 28 February.

[6] Several other gaseous components were enriched in the volcanic cloud compared with the background beyond the volcanic cloud margins (Figure 4), including HNO$_3$, NO$_x$, H$_2$SO$_4$, CO and possibly CO$_2$, HNO$_3$ and NO$_x$ show highly correlated patterns (NO$_x$/HNO$_3$ = 3–4) with highest values (~4 ppbv HNO$_3$, ~12 ppbv NO$_x$) on the north side of the volcanic cloud. NO$_3$ is a grab bag designation for reactive nitrogen compounds including NO$_2$, NO (known collectively as NO$_x$) and all compounds that are products of atmospheric oxidation of NO$_x$ (HONO, NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$, HNO$_4$ and a number of other species). Gas phase H$_2$SO$_4$ correlates with SO$_2$ with a ratio of 1:3000, although most H$_2$SO$_4$ in the volcanic cloud is likely to be in particle form; both species are enriched on the north side of the cloud. CO has a minor (~4 ppbv) enrichment, while CO$_2$ is barely above background (~1 ppmv). CO is also more enriched on the north side of the cloud. Measurements of OH and HO$_2$ show remarkable increases in the volcanic cloud (Figure 5), which we discuss more fully below. Ozone was strongly depleted inside the volcanic cloud especially on the north side, where it is almost altogether absent. CH$_4$ and NO$_2$ show some evidence for decreases in the volcanic cloud compared to the background (~30 ppbv for CH$_4$; ~25 pptv for NO$_2$). Several other gases have mixing ratios indistinguishable from the air outside the volcanic cloud (HCN, NO, N$_2$O). The temperatures measured during the cloud encounter ranged from 201 to 203 K, while the pressures ranged from 216.3 to 216.9 hPa. We note that measurements may not reflect the volcanic cloud alone, but likely also reflect differences between stratospheric and tropospheric air during dynamic mixing (the volcanic cloud has entrained tropospheric air on its passage to the stratosphere). However, as we will discuss later (section 4.4), the mixing of tropospheric air into the stratosphere alone cannot account for the pronounced negative O$_3$ anomaly within the volcanic cloud.

[7] Particle measurements also define the volcanic cloud well. There are SOLVE/THESEO 2000 data for two size ranges: <2 µm and 0.5–20 µm. Figure 6a shows the
strikingly higher (fine particles from 4 to 2000 nm diameter) fine particle number densities detected within the Hekla volcanic cloud and reported by Hunton et al. [2005]. According to Hunton et al. [2005] a majority of non refractory aerosols can be volatilized with heating ("unheated" in Figure 6a represents the sum of volatile and non volatile aerosols) and these volatile aerosols likely represent ice, sulfate and nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) aerosols, while the "nonvolatile" ("heated" in Figure 6a) fraction (~20–30%) is made of non condensed matter and may be silicate ash. Hunton et al. [2005] reported a maximum total "aerosol" volume (4–2000 nm diameter) of 65 μm² cm⁻³, of which a significant fraction may be very fine ash. Hunton et al. [2005] also report peaks in the "aerosol" (4–2000 nm diameter) size distribution at 0.07 μm and 0.36 μm. Little is known about ash this fine, but it seems likely that this fine material may have nucleated larger ice particles detected by remote sensing [Rose et al., 2003] and the FSSP-300 (Figure 6a).

In this paper we have used data from the FSSP-300 probe, which provides a variety of information on particles in the diameter range of 0.42 and 20 μm. This size range only overlaps slightly with the aerosol size data discussed by Hunton et al. [2005]. As shown by Hallar et al. [2004] this instrument yields the particle size distribution and mass proportions of particles and also the surface area. Figures 6b and 6c show striking particle size patterns of the volcanic cloud as defined by the FSSP-300. Figure 6b shows particle size distributions within the Hekla cloud, and Figure 6c plots FSSP data showing how particle size and numbers

---

**Figure 1b.** NOAA Hysplit model results for forward trajectories of the Hekla Volcanic cloud, beginning above the volcano at 1800 UT on 26 February 2000, at elevations of 6000 (red), 9000 (blue), and 12,000 m (green) above sea level. Points are plotted every 6 hours. The blue line tracks closely to the positions determined by satellite data [Rose et al., 2003].
3. Modeling the Chemistry of the Volcanic Cloud

Previous atmospheric chemistry studies of volcanic source gases have focused on the emission of sulfur compounds [Bekki and Pyle, 1994; Bekki, 1995; Bekki et al., 1996; Al-Saadi et al., 2001; Rozanov et al., 2002; Tie et al., 2003]. Halogen emissions from volcanoes have been measured widely in the troposphere [Symonds et al., 1988; Zreda-Gostynska et al., 1997; Bureau et al., 2000; Horrocks et al., 2003; Bobrowski et al., 2003; Delmelle, 2003; Aiuppa et al., 2005a]; however, the direct measurement of volcanically enhanced halogen gases at this altitude in an explosive eruption volcanic cloud is limited to these interceptions of Hekla’s volcanic cloud by the DC-8 in 2000. Previous to this, it was uncertain if significant amounts of HCl would ever reach the stratosphere during a volcanic eruption due to uptake onto particles and rain out within the volcanic cloud [Tabazadeh and Turco, 1993; Textor et al., 2003]. The DC-8 measurements in this paper also show the efficacy of volcanic emissions to destroy stratospheric ozone. We shall attempt to model this here.

3.1. Modeling Experiments

A stratospheric chemical box model has been used along the volcanic cloud trajectory from eruption start to interception with the DC-8. A back trajectory cluster was calculated from the interception point to the eruption start time and location using 6 hourly operational analyses of winds and temperature from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). The calculations here have been performed using the TM5 model, which has previously been used extensively to study volcanic gas transport [Fischer et al., 2004].

Table 1. Measurements Discussed in This Paper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>SOLVE PI (Reference)*</th>
<th>DC8 Data File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂, HCl, HF, HNO₃, H₂SO₄, H₂S, HCN</td>
<td>CIMS</td>
<td>A Viggiano, J Ballenthin, D Hunton (4)</td>
<td>MS200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO, NO₂</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>Y Kondo</td>
<td>NU200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, CH₄, N₂O</td>
<td>DACOM IR Laser Spectrometer</td>
<td>Glen Sachse</td>
<td>DA200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>LICOR NDIR</td>
<td>Stephanie Vay</td>
<td>DA200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ ozone</td>
<td>FASTOZ NO chemiluminescence</td>
<td>M Avery</td>
<td>OS200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O vapor</td>
<td>Laser Hygrometer</td>
<td>R Heron R May (2)</td>
<td>IW200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH/O₃</td>
<td>FAGE/LIF</td>
<td>William Brune (3)</td>
<td>OH200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42 to 20 μm particle data</td>
<td>FSSP-300</td>
<td>Bruce Anderson (1)</td>
<td>AP200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude, longitude, altitude</td>
<td>GPS DADS</td>
<td>C Sorenson, K Guenther</td>
<td>DG200000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, Pot T</td>
<td>DADS</td>
<td>C Sorenson, K Guenther</td>
<td>DM200000227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*References are 1, Hallar et al. [2004]; 2, May [1998]; 3, Brune et al. [1995]; and 4, Ballenthin et al. [2003]. All of these data are available on the Web at the SOLVE/THESO 2000: http://cloud1.arc.nasa.gov/solve/ (P. A. Newman, SAGE III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment, SOLVE, a NASA DC-8, ER-2 and High Altitude Balloon Mission, 1999).
Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) [Methven, 1997; Cape et al., 2000]. The model contains detailed stratospheric chemistry and photochemical data are taken from Sander et al. [2003]. The total available PSC surface area of the individual particle types; liquid ternary solution H$_2$SO$_4$/H$_2$O/HNO$_3$ (STS), nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) and ice particles is determined by uptake of gas to solid phase and the number density assumed for each particle type [Hanson and Mauersberger, 1988; Carslaw et al., 1995]. Reactions on sulfuric acid tetrahydrate (SAT) particles were also included even though these particles have not been unambiguously detected in the stratosphere as conditions within the plume may be suitable for heterogeneous SAT nucleation. Chemical processing by a SAT dominated volcanic plume will be contrasted to the volcanically induced PSC processing in section 4.2 and 4.4. The box model does not include any microphysics or particle growth. In this study it is assumed that ice and NAT particles fall at velocities appropriate for particles of approximately 5 and 4 $\mu$m diameters, respectively. STS and SAT aerosols are assumed to be <1 $\mu$m and so do not sediment out of the box model. Particle number densities were chosen to reflect the size distributions measured by the FSSP-300 probe (Figures 6a and 6c) and FCAS II/NMASS, i.e., 2 cm$^{-3}$ for ice, and 25 cm$^{-3}$ for NAT, STS, and SAT aerosols. The box model does not contain oxidation of SO$_2$ to H$_2$SO$_4$; however, this is likely to be of minor importance to this study as this oxidation has already been carefully estimated for the Hekla Cloud: ~7% of SO$_2$ was converted to H$_2$SO$_4$ within 35 hrs of the eruption [see Rose et al., 2003, p. 126; Hunton et al., 2005].

[11] Initial background chemical fields were supplied by the three-dimensional off-line chemical transport model, SLIMCAT, also driven with 6 hourly ECMWF analyses. SLIMCAT has been used extensively in stratospheric chemistry investigations [Chipperfield et al., 1997; Chipperfield, 1999; Guirlet et al., 2000] and was used to investigate ozone loss during the same year as Hekla’s eruption as part of the SOLVE/THESO 2000 campaign [Sinnhuber et al., 2000; Ross et al., 2004; Robinson et al., 2005]. Potential vorticity diagnosed from the ECMWF analyses indicates that the volcanic cloud air parcel remains within the lower stratosphere throughout the trajectory.

3.2. Volcanic Emissions Scenarios Used Within the Model

[12] To consider what volcanic gases might be expected in the Hekla cloud, we first consulted data on volcanic gases directly sampled. Such data are generally sparse, and there are no magmatic gas samples available from Hekla. We selected three rare “ uncontaminated” direct gas samples from volcanoes with similar rift tectonic associations (Table 2). One sample is from Surtsey, an effusive eruption in 1964–1967 not far from Hekla along the same rift, and two from Erta Ale, in Ethiopia, associated with the Afar hot spot. To represent HF we also have consulted sources such as Symonds et al. [1994], who looked at halogen emissions worldwide, and Oskarsson [1980, 1981], who studied F-rich tephra from Hekla apparently resulting from HF scavenging during eruption and ash fallout. Rose et al. [2003] used remote sensing to estimate a mass of 1 Tg of H$_2$O in the Hekla cloud and SO$_2$ masses were similarly determined at 0.2 Tg, hence we infer that the Hekla volcanic...
Figure 4. Measurements of Hekla volcanic cloud, 28 February 2000. (a) Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer results for gaseous SO$_2$ and H$_2$SO$_4$ (b) CIMS results for gaseous HNO$_3$ and HCN. (c) Infrared laser spectrometry measurements of CO, and LiCor IR measurements of CO$_2$ (measurements described in Table 1). (d) Infrared laser spectrometry measurements of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. (e) In situ ozone mixing ratios (M. Avery, B. Anderson; NASA Langley RC). (f) Chemiluminescence data for NO, NO$_2$ and NO$_3$ (Kondo, University of Tokyo).
cloud (if it is like mean high-temperature fumaroles compositions calculated from the data in Table 2) should have \( \sim 0.3 \text{Tg CO}_2 \), \( \sim 0.03 \text{Tg H}_2 \), \( \sim 0.02 \text{Tg CO} \), \( \sim 0.02 \text{Tg H}_2\text{S} \), \( \sim 0.02 \text{Tg HCl} \), and \( \sim 0.02 \text{Tg HF} \). Scaling these proportions to the 1–1.2 ppmv measured \text{SO}_2 mixing ratio (Figure 3b), we would (assuming no reactions and removal in the volcanic plume during 35 hours) expect, as a first-order estimate, the other gases in the volcanic cloud would have the following compositions: 1.4–1.6 ppmv \text{CO}_2, 0.2 ppmv \text{H}_2, 52–63 ppbv \text{CO}, 82–98 ppbv \text{H}_2\text{S}, 50–60 ppbv \text{HCl}, and 50–60 ppbv \text{HF}.

Table 2, combined with estimates of the nitrogen species concentrations made using the aircraft measurements (section 2), was used to compile a series of emissions scenarios to initialize the model. These concentrations were added to background concentrations within the box model (see Table 3 for details) approximately above Hekla at a time corresponding to the start of the eruption. Hekla \text{HCl} concentrations are estimated to reach 68 ppb, although measurements on the DC-8 suggest a maximum of 60 ± 30 ppb. A smaller value of 45 ppb has been chosen to initialize the box model so that \text{HCl} levels match DC-8 values after conversion of \text{ClONO}_2 to hydrogen chloride during the run. Uptake of \text{HCl} onto particles was not found to alter gaseous concentrations permanently.

Satellite brightness temperatures diagnose volcanic cloud temperatures of 203–218 K near the start of the eruption [Rose et al., 2003]. Temperatures used by the model (calculated from ECMWF analyses) suggest the volcanic cloud was 206 K at the start, falling to 200 K at interception with the DC-8. This is up to 3 K warmer than satellite brightness temperatures at the start but returning to realistic temperatures at interception. Therefore a reduction of initial temperatures within the model by 2 K may be realistic. Volcanic cloud chemistry is very sensitive to temperature as inclusion of the volcanic emissions of nitric acid and water vapor brings the threshold of ice formation to within 2 K of the model temperatures. The sensitivity of the volcanic cloud chemistry to temperature was investigated by imposing a cooling of 2–8 K at the trajectory start which relaxed back to ambient analyses temperatures over a time period of 2 days. This is intended to simulate a possible temperature anomaly brought about by an initial adiabatic cooling due to the explosive eruption, which is a subgrid-scale event for the ECMWF analyses and is not captured, followed by decay of...
the anomaly to ambient temperatures on a timescale appropriate for zonal mixing and radiative transfer.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Comparison of Expected With Measured Gas Composition and Amount

[15] Here we compare the first-order estimates based on Table 2 (0.9–1.6 ppmv CO$_2$, 5–21 ppbv H$_2$, 23–73 ppbv CO, 43–115 ppbv H$_2$S, 29 ppbv HCl, and 14–32 ppbv HF) to the anomalies observed in Figures 3 and 4 (~1 ppmv CO$_2$, ~20 ppbv CO, ~0 ppbv H$_2$S, ~68 ppbv HCl, and ~60 ppbv HF). The agreement of CO$_2$ is good, but the anomaly suffers from a poor peak to background relationship. The agreement for HCl and HF we consider good, probably within the uncertainty of the gas composition assumed. Since substantial dissolution of HCl and HF by liquid water which forms in the ascending eruption column is expected in “wet plume” conditions (e.g., Textor et al. [2004], who built this process into the ATHAM model), we note that the relatively “dry plume” environment (high latitude atmospheric profiles are much drier than tropical ones) of the Hekla event did not appear to do much scavenging of HCl and HF and a majority of these gases reached the stratosphere. Perhaps in tropical eruptions, where tropospheric H$_2$O is much higher, scavenging is more important. Leaving aside the H$_2$ comparison for lack of data, the remaining gases appear to be absent or under-represented in the real data. H$_2$S had no measured anomaly in CIMS data and CO approaches the low end of its expected range. The conversion of H$_2$S in the atmosphere (not well constrained in volcanic cloud conditions) may be of the order of one to 5 days [Graedel, 1977; Aiuppa et al., 2005b], with faster conversion rates where OH is present. We suggest that these two gases were oxidized in the Hekla volcanic cloud, a conclusion shared by Hunton et al. [2005].

[16] The source of these volcanic gases is here assumed to be magma, but a comparison of the gas masses in the explosive event (~2 Tg) to the mass of tephra fall (DRE volume = 0.002 km$^3$ equivalent to ~5.4 Tg of magma) requires a gas release in this eruption equivalent to 40–50% gas (although this neglects fine ash transported and deposited beyond the limits of the region sampled on the ground in Iceland). Most volcanologists would be surprised by this proportion of gas release but it is less surprising if we consider the total magnitude of the eruption (including effusive lava) where that gas/magma ratio is about 0.7%. Perhaps before eruption the gas resides near the top of the magma reservoir and is erupted first, as suggested by Wallace et al. [2003]. Considering the rather low mass proportion of ash in this explosive event, it is likely that the Hekla volcanic cloud would never have reached the lower stratosphere unless the gas proportion was very high, and the heat of hot volcanic gas was more important in the buoyancy of the cloud than heat exchange with the ash particles (the usually dominant source of heat; see Sparks et al. [1997, chapter 7]). Sharma et al. [2004] measured the S concentration in melt inclusions within the Hekla 2000 tephra and lavas (~900 ppm) and compared them to groundmass glass (310 ppm). They then estimated SO$_2$ release to the atmosphere for the entire eruption based on the difference in glass S content and corrected for crystallinity (0.48 Tg). This mass calculation is only relevant if one assumes that the gas from all the erupted 2000 magma escaped entirely during the explosive phase. Hunton et al. [2005] have shown that even the later gas encountered by the SOLVE/THESO 2000 mission were probably released in the initial phase and we believe the stratospheric arrival of gas released gradually from a small fissure event is not even possible. An equivalent calculation for “petrologic method” SO$_2$ if one scales the volume by the tephra mass/total mass (5.4 Tg/414Tg) is about 0.006 Tg (about 1/30 of what was observed). Thus several lines of evidence suggest an early gas-rich eruption which may have derived gas from magma that either erupted later or did not erupt. This statement differs in emphasis from the conclusions of Sharma et al. [2004], who compared the gas volume of the explosive phase with the total volume of the eruption.
(including the 11 day fissure event), and emphasized near parity.

4.2. Volcanic Particles

4.2.1. Particle Size Distributions and Compositions

Four types of common stratospheric particles are considered within the model: ice, NAT, STS, and SAT particles. From the aircraft particle measurements, the particle size distribution on the south side of the volcanic cloud (Figure 6b) showed two modes, one peaking at about 0.5 μm and the other between 10 and 20 μm. On the north side, the 0.5 μm peak is dominant. The model predicts that ice, NAT, STS, and SAT particles are likely to be present within the volcanic cloud although ice particles may be restricted to the colder (about 2K lower) south side (based on the calculated threshold temperature for ice existence at these values for pressure and water vapor mixing ratio). This, plus the faster expected growth rates of NAT and ice particles at lower temperatures might explain the dominance of larger particles detected in the southern volcanic cloud [Carslaw et al., 1995; Carslaw and Clegg, 1997; Koop et al., 1997]. Satellite infrared brightness temperature difference measurements [Rose et al., 2003] indicate that ice was the optically dominant component of the Hekla volcanic cloud in the first hours. Remote sensing also strongly suggests that the Hekla cloud was fading by interception with the DC-8 (the mass of ice had decreased by at least a factor of 5 from its peak) and had a mass of ice that was

**Figure 6c.** FSSP-300 (Table 1) data for particles 0.42–20 μm in the Hekla Volcanic cloud of 28 February 2000. Black line plots the median particle diameter in microns, dashed line the particle number density in (m⁻³), and gray plots the total mass density in μg m⁻³.

**Figure 6d.** Parameters relating to cloud water for the Hekla volcanic cloud of 28 February 2000. Top black is relative humidity in %, top gray is total cloud water (mainly ice) in g kg⁻¹, bottom black is H₂O vapor pressure in mbar, and bottom gray is H₂O vapor pressure over ice in mbar.
<0.2 Tg at the time of the encounter. This is consistent with the temperature profile along the volcanic cloud trajectory used in the box model with was taken from ECMWF analyses (Figure 7a) which shows a temperature minimum about 20 hours after the eruption.

Volcanic ash is not known to show prominent proportions of submicron particles and even particles in the 1–20 μm size range are far less important in the overall mass of ash erupted than larger ones [e.g., Horwell et al., 2003]. However, in Figure 6a, where the total number of particles is plotted spatially for the cloud traverse, we see that the proportion of nonvolatile “heated” particles is nearly constant at about 25–30%. This is true, in spite of the marked differences in particle sizes across the cloud shown in Figure 6c. This seems to suggest that fine volcanic ash particles ranging from 0.5 to 20 μm are found all across the volcanic cloud.

4.2.2. Volcanically Induced Polar Stratospheric Clouds (PSC)

Background nitric acid and water vapor concentrations are too low for PSC formation at 1.72 ppb and 3.67 ppm, respectively. Nonetheless the model predicts that NAT PSCs are formed along the trajectory within a few hours of eruption, with inclusion of Hekla concentrations, at ambient temperatures. Generation of ice PSCs requires a cooling of 2 K at the trajectory start with subsequent relaxation to ambient temperatures in order to enable them to form at the temperature minimum on the 27 February. Additional cooling increases the duration of ice PSCs, and they are present throughout the trajectory with an initial cooling of 8 K and subsequent relaxation. The relationship between the predicted particle surface area density and initial trajectory temperature is shown in Figure 7b. The highest values of surface area density diagnosed by FCAS II are only captured by the model when a substantial cooling of 6–8 K is imposed on the start. This discrepancy might be accounted for by absorption of nitric acid and water vapor onto ash condensation nuclei within the volcanic cloud and hence the generation of large particles more quickly than diagnosed by the simple treatment within the model.

The occurrence of PSC and the availability of particle surface area for heterogeneous reactions have important implications for the reaction rates and mechanisms occurring in the volcanic cloud (e.g., Table 4). These implications are discussed in more detail later (section 4.4) but it is worth noting that the choice of initial Hekla concentrations, as well as the temperature profile as described above, has significant effects on the surfaces available within the model. For example, H2SO4 is not a reactive species within the model; however, it is used to calculate the amount of H2SO4 particle surface area. Background H2SO4 is low, 0.073 ppb, as it is a long time since the last major eruption, Mount Pinatubo, in 1991 [Bekki and Pyle, 1994]. The Hekla volcanic cloud therefore represents a significant perturbation to local stratospheric levels of H2SO4. In scenario F (Table 3), both the measured gas phase (Figure 4) and solid phase H2SO4 (derived as 7% of SO2 in Rose et al. [2003]) are supplied to the box model (70.4 ppb). In all other scenarios only the measured gas phase H2SO4 is used. For scenario F, the heterogeneous

![Figure 6c. Particle data for Hekla Volcanic cloud of 28 February 2000 from FSSP-300 (Table 1). Gray line plots ice water mass in mg m⁻³. Black line plots total particle surface area in μm² cm⁻³.](image-url)
surface area is dominated by SAT particles at ambient temperatures (Figure 7d) compared to NAT dominance in scenario A (Figure 7c). This affects the rate of some key reaction ozone destruction reactions, for example the heterogeneous destruction of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} is enhanced (compared to ice or NAT, Figure 8) thus reducing the rate of ClO\textsubscript{x} generation, although this does not impact ozone loss rates (see section 4.4). However, even for emission scenario F, a 2 K cooling is sufficient for ice particles to form within the model increasing chlorine activation rates.

### Table 3. Gaseous Emissions of Hekla Supplied to the Chemical Box Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Emissions Scenario, ppb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}(g)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{tot}</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClONO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7.** (a) Box model temperatures along the plume trajectory (symbols), with imposed initial cooling, NAT and ice PSC threshold formation temperatures are also shown (solid and dashed line, respectively). (b) Box model predictions of available heterogeneous surface area and gas phase nitric acid scenario A, compared to FCAS II and CIMS measurements. (c) Partitioning of particle surface area by particle type within the box model at ambient ECMWF temperatures (solid lines) and with a 2 K cooling (dashed lines) for integration A. (d) As for Figure 7c but for integration F.
suggest that Hekla’s eruption volcanic cloud was marked with lightning [Arason, 2005]. Using a tephra mass of \( \sim 5.4 \text{Tg} \) for the explosive phase of the eruption (see section 4.1 and Haraldsson et al. [2002]), and the calculation method detailed by Navarro-Gonzalez et al. [1998] and Mather et al. [2004a], we can estimate that the NO production in the Hekla volcanic cloud due to volcanic lightning to be \( \sim 5 \times 10^6 \) moles. Combining this with an estimate of the initial \( SO_2 \) mass in the cloud of order 0.2 Tg [Rose et al., 2003], yields a molar ratio of NO/\( SO_2 \) of order 0.001, which is consistent with that observed. Therefore it is difficult to distinguish which mechanism the observed nitrogen species originated from. Another possible source of the oxidized nitrogen species is the oxidation during transport of gaseous \( NH_3 \) released in the eruption cloud. Oskarsson [1980, 1981] suggests that \( NH_3 \) is present in Hekla’s volcanic gas based on the occurrence of sal ammoniac in incrustations. We do not know whether the \( NH_3 \) levels are high enough to account for the levels of reactive nitrogen species observed. Thermodynamic calculations to check \( NH_3 \) stability [e.g., Symonds et al., 1994] suggest that rare reports of \( NH_3 \) in high-temperature volcanic gases reflect heating and pyrolysis of organic material and not original magmatic conditions. There is also uncertainty as to whether \( NH_3 \) would be efficiently converted to \( NO_y \) on these timescales and under volcanic cloud conditions [Lee et al., 1997].

[22] To produce \( HNO_3 \), NO generated by either mechanism or by emitted \( NH_3 \) must be oxidized. The presence of elevated OH and \( HO_2 \) in Hekla’s volcanic cloud (see Figure 5 and section 4.5) might facilitate the oxidation of NO to \( HNO_3 \) [Logan, 1983; Mather et al., 2004a].

4.3.2. \( NO_y \) and Nitrogen Speciation in the Volcanic Cloud

[23] The measurements by Koike et al. [2002] (Figure 4f) show a large variation in \( NO_y \) values. The model predicts \( NO_y \) values close to the minimum of measured \( NO_y \) mixing ratios (those on the volcanic cloud’s southern side). This is probably because box model \( NO_y \) is entirely gas phase whereas the DC-8 \( NO_y \) measurements (Figure 4f) include \( NO_y \) from particles smaller than 1 \( \mu m \) diameter. The model cannot include the influence of sub-1 \( \mu m \) diameter particles on \( NO_y \) concentrations as it does not include any particle size distribution information. The largest values of \( NO_y \) are seen on the north side where the number density of small particles is highest. The south side of the volcanic cloud, where the small particles tend to be fewer in number and the particle volume is greater, resembles the box model situation more closely. Here we attempt to use the model to capture the uptake of \( NO_y \) into particles seen in the south volcanic cloud from the flux of \( NO_y \) given to the model at eruption (based on the maximum measured levels). The evolution of gaseous \( NO_y \) with time is shown in Figure 8.

We are able to model the minimum values measured by the

---

**Table 4. Main Reactions Contributing to \( O_3 \) Loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Gas/Surface Reaction Probabilities ( \gamma ) at Typical Stratospheric Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heterogeneous Reactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R1)</td>
<td>( HCl + ClONO_2 \rightarrow Cl_2 + HNO_3 )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R2)</td>
<td>( N_2O_3 + HCl \rightarrow ClINO_3 + HNO_3 )</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R3)</td>
<td>( ClONO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HOCl + HNO_3 )</td>
<td>( \geq 0.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R4)</td>
<td>( HOCl + HCl \rightarrow Cl_2 + H_2O )</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R5)</td>
<td>( N_2O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HNO_3 )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gaseous Ozone Loss Cycles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R6)</td>
<td>( Cl + O_3 \rightarrow ClO + O_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R7)</td>
<td>( ClO + O \rightarrow Cl + O_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R8)</td>
<td>( net O_3 + O = 2O_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R9)</td>
<td>( BrO + ClO \rightarrow Br + ClOO )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R10)</td>
<td>( ClOO + M \rightarrow Cl + O_2 + M )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R11)</td>
<td>( BrCl + h\nu \rightarrow Br + Cl )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R12)</td>
<td>( Br + O_3 \rightarrow BrO + O_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R13)</td>
<td>( Cl + O_3 \rightarrow ClO + O_2 )</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kinetic data are taken from Sander et al. [2003]. Relative importance of heterogeneous reactions on the various plume aerosols is indicated. Heterogeneous reactivity of ash is neglected.

---

**Figure 8.** Box model predictions of mixing ratios of inorganic nitrogen along the trajectory in simulations A (pluses), B (crosses), and F (open circles) plotted against the stratospheric background \( NO_y \) (line), where \( NO_y = HNO_3 + ClINO_3 + NO + NO_2 + NO_3 + 2N_2O_3 + HNO_4 + BrOONO_2 \). The range of \( NO_y \) measurements across the plume is also shown (solid circles).
uptake processes. Concentrations along the trajectories are shown in volcanic emissions, volcanic cloud/C24 is not and NO observed. There are limitations concerning the levels are concentrations than the (a) Box model ozone for scenarios A to F, at ambient ECMWF temperatures (solid lines) and 3 is the dominant concentrations must result from chemical loss would be required to confirm the identity showing a similar asymmetry to the nitrogen species. during periods of darkness due to (lines with meaning that there is less ROSE ET AL.: VOLCANIC CLOUD FROM HEKLA values in the 3 species within the volcanic cloud; however, the measured nitrogen species are not sufficient to account for all the NO observed. There are limitations concerning the degree to which the model can reproduce the speciation of this ‘missing’ NOy but the rest of the NOy is likely to be either N2O5 or ClONO2 during periods of darkness due to lack of photolysis. N2O5 is readily absorbed onto ice particles and hydrolyzed to form HNO3, which remains in the solid phase until particle evaporation. Measurements of ClONO2 or N2O5 would be required to confirm the identity of the missing source of NOy, although the complete ozone destruction does suggest that it is not in the form of NOy on entry to the stratosphere.

4.3.3. Asymmetry of Concentrations Within the Volcanic Cloud

As alluded to above the asymmetry of the spatial pattern across the Hekla volcanic cloud for the nitrogen gases (with the highest mixing ratios on the cloud’s north side, Figures 4b and 4d) can be explained as due to the asymmetry in the characteristics of the particles described in section 4.2. The greater particle volume on the cooler southern side of the volcanic cloud contains a higher proportion of the HNO3 and NOy meaning that there is less present in the gas phase than on the warmer northern side. This asymmetry could, however, also be due to differing extents of mixing across the volcanic cloud. UK Meteorological analyses show southwesterly winds at 1200 UT on 26–27 February 2000. This might increase mixing into the volcanic cloud on the south side, thereby diluting concentrations of all the constituents of the volcanic cloud. It is certainly true that many of these species such as HCl, HF and SO2 show a similar asymmetry to the nitrogen species. Hunton et al. [2005] show that the volcanic cloud measurements shown in Figure 4 for 28 February also look as if they are plotting on a mixing curve between the background atmosphere and highly volcanic cloud-affected air, potentially suggesting asymmetric mixing of background air into the volcanic cloud. It is possible that the asymmetry is due to a combination of these factors. The wind direction changed by 1200 UT on the 28 February 2000 to north-easterly, although this was after interception with the DC-8.

4.4. Observed Reduction in Ozone

The observed low stratospheric O3 values in the volcanic cloud (minimum 0 ppb) on the 28 February are suggested not to be a result of entrainment of tropospheric air due to the departure from the usual O3-CO mixing curve shown by Hunton et al. [2005]. Assuming (with confidence) that there is no O3 in volcanic emissions, volcanic cloud-affected air will have lower O3 concentrations than the surrounding atmosphere at any altitude (background troposphere O3 levels are ~20 ppb) due to the dilution of background O3 upon mixing with the volcanic species. However, it seems very unlikely that the volcanic cloud could retain levels of zero O3 by the time that it reached the lower stratosphere, ~34 hours after emission, without an O3 loss process being active within the volcanic cloud. Stratospheric O3 was initially 0.5 ± 0.09 ppm across the trajectory cluster, so any mixing of the volcanic cloud with stratospheric air prior to the measurements makes it further likely that zero O3 concentrations must result from chemical loss brought about in some way from the volcanic emissions as there does not seem to be a satisfactory dynamical reason.

4.4.1. Modeling the Chemical Ozone Destruction

The addition of Hekla sources of halogens (mainly HCl) and increased HNO3 and water vapor to the box model reduce ozone concentrations to near zero in all scenarios except C, where ozone is 0.425 ppm. The main reactions leading to ozone loss in the model are detailed in Table 4. Model O3 concentrations along the trajectories are shown in Figure 9a.

The return of sunlight to the trajectory at 15 hours (0900 UT) enables the complete destruction of model ozone in scenarios A, B, D, E, and F (Figure 9a). O3 is not completely destroyed after 35 hrs in scenario C due to high NOy concentrations that quench a large proportion of the
heterogeneous chlorine activation, resulting in a production of 0.24 ppb ClO$_x$ (Figure 9b), a modest amount given the available HCl, but still far above background ClO$_x$ abundances. Additional cooling to ECMWF temperatures had little effect on the concentrations of HCl and ClO$_x$ in any of the simulations (not shown), although it did increase the speed of the activation of HCl by a small amount.

[29] O$_3$ destruction is fastest in scenarios A, B, D, E, and F due to high ClO$_x$ concentrations of 2–15 ppb (background ClO$_x$ concentrations were 30 ppt). ClO$_x$ enhancements are limited within the model by availability of ClONO$_2$ indicating the dominance of reaction (R1) in Table 4 within the volcanic cloud. Box model calculations suggest that ClO may reach 15 ppb if the missing NO$_x$ is ClONO$_2$ (scenario B) or 2 ppb if the missing NO$_x$ is N$_2$O$_5$ (scenario A). Chlorine activation here has been substantially increased by the presence of volcanically induced PSCs due to the additional emissions of H$_2$O and HNO$_3$. The University of Colorado Halogen Oxides in the Troposphere instrument detects ClO, OCI$_2$, BrO [Thornton et al., 2003, 2005] in situ on the DC-8 and could have detected ClO in the volcanic cloud; unfortunately, there are no available ClO$_x$ measurements for this section of the flight (D. Toohey, personal communication, 2005). Direct quantification of the active ozone destruction species ClO and the nighttime reservoir OCIO might have revealed the source of the missing NO$_x$.

[30] In summary, box model results indicate that heterogeneous conversion of HCl to some active form of Cl is possible within the volcanic cloud due to the presence of volcanically induced PSCs. This is analogous to what occurs in the formation of the ozone hole during polar winters, albeit at a much smaller scale and without the isolation induced by the polar vortex.

4.4.2. Asymmetry Across the Volcanic Cloud

[31] We have seen within the box model that reaction (R1) in Table 4 dominates volcanic cloud chemistry if ice and NAT particles are present. If sulfate aerosols dominate, reactions (R3) and (R4) become more important and ozone is still likely to be reduced to <0.1 ppm although at a slower rate. Given the particle distribution across the cloud (section 4.2), in the absence of dynamical factors we would therefore expect minimum ozone concentrations in the south of the volcanic cloud. However, the overall O$_3$ pattern is asymmetrical across the volcanic cloud, with its concentration being a negative reflection of that shown by HNO$_3$ and NO$_y$ (Figures 4e and 4f). There is therefore an apparent inconsistency in the observation of maximum ozone loss on the north side of the volcanic cloud whilst the most efficient ozone destruction conditions exist on the south side (NAT and ice particles). In truth ozone is likely to be destroyed efficiently throughout the volcanic cloud (due to the presence of at least some NAT throughout the volcanic cloud) but only during the sunlit periods (see reactions (R6)–(R13) in Table 4) of eruption to 1930 UT on 26 February and 0734 to 1830 UT on 27 February. At the time of interception the sampled air had been in darkness for >10 hours. We suggest that the asymmetry in the negative ozone anomaly across the volcanic cloud has been caused by the prevailing southerly winds on the 27 February (carrying the volcanic cloud further north), that induce enhanced mixing of non-volcanic cloud air (which is enriched in ozone) into the south side after the major period of ozone destruction during sunlight. This is supported by the similar asymmetries observed in the concentrations of other gaseous volcanic cloud components (Figures 3 and 4). The north side of the volcanic cloud, however, experiences mixing with air from the south side of the volcanic cloud and to a lesser extent with background air.

[32] Interestingly, because of the temperature gradient along the wind direction, PSCs are trapped geographically to the south side. In other words, movement of the volcanic cloud to warmer temperatures causes the large ice and NAT particles to evaporate and producing gas phase HNO$_3$ enhancements on the north side (see section 4.3).

4.4.3. Bromine Chemistry

[33] BrO levels (University of Colorado Halogen Oxides instrument) were at or below the detection limit in the volcanic cloud and did not appear to differ greatly from that outside the volcanic cloud; an upper limit for BrO in the volcanic cloud is ~15 ppt (detection limit for volcanic cloud encounter duration) (D. Toohey, personal communication, 2006); and a 1 ppb BrO level comparable to those seen in the near-source Soufriere Hills volcano volcanic cloud [Bobrowski et al., 2003] would have been easily detected.

[34] Box model integrations without injection of volcanic halogens into the stratosphere led to no ozone loss even with substantial cooling. Injection of 0.8 ppb HBr (Table 3) without any HCl only reduced ozone to 0.25 ppm at ambient temperatures and required a cooling of 3 K to completely destroy volcanic cloud ozone within the 35 hours. The model BrO concentrations peaked at 450 ppt. By interception with the DC-8, BrO concentrations had fallen to ~185 ppt where ozone is still present or below 3 ppt in simulations with a cooling of 3 K or greater. These lower concentrations result from the conversion of Hekla BrO back into the HBr reservoir and are consistent with the BrO estimate made from observations. Hekla HBr concentrations are estimated to be an order of magnitude less than HCl; however, bromine remains as active species for longer and so has a greater potential for ozone destruction [e.g., Ko et al., 1998]. Although the modeling study suggests that volcanic cloud ozone loss is likely to be due to the enhancement of stratospheric HCl, it is thus possible that volcanic emissions of HBr could be equally threatening to stratospheric ozone despite their lower levels.

4.4.4. Ozone Destruction in Later Volcanic Cloud Encounters

[35] During later DC-8 encounters with the much diluted volcanic cloud on 5 March and 9 March 2000 (also in darkness; see Hunton et al. [2005] for more details), the halogen oxide instrument found ClO$_x$ (OCI$_2$ + ClO) values of 20–50 ppt in the volcanic clouds. The dominant species is likely OCI$_2$ in darkness, as the chlorine chemistry of the diluted volcanic cloud does not appear to be severely altered from the background chlorine chemistry [e.g., Thornton et al., 2005] of this region.

[36] These later volcanic cloud encounters were easily seen in the ClO$_x$ data, which outside the volcanic clouds averaged 10–15 ppt in darkness at these altitudes [Thornton et al., 2003]; BrO in these later encounters did not appear to differ appreciably from background nighttime values of 0 to a few ppt [see Thornton et al., 2005]. Ozone decreases in the later volcanic cloud encounters were 30–200 ppb in the
8–12 day old volcanic cloud. Such averaged losses of \(~2–25\) ppb \(d^{-1}\) of ozone in the volcanic cloud greatly exceed the expected loss rate of \(~1.0\) ppb \(d^{-1}\) of ozone due to \(\text{HO}_3\), \(\text{ClO}\), and \(\text{BrO}\) in the background lowermost Arctic stratosphere [Thornton et al., 2003], and such massive losses cannot be explained by the abundances in the diluted volcanic cloud. Thus it is more plausible that most of the ozone loss observed in the later volcanic cloud encounters occurred via production of rapidly photolyzable chlorine (likely \(\text{HOCl}\), \(\text{Cl}_2\), etc.; see Table 4) during the first days after the eruption; the ozone loss rates there could have been far higher than the averages derived from later volcanic cloud encounters, as suggested by the model results.

### 4.5. Generation of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) in the Hekla Volcanic Cloud

[37] Elevated levels of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) in the upper atmosphere are usually associated with solar radiation, but in this case there are elevated levels of these species in the volcanic cloud even though it is before sunrise (Figure 5). Gerlach [2004] used calculations of high-temperature gas equilibria to suggest that these radicals may be formed in hot volcanic gas mixtures close to the vent at levels of ppb to ppm. However, the SOLVE data provide the first direct evidence of OH enhancements within a volcanic cloud. Comparing the estimated levels of \(\text{SO}_2\) in the magmatic gas mixture (Table 2) and that measured in the 34-hour old plume (Figure 4) suggests that the plume has been diluted by a factor of \(~10^{10}\) during transport (assuming negligible \(\text{SO}_2\) sinks during transport). If the levels of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) observed in the 34-hour old plume (Figure 5) arise purely by dilution of the hot gas mixture present at the vent, the measured levels would suggest levels of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) of orders of ppb to ppm at the vent. These levels are consistent with the levels predicted by the high-temperature modeling of Gerlach [2004]. Such scaling may not be valid, however, because the lifetimes of \(\text{HO}_x\) species in the atmosphere are generally very short. In particular, in the volcanic plume \(\text{OH}\) will react quickly with the elevated levels of \(\text{SO}_2\), \(\text{HCl}\) and \(\text{CO}\), yielding an \(\text{OH}\) lifetime of less than a minute. \(\text{HO}_2\) reacts quickly with background ozone to form \(\text{OH}\) and so has an initial lifetime of less than 10 min. It is unlikely that the high-temperature chemical enhancement near the vent would persist for \(~34\) hours and in situ \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) production may be present. The elevated levels of \(\text{HO}_x\) measured (Figure 5) are not captured by the model and so the mechanism or mechanisms responsible for the observed \(\text{HO}_x\) enhancements remain a mystery. Further measurements and modeling of \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) near volcanic vents and in plumes as they are transported downwind are to be encouraged in order to better understand these processes. These low levels of \(\text{HO}_x\) are not likely to be significantly involved in ozone depletion here due to the presence of much larger concentrations of heterogeneously activated \(\text{ClO}_x\) in model results.

### 5. Conclusions

[38] Overall this data set offers much that is new about stratospheric volcanic clouds, especially the point that they may be ice-dominated during their first few days. It is the first time that many gas species have been detected in situ within such a cloud, and it offers a systematic and spatially resolved (i.e., the observed variation in the concentration of many species along the cloud cross section) data set, allowing us to think about processes, reactions and inter-relationships between species.

[39] The \(~1–2\) hour long Hekla explosive phase consisted of a tiny fraction of the magma erupted in the 11 hours, but perhaps the majority of the volcanic gas. The 35-hour old Hekla volcanic cloud at 10.4 km altitude was sharply delineated by three gaseous volcanogenic species, \(\text{SO}_2\), \(\text{HCl}\), and \(\text{HF}\), and a much higher number and mass loading of suspended particles. Whereas scrubbing of haloid gases from volcanic clouds by liquid water has been thought to be important in “wet” atmospheric conditions, this scrubbing was not substantially effective in the Hekla case, and haloid gases reached the stratosphere in high proportions. Reflected by its \(\text{HO}_x\) content, the volcanic cloud had an oxidizing reactive character that caused some original volcanogenic gas components (\(\text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{H}_2, \text{CO}\), and perhaps \(\text{CH}_4\)) to be completely or partly oxidized after 35 hours.

[40] \(\text{HNO}_3\) and \(\text{NO}_2\) were also notable volcanic cloud components, supporting observations in ground based passive volcanic degassing. Their levels are consistent with production via nitrogen fixation due to volcanic heat or volcanic lightning or a mixture of both these mechanisms. Oxidation of volcanogenic \(\text{NH}_3\) is also a possible production route. Levels of \(\text{NO}_x\) cannot be completely accounted for by the sum of the measured nitrogen species. Without further measurements it is hard to use the model to determine what species might account for this missing \(\text{NO}_x\), although in the absence of sunlight \(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\) and \(\text{ClONO}_2\) are the most likely species and have been used to initialize the model.

[41] Modeling shows that the explosive emissions of \(\text{HNO}_3\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) induced polar stratospheric cloud particle formation significantly altering the chemistry of the volcanic cloud. The model suggests that 4 types of particles occur within the volcanic cloud once it reaches the stratosphere with ice and nitric acid trihydrate (\(\text{NAT}\)) accounting for the larger particles (which dominate on the south side of the volcanic cloud) and sulfuric acid tetrahydrate (\(\text{SAT}\)) and liquid ternary solution \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{HNO}_3\) (\(\text{STS}\)) for the smaller ones (which dominate on the warmer north side of the volcanic cloud). It is likely that fine volcanic ash was present throughout the volcanic cloud.

[42] Ozone is dramatically destroyed inside the volcanic cloud, most probably by heterogeneously activated chlorine from reactions involving volcanically induced cloud particles, especially those on ice and \(\text{NAT}\). The chemical model was able to replicate this level of ozone destruction using currently understood stratospheric chemistry. Ozone losses within the volcanic cloud appear to occur at rates far exceeding those of the springtime lower stratosphere ozone losses believed to be largely due to chlorofluorocarbon breakdown products. The key conditions are the elevated HCl levels in the volcanic cloud and the presence of particle surfaces. HBr may also play a role. Ozone loss rates of similar magnitude induced by active chlorine species in the lower stratosphere have only been directly observed in the exhaust of solid rockets utilizing ammonium perchlorate oxidizer [e.g., Ross et al., 2000]; the ozone loss rates in the Hekla cloud approach these but over a vastly greater area.
Paradoxically SAT and STS particles occur in highest abundance where ozone is most depleted (on the volcanic cloud’s north side). It is likely that this asymmetry, along with asymmetry in the distribution of other volcanic species, is due to dynamic factors (e.g., enhanced mixing of background stratospheric air into the south side of the volcanic cloud due to the wind field), although in some cases (e.g., NOx) the greater particle volume containing absorbed gaseous species in the southern volcanic cloud may also play a role.

Elevated levels of OH and HO2 within the nighttime volcanic cloud remain unexplained and are not captured by modeling.
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