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# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM1.5</td>
<td>Airmass 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Si</td>
<td>Amorphous Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Si:H</td>
<td>Hydrogenated Amorphous Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZO</td>
<td>Azobenzene Compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMOS</td>
<td>Complementary Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Si</td>
<td>Crystalline Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>Degraded/Degenerated Steady State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>Indium Tin Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECVD</td>
<td>Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-i-n</td>
<td>p type-intrinsic layer-n type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Photovoltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV/T or PVT</td>
<td>Solar Photovoltaic Thermal System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-HJT</td>
<td>Silicon Heterojunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂:F</td>
<td>Fluorine Doped Tin Dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWE</td>
<td>Staebler-Wronski Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO</td>
<td>Transparent Conducting Oxide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABSTRACT

Previous work has shown that high-temperature short-term spike thermal annealing of hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) photovoltaic thermal (PVT) systems results in higher electrical energy output. The relationship between temperature and performance of a-Si:H PVT is not simple as high temperatures during thermal annealing improves the immediate electrical performance following an anneal, but during the anneal it creates a marked drop in electrical performance. In addition, the power generation of a-Si:H PVT depends on both the environmental conditions and the Staebler-Wronski Effect kinetics. In order to improve the performance of a-Si:H PVT systems further, this paper reports on the effect of various dispatch strategies on system electrical performance. Utilizing experimental results from thermal annealing, an annealing model simulation for a-Si:H-based PVT was developed and applied to different cities in the U.S. to investigate potential geographic effects on the dispatch optimization of the overall electrical PVT systems performance and annual electrical yield. The results showed that spike thermal annealing once per day maximized the improved electrical energy generation. In the outdoor operating condition this ideal behavior deteriorates and optimization rules are required to be implemented.
CHAPTER 1

Introduction

For the past few decades the semiconductor industry is mostly dominated by silicon based technologies. Although silicon has an indirect band-gap, no other technology has surpassed the popularity of silicon based technology due to its abundance, state of the art native insulating oxide and nitride with high dielectric constants and good electro-thermal properties. With the development of hetero-structure devices based on II-VI and III-V semiconductors the complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology based on silicon is still the most important device in the industry. Even the photovoltaic industry has adopted silicon based technology commercially. Photovoltaic (PV) is a sustainable and pollution free technology that converts sunlight directly into electricity. In recent years, significant growth has been observed in this green energy sector. The reliability and the environment friendly features of PV have made it unique among the renewable energy and sustainable technology disciplines. In its interaction with the built environment, PV is becoming part of the daily experience of citizens in developed countries as millions of PV modules are installed every year [1]. Alongside the environmental aspects the solar energy harvesting of PV technology has a positive impact on economy as well. As a whole in US, the solar energy industry grew a total of 67% between 2009 and 2010 nationally and is now responsible for over 100,000 jobs, in over 5,000 businesses [2]. In order to manage solar industry to play a more prominent role in economy and to be economically competitive improving the efficiency of the cells and lowering their manufacturing costs is necessary.
1.1 Background

The availability of solar energy far exceeds any conceivable future energy demands. It is environmentally clean, and carbon free technology. Present day’s commercial solar cells, most often made from silicon; typically convert sunlight into electricity with an efficiency of only 10 percent to 20 percent [3]. Given their manufacturing costs, these solar modules incorporated in the power grid produce electricity at a cost roughly 3 to 6 times higher than current prices, or 18-30 cents per kilowatt hour [3]. Thus the present day researches mostly focus on improving the cell efficiency and lowering the manufacturing costs. It has been reported that the standard PV cells based on Si has theoretical efficiency of 31% and PV cells based on other novel materials has shown a maximum of 40% experimental efficiency [3]. According to the latest NPD Solarbuzz PV Equipment Quarterly report, the polycrystalline Si solar modules are expected to dominate the industry in 2014 with p-type poly c-Si technology accounting for 62% of all modules produced [4].

Mono and poly crystalline silicon (c-Si) solar photovoltaic (PV) cells are used in the largest quantity on the market, representing about 80-90% of the world total PV cell production according to data obtained in 2012 [5]. The highest energy conversion efficiency reported so far for experimental crystalline silicon PV cells is 25% [6]. High-efficiency research PV cells have advantages in performance but are often unsuitable for low-cost production due to their complex structures, high temperature procedures and the prolonged manufacturing processes required for fabrication [6]. High energy conversion efficiency and low processing cost can only be achieved simultaneously through the development of advanced production technologies and equipment. One good alternative with minimized costs can be silicon heterojunction technology (Si-HJT) which allows solar cells with energy conversion efficiencies above 20 % at industrial-production level [7]. Thin film Si solar cells consist of thin amorphous silicon (a-Si) layers on monocrystalline silicon wafers. The key feature of these structures is the displacement of highly recombination-active (ohmic) contacts from the crystalline surface by insertion of a wide bandgap intrinsic film [7]. Also to obtain full device potential, the hetero-interface state density is
required to be at its minimum [7]. In reality, hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) films of only a few nanometer thickness are suitable selection for this. Their bandgap is wider than that of crystalline silicon (c-Si) and intrinsic films of hydrogenated a-Si can reduce the c-Si surface state density [7]. Additionally, doping of these films is easier (either n- or p-type) and allows lithography-free fabrication of contacts with low saturation-current density [7]. The technology involved in growing amorphous silicon is relatively easier and simple compared to growing crystals. The optical property of a-Si:H is also superior to c-Si and that makes them promising for collecting solar energy as the active layer. The absorption coefficient of a-Si:H is high with minimized reflection compared to c-Si [8]. That point out the fact that for the same light energy absorption a-Si:H requires thinner layers compared to c-Si. That implies that comparatively less materials are required for making PV cells out of a-Si:H than from c-Si [9]. Therefore besides the a-Si:H/c-Si heterojunction technology other photovoltaic cells based on p-i-n a-Si:H device structures has promising future in PV market.

One unique application of hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) can be photovoltaic thermal hybrid solar collectors, also known as hybrid PV/T systems or PVT, are systems that convert solar radiation into thermal and electrical energy. These systems combine a photovoltaic cell with a solar thermal collector and perform dual operation- 1) converts light energy i.e. photons into electricity and 2) captures the remaining energy and collects waste heat from the PV module. The capture of both heat and electricity allow these devices to have higher exergy [10] and thus be more overall energy efficient than stand-alone solar photovoltaic (PV) or solar thermal [11].

Photovoltaic cell efficiency falls with the rise in temperature due to increased resistance. Employing PV/T’s can be advantageous in the way that it carries heat away from the modules thereby cooling the cells and thus improving their efficiency by lowering resistance [12]. Although this is a more successful method, it causes the thermal component to under-perform compared to a stand-alone solar thermal collector. Photovoltaic materials with low temperature coefficients such as amorphous silicon PV
allow the PV/T to be operated at high temperatures, promoting a more unified PVT system.

1.2 Hydrogenated Amorphous Silicon in Photovoltaic Thermal System

Despite improvements in solar photovoltaic (PV) efficiency, which reduces the cost of PV generated electricity to competitive levels in some markets [13], in conventional cells much of the radiation above the bandgap does not contribute to electrical energy generation and instead is wasted as heat. On the other hand, solar thermal systems, which have the potential for high efficiencies, have low exergy values [14]. Thus, developing photovoltaic solar thermal (PVT) systems offer a distinct advantage over simple PV or low exergy solar thermal systems by utilizing this waste thermal energy from the PV absorber for heating applications [15]. PVT offers advantages in overall exergy, energy and cost [14-21]. Historically, most of the PVT systems were developed using crystalline silicon (c-Si) PV, which have a thermal coefficient of -0.45%/K [22]. Because of this relatively large thermal coefficient c-Si-based PVT systems are designed to cool the c-Si PV modules in order to maximize the electrical output and extracted thermal energy is considered as secondary benefit. This results in non-optimization of c-Si-based PVT systems because the thermal component under-performs when compared to standard solar thermal collectors [23-27].

![Temperature Vs efficiency plot for crystalline and amorphous silicon PV cells](image)

**Fig. 1.1** Temperature Vs efficiency plot for crystalline and amorphous silicon PV cells
Thin-film hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) solar cells, however, have a thermal coefficient of only 0.13%/K [22], which makes it suitable for high temperature applications that are not possible with c-Si PV due to what would be significant electrical output losses at high operating temperatures. Unlike c-Si the efficiency drop with temperature is negligible in amorphous silicon cells. Figure 1.1 shows the temperature versus efficiency plot for commercially manufactured c-Si and a-Si PV cells. The biggest technical challenge confronting a-Si:H PV is a light-induced degradation of performance known as the Staebler-Wronski effect (SWE) [28-32]. This effect is associated with the creation of defect states in the a-Si:H material when exposed to sunlight, which causes a reduction in efficiency of the solar cells with exposure time [29]. These defects states tend to saturate after an extended exposure to sunlight (approximately 100 h under continuous 1 sun illumination) and this stabilized state is referred as degraded steady-state (DSS) [33,34]. However, it has been found that SWE is reversible in nature and the performance (efficiency) of a-Si:H solar cell can be returned to its initial state if the cell is heated to 150 °C for 4 h as the defect states are annealed [29,33,35,36] although the defect states can anneal at lower temperatures over more extended time periods [33]. Reducing SWE is viewed as so important, that Pola et al. have even suggested removing entire PV arrays and annealing the modules in a hot air oven at lower temperatures (e.g. at 80 °C) over extended times [37]. Additionally, because of this effect it has been reported that a-Si:H PV performs better at high temperatures in view of the fact that optoelectronic properties of a-Si:H materials [28,38,39] stabilize at a higher efficiency at higher temperatures [34,40]. Operating at elevated temperatures is highly desirable for PVT hybrid systems as the solar thermal efficiency increases with temperature. For a solar thermal flat plate collector a temperature of 100 °C can be easily achieved and if the system is stagnated it can even climb higher than 200 °C [41]. Therefore, direct deposition of a-Si:H PV over flat plate solar collectors can facilitate high-temperature operation where the PV panel could be in-situ annealed and simultaneously increasing overall system exergy [42-44]. It has also been experimentally demonstrated that high temperature operation and regular high temperature spike thermal annealing for 1 h at 100 °C on a 12 h cycle can result in higher energy and exergy output [45]. However, a dispatch strategy
is required to optimize the usage of available resources to meet the electrical and thermal demand and to maximize the overall system efficiency. Although spike thermal annealing of a-Si:H PV panels with short thermal spikes can improve the immediate electrical performance following an anneal, the annealing process at high temperatures creates a marked drop in electrical performance over the annealing period (it can also deteriorate the overall thermal performance of the system as the thermal energy required for spike annealing is not being extracted). It has also been observed that, the degraded steady state is obtained more rapidly at higher temperature at a higher power [45]. Therefore a dispatch strategy is required to optimize the number of required spike thermal annealing cycles in order to maximize the overall system performance including the thermal and electrical output.

1.3 Objective

The present work reports on the effects of various dispatch strategies on the first of these outputs- the electrical system performance. Utilizing experimental results from thermal annealing, an annealing model simulation for a-Si:H-based PVT was developed and applied to different cities (Goldendale, San Antonio, Reno and Las Vegas) in U.S. to investigate the effects of geographic optimization on the overall electrical PVT systems performance. The aim and objective are following:

I. Analyze the degradation data set for different operating temperature.

II. Derive suitable equations that take into account the light-induced degradation effect of a-Si:H PV cell at different operating temperatures. Required for identifying the fitting curve for the reference data.

III. Develop a simulation model that combines the degradation and spike annealing effects and calculate additional energy generation. This explains the annealing effect under 1 sun (1000 W/m² solar irradiation) illumination.

IV. Input real time solar irradiation data collected for different cities in the simulation model in order to observe the real world scenario.
V. Observe the real time simulation results while employed in specific cases of dispatch strategies. Evaluate the outcome from different dispatch strategies. Required for determining the ideal dispatch strategy.

VI. Observe non-ideal cases. Determine factors playing major roles over dispatch strategies.

1.4 Device Structure and Degradation Characteristics

The contemporary work utilizes Pathak et al.’s device structure and experimental data as the reference model for device layout and light-induced degradation spike annealing characteristics of thin film a-Si:H PV cells [45]. The cell being considered in the simulation model has an i-layer thicknesses of 630 nm- deposited on transparent conducting oxide (TCO) glass substrate, prepared in plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) system. Fig. 1.2 shows the device configuration used in this investigation include following layers from bottom to cell up: AGC float glass (3 mm)/SnO$_2$:F (700 nm)/Ag (200 nm)/AZO (100 nm)/n-a-Si:H 25 nm/i-a-Si:H 630 nm/p-a-Si:H 15 nm/ITO 70 nm. Fig. 1.3 shows the light induced degradation characteristics for the reference cell at operating temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C and 90 °C respectively.

Fig. 1.2: Composition and structure of the a-Si:H solar photovoltaic cell (device with 630 nm i-layer thickness considered as reference model) [45]
Fig. 1.3: A normalized temperature series of 25, 50 and 90 °C degradation under 1 sun for a PV cell with an i-layer thickness of 630 nm [45]

Fig. 1.4: Comparison of the same 630 nm i-layer thick a-Si:H PV cell degraded at 50 °C under 1 sun (Normal Degradation) to results obtained for degradation at 50 °C under 1 sun coupled with spike annealing at 100 °C on a 12 h cycle for 192 h (Spike Annealing) [45]
Fig. 1.4 shows the spike-annealing effect at operating temperature 50 °C. The reference data clearly shows additional energy generation as a consequence of spike annealing. It should be noted that all of these data were recorded while the device is illuminated at 1 sun (1000 W/m²) solar irradiation.

1.5 Outline

The Chapters are organized in following order:

Chapter 2 ‘Methodology’ introduces the simulation and modeling steps, procedures in detail. That includes the modification of equations, curve fitting technique of the reference data, acquisition of real-time data and different cases of dispatch strategies that will be studied.

Chapter 3 ‘Results: Ideal Cases’ concentrates on the obtained simulation results at sustained operating temperature conditions. The results obtained for 1 sun illumination are discussed in thorough. Real-data simulation results for different dispatch strategies are also presented in this section.

Chapter 4 ‘Results: Outdoor Temperature Simulation’ focus mostly on the effects of temperature and geographic position on degradation associated parameters and how annealing influence device outcome at outdoors. This chapter also discusses about the appropriate dispatch strategies.

Chapter 5 Discussion, Future Work and Conclusions summarizes the notations made from earlier discussion and directs toward a suitable conclusion. It also discuss about the future scope of this fascinating technology.
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CHAPTER 2

Methodology

2.1 PV/T System*

The PVT system shown schematically in Fig. 2.1 was used for modeling and simulation in the present work. The a-Si:H PV is connected to an inverter that powers the AC load and the heat generated by the PVT is transferred to thermal load by a heat exchanger. A temperature controller is used to control both this heat flow and the regular thermal annealing, which is provided by the heat generated from the PVT itself. High temperature spike thermal annealing for 1 h at 100 °C at regular cycles is carried in order to reverse Staebler-Wronski effect (SWE).

![Fig. 2.1: Schematic of a-Si:H-based PVT system](image)

2.2 Calculation Method

Usually a-Si:H-based PV exhibit power degradation due to 1) the temperature effect, exhibited by all solar cells and 2) SWE, which is a long-term light exposure effect, which is a unique characteristic of a-Si:H-based solar cells. The total energy generated by the PV of power, $P$, for a year is given by:

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{365\times 24} P_n \cdot t$$

(2.1)

where $P_n$ is the power produced in the $n$th hour and $t$ is time, which is 1 h in this case. The maximum power, $P_{\text{max}}(T)$, at a temperature $T$ from reference temperature ($T_{\text{ref}}$) having a temperature coefficient of $\gamma$ is given by [1]:

$$P_{\text{max}}(T) = \frac{P_{\text{max}(\text{ref})}}{(1+\gamma(T_{\text{ref}}-T))} \cdot \frac{S}{S_{\text{ref}}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta \ln\left(\frac{S}{S_{\text{ref}}}\right)}\right)$$

(2.2)

where $S$ and $S_{\text{ref}}$ are the irradiance and reference irradiance level respectively, $P_{\text{max}(\text{ref})}$ is the maximum power at a reference temperature $T_{\text{ref}}$, which is 25 °C, $S_{\text{ref}}$ is equal to 1000 W/m$^2$, $\gamma$ is -0.0020/°C, $\delta$ is +0.063 [1]. Eq. (2.2) is used to calculate the power with no thermal annealing and universally applicable to any solar cells.

Eq. (2.2) does not take SWE into account and must therefore be modified with an exponential term that is governed by the aggregate exposure to solar flux from the annealed state and the operating temperature. The exponential terms were determined by curve fitting the experimental results of Pathak et al. [2] as shown in Fig. 2.2.
Fig. 2.2 Experimental data showing degenerated steady state obtained at temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C respectively for a-Si:H PV cell active layer thickness of 630 nm under 1 sun (source: Pathak et. al.) [2] and exponential fits using equation (2.3)

Fig. 2.2 shows the experimentally obtained data for degenerated steady states at different temperatures for a-Si:H based PV/T with i-layer thickness of 630 nm under 1 sun (1000 W/m² irradiance) for 600 h. For a-Si:H PVT the modified form of Eq. (2.2) is altered to be:

\[
P_{\text{max}}(T) = \left( \frac{P_{\text{max(ref)}}}{\left(1+\gamma(T_{\text{ref}}-T)\right)} - k_{dss} \cdot P_{\text{max(ref)}} \cdot (1 - e^{-u_{dss} \cdot t}) \right) \cdot \frac{S}{S_{\text{ref}}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\delta \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_{\text{ref}}} \right)}
\]  

(2.3)

The values of the parameters \(k_{dss}\) and \(u_{dss}\) were obtained by analyzing the experimental data and their values vary with temperature. For the temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C, \(k_{dss}\) has the values 0.3450, 0.2154, and 0.07917 respectively; and \(u_{dss}\) has the values 0.03601, 0.07552 and 0.2097 respectively as determined from Fig. 2.2. It should be noted that as the temperature rises \(k_{dss}\) decreases and \(u_{dss}\) increases, as is consistent with prior SWE studies of a-Si:H PV [3-5].
2.3 Dispatch Strategy

During annealing the temperature rises rapidly from normal operating temperature to high temperature (~100 °C) for a short duration (1 h) and this reduces electrical performance. The power drops rapidly as the temperature is increased for the anneal and then starts to rise slowly as the annealing continues. For simplification of the model the annealing period is considered constant at the reduced power.

Table 2.1 Cases for the dispatch strategy of spike annealing of a-Si:H type PV/T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Dispatch Strategy</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>Without annealing under 1 sun</td>
<td>PVT operating temperature of 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C respectively without spike annealing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>Without annealing under normal sun</td>
<td>PVT operating temperature of 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C respectively without spike annealing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III</td>
<td>With 48 hr annealing cycle (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed once every other day between hours 8 and 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>With 24 hr annealing cycle (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed once per day between hours 8 and 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case V</td>
<td>Annealed twice per day (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed twice per day during the peak sun hour time period (hour 8-15) with 4 hours interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case VI</td>
<td>Annealed three times per day (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed thrice per day during the peak sun hour time period (hour 8-15) with 2 hours interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case VII</td>
<td>Annealed four times per day (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed 4 times per day during the peak sun hour time period (hour 8-15) with 1 hour interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case VIII</td>
<td>Annealed five times per day (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed 5 times per day during the time period (hour 7-16) with 1 hour interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IX</td>
<td>Annealed six times per day (under 1 sun and normal sun)</td>
<td>Spike annealing performed 6 times per day during the time period (hour 7-17) with 1 hour interval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1 shows a list of different case studies (depicting different dispatch strategies) for which the simulation was performed. 1 sun solar irradiance has a constant value of 1000 W/m² and has a AM1.5 spectrum. Here ‘normal sun’ solar irradiance is defined as the standard hourly solar irradiance from the sun for a given location. Normal sun conditions vary over the day with real outdoor conditions. For all the above cases, the model was simulated at outdoor conditions and for various geographic locations for a year (February 1st, 2012 - January 31st, 2013) in the U.S. to better understand real-world significance of this type of PVT system under different dispatch strategy. Four different cities, namely Goldendale (Klickitat county, Washington), San Antonio (Valles Caldera, New Mexico), Reno (UNR campus, Nevada) and Las Vegas (Southern Nevada) were chosen on the basis of different geographic features and climatic conditions to better analyze the effects of different dispatch strategies. The details for the locations are shown in Table 2.2 [6, 7].
Table 2.2 Summary of climate for cities chosen for simulation [6,7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Average Air and Soil Temperature</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Average hourly solar irradiance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goldendale (Klickitat county, WA)</td>
<td>45°49'16&quot; N, 120°49'17&quot; W</td>
<td>9.4 °C, 11.5 °C</td>
<td>1636 ft.</td>
<td>179.09 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio (Valles Caldera, NM)</td>
<td>35°58'50&quot; N, 106°34'15&quot; W</td>
<td>5.3 °C, 7 °C</td>
<td>8523 ft.</td>
<td>206.7 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno (NV)</td>
<td>39°32'21&quot; N, 119°48'21&quot; W</td>
<td>12.5 °C, 15.1 °C</td>
<td>4480 ft.</td>
<td>224.6 W/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas (Southern NV)</td>
<td>36°06'51&quot; N, 115°08'57&quot; W</td>
<td>22.3 °C, 24.9 °C</td>
<td>2025 ft.</td>
<td>231.0 W/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The solar irradiance, air temperature and soil temperature data was obtained on hourly basis for the four cities (Goldendale, San Antonio, Reno and Las Vegas) [6,7]. The average hourly solar irradiation data for the above mentioned cities were obtained using Eq. (2.4).

\[ S_{i=1 \text{ to } 24} = \frac{1}{366} \sum_{n=1}^{366} S_{i,n,\text{city}} \]  

(2.4)

where \( S_i \) is the average solar irradiance for \( i \) th hour of four cities (averaged yearly), and \( S_{i,n,\text{city}} \) is the solar irradiance for \( i \) th hour and \( n \) th day for a year for a given location. Fig. 2.3 shows the average hourly solar irradiance for the four cities obtained using Eq. (2.4). Average solar irradiance per day for Goldendale, San Antonio, Reno, and Las Vegas are 4.30, 4.96, 5.39, and 5.54 sun hours respectively. With hour 12 being the peak sun of the day the highest sun hour period is between hours 9 and 16 in the day when the solar irradiance is higher than 250 W/m². Therefore spike annealing is used between hours 8 and 15 as seen in Fig. 2.3.
Fig. 2.3: Average hourly solar irradiance for the four cities for whole year (February 1st, 2012 - January 31st, 2013)

From Eq. (2.2) it can be seen that for c-Si-based PV cells more power is obtained at lower temperature with higher irradiance. In case of a-Si:H PV/T the effect of temperature and light intensity is more complicated as long time light exposure causes degradation and thermal annealing improves device performance by reducing defect states. As the exponential component of Eq. (2.3) represents the light induced degraded power therefore deriving a suitable dispatch strategy for a-Si:H PV/T will depend on the PV/T panel temperature, solar irradiance and peak sun hour period of a day. While taking into account the effects of SWE and annealing altogether the exponential component is required to be modified as a function of these parameters which requires further study. In order to determine the annealing effect a set of binary arrays were generated, which take into account the effects of dispatch strategies on hourly power generation. The binary values of these arrays were set based on specific dispatch strategies. The total energy generation over a year for the chosen cities for different operating temperature (i.e. 25 °C, 50 °C and 90 °C) which were obtained by using the hourly recorded standard solar irradiation data. A time period of 8784 hours (i.e. one year) with both 1 sun and normal sun solar irradiation was considered during the execution of the dispatch strategies. The total energy generated for each case over a year was determined to identify the most effective dispatch strategy for chosen geographical locations.
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CHAPTER 3

Results: Ideal Cases

3.1 1-Sun Simulation†

At higher temperatures a-Si:H-based solar cells degrade more rapidly initially, but stabilize at a higher power than when operated at lower temperatures [1]. Based on this knowledge, the available data, and temperature guided solar cell degradation principles a suitable equation is proposed which can approximately represent the experimental data. The curve fits for this approximation are shown in Fig. 2.2, which represents the simulation output of dispatch strategy Case I (the control case). The study of Case II calculates the total energy generation over a year for the chosen cities for different operating temperature (i.e. 25 °C, 50 °C and 90 °C) which were obtained by using the hourly recorded standard solar irradiation data. A time period of 8784 h (i.e. one year) with both 1 sun and normal sun solar irradiation was considered during the execution of the dispatch strategies explained in Case III to Case IX. The maximum power generated at different operating temperatures were normalized with respect to the initial maximum power (at t = 0) at reference temperature 25 °C which has a value of approximately 5.3 mW for the small test cells. Case-III to Case-IX utilized an annealing operation. Both the values of 1 sun and normal sun energy generation were calculated for each case study.

† The material contained in this chapter was previously published in the journal, Renewable Energy, volume 68, pp. 459-465, August 2014.
Fig. 3.1: Dispatch strategy Case-III: annealing once every other day, for the operating temperatures. a) 25 °C, b) 50 °C, and c) 90 °C at 1 sun.

Fig. 3.1 shows the effect of annealing explained in Case III and degradation for the operating temperatures a) 25 °C, b) 50 °C, and c) 90 °C respectively at 1 sun. In this case annealing is performed (from hour 8 to hour 9) once every two days (after the first day) followed by degradation at a definite operating temperature. Fig. 3.1 shows that the cells generate more power with annealing. As can be seen from Fig. 3.1 at lower temperatures (a and b) of operation SWE dominates and reduces electrical output as expected. In Fig. 3.1c, operating at 90 °C there is very little observable SWE as compared to the lower temperature cases.
Fig. 3.2: Dispatch strategies consisting of Case-IV: annealing once every day, under 1 sun, a) 50 °C degradation and b) 90 °C degradation. Dispatch Strategy Case-IV: annealing twice every day, under 1 sun, c) 50 °C and d) 90 °C degradation. Dispatch Strategy Case-VI: annealing three times every day, under 1 sun, e) 50 °C and f) 90 °C degradation.

Fig. 3.2 shows the dispatch strategies individually for clarity. Fig. 3.2 shows the 1 sun simulation results obtained for Case IV at operating temperatures a) 50 °C and b) 90 °C. The annealing is performed once every day (from 800 to 900 h) followed by a 50 °C, and 90 °C degradation, resulted in greater output than the previous case.

The 1 sun simulation results for Case V at operating temperature 50 °C and 90 °C are shown in Fig. 3.2c and d, respectfully. Annealing is performed twice every day. The interval between two consecutive annealing periods is four hours. Between the annealing cycles a degradation temperature of 50 °C and 90 °C is maintained respectively.

Fig. 3.2e and f shows simulation results for Case VI. Annealing is performed three times a day with an interval of two hours. During the intervals a degradation temperature of 50 °C (e) and 90 °C (f) is maintained respectively.
Find. 3.3: Hourly maximum output power for different dispatch strategies under 1 sun (operating temperature 90 °C).

Similar plots were obtained for case studies VII, VIII, and IX. In all these cases simulations were performed for operating temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C. Finally, area analysis was performed to determine the total energy generated in each cases. Fig. 3.3 shows the hourly maximum output power for different case studies at 1 sun and operating temperature 90 °C.

3.2 Simulation Based on Real Time Hourly Solar Irradiance Data

Unlike the 1-sun irradiation, in the outdoor environment the solar irradiance varies frequently. This affects the performance of any solar cell tremendously and when annealing is associated the power generation over a day also fluctuates depending on the applied dispatch strategy. To see how the dispatch strategies influence power throughout the day Fig. 3.4 is used as an example and shows the average hourly power generation in San Antonio for different dispatch strategies. As can be seen in Fig. 3.4, the power curves closely follow the solar irradiance curve shown on the right y-axis.
Fig. 3.4 Average hourly power generation in San Antonio for different case studies. Right Y-axis shows average hourly solar irradiance.

Fig. 3.5 Total energy generation (at operating temperature 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C under 1 sun) over a time period of 8784 hours as a function of the number of anneals per day.

Fig. 3.5 shows the total energy generation over a period of 8784 hours (under 1 sun and at different operating temperatures) with respect to the number of anneals per day. It
is notable that at operating temperature 90 °C and 1 sun irradiance, the energy is maximized for the dispatch strategy of Case IV (annealing once per day). Beyond that at 1 sun irradiance, with increasing the number of anneals per day total energy generation decreases and even drops to lower values than the DSS energy. This can be attributed to the power drop during the annealing cycle. If too much of the day is spent annealing then there is not enough time to capture and convert radiation at the higher efficiencies. Also it is noteworthy that at operating temperatures 25 °C and 50 °C the energy generation is not maximized for the strategy of Case IV. Instead, the energy is highest for Case V (annealing twice a day), which can be attributed to the fact that degradation is more pronounced at lower temperatures and the increased number of annealing cycles per day compensates for the loss.

**Fig. 3.6** Total energy generation under normal sun at operating temperature for a) 25 °C, b) 50 °C, and c) 90 °C for the four cities over a year with change in number of anneals per day

Fig. 3.6 shows the total energy generation over a year (under normal sun for operating temperatures) for a) 25 °C, b) 50 °C, and c) 90 °C for the four locations as a function of the number of anneals per day. Annealing once per day generated the maximum power. The lower values of energy than the 1 sun cases can be attributed to the reduced total flux.
as compared to constant 1 sun illumination. These results show that annealing once a day is sufficient to maximize electrical energy generation using spike annealing in a-Si:H PVT.

Table 3.1: Total energy generation over a year for the four cities for different case studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study</th>
<th>Operating Temperature</th>
<th>Goldendale (mW-hr)</th>
<th>San Antonio (mW-hr)</th>
<th>Reno (mW-hr)</th>
<th>Las Vegas (mW-hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case-II</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-III</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.176</td>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>1.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-IV</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.235</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>1.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-V</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>1.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-VI</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.221</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>1.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>1.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-VII</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.204</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>1.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>1.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-VIII</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>1.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 °C</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1 shows the simulated normalized energy generation over a year for the four cities. All the values were normalized with respect to the no annealing energy generation at corresponding operating temperatures. Case Study-IV (annealing once per day) was found to be the most effective dispatch strategy; resulting in more than 23%, 10%, and 1.2% additional energy generation over a year for the operating temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C respectively while the total energy generation is maximum for operating temperature 90 °C. These values are in agreement with past work [2] that predicted about a 10% improvement using only 1 sun degradation and daily spike anneals at 50 °C. The results also underscore the importance of using real temperatures and not STC in the optimization of PV devices. Although, using Case IV at 25 °C showed more than a 23% increase in output in most locations the operating temperatures for PV are much higher. It is also clear that running a-Si:H PV/T at high temperatures (e.g. 90 °C) negated the majority of the spike annealing benefit gaining only about 1%. At all temperatures, one time annealing per day during peak sun hours is sufficient to generate maximum power in all of the geographic locations simulated. Geographic location (at least within the contiguous United States) does not appear to be an important variable for determining the optimum dispatch strategy for the electrical output of spike annealing a-Si:H PV/T devices as the range in solar flux was not enough to appreciably effect the dispatch strategy for annealing. In general the operating temperature for solar cells can be easily raised to 50 °C, but using the thermal collector it is possible to maintain a steady temperature of 90 °C which provides even higher energy.

Now that a suitable dispatch strategy has been developed future work is needed to determine the degradation characteristics at temperatures other than 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C. Using Eq. (2.3) it is possible to obtain the degradation traits at other temperatures, but the problem lies on determining the accurate values of the parameters $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ as
these parameters are functions of the PV/T temperature. In such a case the values can be approximated (e.g. if at temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$ ($T_1 < T_2$) the values of parameter $k_{dss}$ are $k_{dss1}$ and $k_{dss2}$ respectively, then at a temperature $T_x$ such that $T_1 < T_x < T_2$ the value of $k_{dss}$) using:

$$k_{dssx} = k_{dss1} + \frac{(k_{dss2} - k_{dss1})}{(T_2 - T_1)} \times (T_x - T_1)$$

(3.1)

Similarly the equation for getting $u_{dssx}$ is following,

$$u_{dssx} = u_{dss1} + \frac{(u_{dss2} - u_{dss1})}{(T_2 - T_1)} \times (T_x - T_1)$$

(3.2)

Using Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2) it is possible to determine the approximate values of $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ for an arbitrary operating temperature. Future research can focus on experiments that could help reduce the error associated with this approximation by using actual field temperatures. In addition, the positive results found in the simulations, indicate that future work should be undertaken to verify the energy gains using a-Si:H PV/T with daily annealing pulses and to find the optimal operating temperature to maximize electrical output. Finally, further work is necessary to maximize the total exergy of the system [3], by considering the effects of annealing pulses on the thermal energy recovered from the PV/T and a final optimal dispatch strategy can be determined taking into account load data for a specific application and location.

In general the outdoor temperature varies frequently over a day hence the above mentioned equations can be used in approximately determining the values of the parameters $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ with temperature change. This will eventually take into account the effect of temperature on solar power generation using PV/T. Chapter 4 portrays the impact of varying temperature on PV/T performance thoroughly. The dispatch mechanism in real world scenario is also analyzed in this chapter.
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CHAPTER 4

Results: Outdoor Temperature Simulation

The preceding chapters focused on the hybrid amorphous silicon solar photovoltaic - thermal (PVT) system behavior associated with annealing of defect states in the PV absorber and corresponding dispatch strategies under sustained operating temperatures, showed that the degradation associated parameters varies with the operating temperature. To provide analysis for real-world conditions over which the temperature can fluctuate significantly, the effect of temperature has been incorporated in the updated model. By considering the degradation associated parameters as functions of temperature differential increment/decrement calculation is used to obtain the values of these parameters for the intermediate temperatures between 25 °C and 90 °C - thus making the parameters function of temperature. In addition, the annealing associated PVT performance in real world outdoor environment scenario- where the temperature and solar irradiance varies frequently has been studied in detail.

4.1 Background†

4.1.1 Degradation Associated Parameters

Previous chapter has introduced the parameters $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ [1] also referred as the degradation associated parameter plays a major role in rendering the degradation phenomenon. These parameters were introduced in the max power generation equation in order to modify the equation for PV/T and incorporate the effect of SWE in it [1]. It is really important to understand how their values change with temperature.

† The material contained in this chapter has been submitted to the journal, Renewable Energy.
Exponential fits [1] to experimental data (Figure 2.2) showing degenerated steady state obtained at sustained operating temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C for a-Si:H PV cell active layer thickness of 630 nm under 1 sun (source: Pathak et. al.) [2] were used to derive $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$.

When the irradiance is constant, it is notable that the exponential degradation rate and the final degenerative steady state power generation both depend on the operating temperature. To take into account the effect of operating temperature outside of fixed values the degradation rate and the steady state power generation is required to make a function of temperature. In other words, the degradation associated parameters $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ should be represented as a function of temperature. The parameter $k_{dss}$ explains the degenerative steady state and $u_{dss}$ justifies the degradation rate. It has also been observed that $k_{dss}$ decreases and $u_{dss}$ increases with temperature rise. Another remarkable observation is that at higher temperature power generation reaches steady state with a faster degradation rate (requires less time) while it is opposite for the lower temperature cases. Also steady state power generation is higher at higher temperatures. Equation (3.1) and (3.2) linearly calculate the values of these parameters in the intermediate regions between 25 °C to 50 °C and 50 °C to 90 °C [1]. Figure 4.1 and 4.2 shows the corresponding plots.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 4.1** Degradation associated parameter $k_{dss}$ against temperature
As a first approximation the changes in the parameter values have been considered to be changing linearly in the range between the experimentally measured temperatures. Hence, there exist two linear regions with different slopes in each plot. The value of the parameters outside the range of 25 °C to 90 °C is calculated by extending the lines linearly.

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 Global Expansion and Simulation Mechanism Updates

Previous simulations were confined to four specific cities in United States [1]. In order to expand the optimization maps for the performance of the PV/T it is required to expand the modeling range by including more solar data collected from worldwide solar resources and satellites. As a primary approach in the present work the solar data for regions all over US (including Alaska and Hawaii) and Western Canada has been included in the simulation. Primarily the hourly solar irradiance and temperature data from the U.S. air force weather stations has been used [3, 4]. The NREL Solar Prospector has been utilized as well for collecting the solar data in US using geographic coordinates [5]. The Canadian data was obtained from the National Resources Canada (NRCAN) website [6]. The simulation mechanism is almost similar as before except the fact that the
user has the freedom to access more data from around all over U.S. and Western Canada. Users can input solar data in the simulation using either known USAF station ID or geographic coordinates and the program gives the exact PV/T output at different operating conditions and dispatch strategies. Also it is notable that the hourly outdoor temperature data has been utilized in the simulation hence the degradation associated parameters $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ fluctuates in accordance with the temperature. The solar data that has been utilized is the METSTAT-modeled global horizontal solar irradiation data [7] which indicates the total amount of direct and diffuse solar radiation (METSTAT-modeled) received on a horizontal surface during the 60-minute period ending at the timestamp.

### 4.2.2 Association of Temperature Data for Selected Locations

For better understanding of the PVT behavior it is required to include the hourly temperature time series data. For a particular location the temperature varies frequently. In the same manner the degradation associated parameters vary hourly. The analysis utilizes time series temperature data along with the hourly solar irradiance data for four particular locations with different atmospheric condition. These locations were chosen according to the incidence of solar flux and temperature readings. The approach was to include locations with four different atmospheric criteria:

1. High solar flux/high temperature
2. High solar flux/low temperature
3. Low solar flux/high temperature
4. Low solar flux/low temperature

As for the first standard the city of Casa Grande, Arizona was chosen where the flux and temperature is fairly high all through the year. For the second category city of Aspen in Pitkin County, Colorado is chosen where the temperature is very low while the corresponding solar flux is surprisingly high. For the third category city of Apalachicola,
Florida was chosen where the temperature is very high regardless the fact that the area gets comparatively less solar flux. Finally, city of Anchorage in Alaska was chosen for the fourth category. Here it is to be mentioned that usually the amount of incidence of solar flux depends on the geographic coordinate, incident angle and air mass/optical path of incidence. On the other hand, the temperature mostly depends on the humidity and air mass. In general the average hourly solar flux in Aspen, Colorado (193.83 W/m²) is lower than Apalachicola, Florida (214.97 W/m²) but the average hourly temperature in Aspen, Colorado (5.23 °C) is very low regardless the fact they get a decent amount of solar flux. Hence, Aspen has been chosen as a high solar flux/low temperature area. On the other hand the temperature in Apalachicola, Florida (20.4 °C) is remarkably high for a moderate incident flux. Thus Apalachicola is chosen as a low flux/high temperature area. Table 4.1 shows the chosen locations based on flux/temperature readings.

**Table 4.1:** Chosen cities based on atmospheric criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High Flux</th>
<th>Low Flux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Temperature</strong></td>
<td>Casa Grande, Arizona</td>
<td>Apalachicola, Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Temperature</strong></td>
<td>Aspen, Colorado</td>
<td>Anchorage, Alaska</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2 shows the summary of climates for the chosen cities. The time series hourly data sets starting from January 1st, 2002 to December 31st, 2002 were utilized in the simulation. It is to be noted that the average hourly temperature in this cities are lower than 25 °C which was the lowest operating temperature in the consistent operating temperature PVT dispatch model.

**Table 4.2** Summary of climate of the chosen cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Average Hourly Temperature (for the year 2002)</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Average hourly solar irradiance (for the year 2002)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage</td>
<td>61.2167° N</td>
<td>149.9000° W</td>
<td>-3.44598 °C</td>
<td>102 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Grande</td>
<td>32.8858° N</td>
<td>111.7439° W</td>
<td>21.59384 °C</td>
<td>1,398 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalachicola</td>
<td>29.7253° N</td>
<td>84.9925° W</td>
<td>20.39634 °C</td>
<td>13 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen</td>
<td>39.1922° N</td>
<td>106.8244° W</td>
<td>5.232163 °C</td>
<td>7,890 ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.3 and 4.4 shows the daily average solar flux and the temperature reading in the four chosen cities. Average solar irradiance per day for the cities (Anchorage, Casa Grande, Apalachicola, and Aspen) are 2.42, 6.03, 5.16, and 4.65 sun hours respectively. The peak sun hour with high flux lies in between 900 to 1600 hrs when the flux is higher than 250 W/m². Also it is noteworthy that during these hours the temperature is comparatively low which means the degradation occurs at a slow rate.

![Average hourly solar irradiation per day for the four cities](image)

**Fig 4.3** Average hourly solar irradiation per day for the four cities
Fig. 4.4 Average hourly temperature readings per day for the four cities

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Simulation at Sustained Operating Temperature

As an initial step of the analysis the simulation was performed at sustained operating temperatures where the degradation parameters are constant. Figure 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 shows the output obtained for the sustained operating temperature simulation in the four representative cities. In all the cases the 90 °C operating temperature with 1 time annealing per day provides the maximum power output.

Fig. 4.5 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing conditions in Anchorage, AK at sustained operating temperatures
Fig. 4.6 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing conditions in Casa Grande, AZ at sustained operating temperatures.

Fig. 4.7 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing conditions in Apalachicola, FL at sustained operating temperatures.
Fig. 4.8 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing conditions in Aspen, CO at sustained operating temperatures.

Figure 4.9 shows the maximum electrical energy generation for the four cities at sustained operating temperatures. Table 4.3 shows the normalized max energy obtained for the sustained operating temperature cases.

Fig. 4.9 Maximum electrical energy generation (obtained for annealing one time every day) over a year in the four cities at sustained operating temperatures.
Table 4.3 Normalized max electrical energy generation with respect to 25 °C max energy at sustained operating temperatures (anneal cycle: once every day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Normalized Max Electrical Energy at 25 °C</th>
<th>Normalized Max Electrical Energy at 50 °C</th>
<th>Normalized Max Electrical Energy at 90 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, AK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0025</td>
<td>1.0130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Grande, AZ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0019</td>
<td>1.0088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalachicola, FL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0017</td>
<td>1.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen, Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0076</td>
<td>1.0103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the sustained operating temperature simulation are pretty straightforward and comply with the fact that energy generation is higher at 90 °C.

4.3.2 Sensitivity and Outdoor Operating Conditions

The outdoor temperature performance of PV/T is distinctly complicated. For the performance analysis an environmental insulation based sensitivity study is carried out. Four cases of outdoor operating conditions are included in the study. Table 4.4 shows the list of case studies.

Table 4.4 List of case studies for outdoor operating temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Operating Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>90 °C (Sustained with high insulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>Outdoor Temperature (no insulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III</td>
<td>Outdoor Temperature+25 °C (low insulation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>Outdoor Temperature+50 °C (med. insulation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Case I the PVT is considered to be highly insulated and maintains a constant operating temperature 90 °C. 90 °C was chosen as the operating temperature as the panels
generate more power at this temperature compared to 25 °C and 50 °C because of the annealing effects of the higher temperature on defect state density. In Case II the PVT panels are not insulated at all and operate at outdoor temperature. In Case III the PVT panels are considered to be insulated in such a way so that the operating temperature is higher than the outdoor temperature by 25 °C. Case IV operating condition is similar to Case III except the fact that the panels are insulated better and operating temperature is higher than the outdoor temperature by 50 °C. Figure 4.10 shows the sensitivity plot for all the outdoor operating temperature case studies.

![Graph showing sensitivity of operating temperature]  

**Fig. 4.10** Sensitivity study with different outdoor operating conditions

### 4.3.3 Simulation at Outdoor Operating Conditions

Outdoor simulations were performed by taking into account the outdoor operating case studies along with the anneal cycle case studies from previous work [1]. Figure 4.11, 4.12, 4.13 and 4.14 shows the overall electrical energy generation for the representative cities at outdoor operating conditions. From the figures it can be seen that in each area the panels generate more power when operated at outdoor temperatures which is on average lower than 25 °C in each city. Along with the outdoor operating conditions the different annealing cycle cases starting from no anneal to 6 times anneal per day are studied in the present simulation.
In Anchorage, AK (Figure 4.11) the effect of annealing is very minor and eventually causes a power drop while operation without annealing gives the maximum power. This behavior can be attributed to the fact that the temperature is very low in Alaska (-3.44598 °C on average) and at low temperatures the initial degradation rate is very small, although the DSS is worse than for higher temperatures. Also the initial drop in the power during annealing is more significant compared to the after anneal power increase. This introduces more power loss rather than compensating for the light induced degradation. Thus rapid annealing cycles, which themselves have parasitic losses do not generate additional electrical energy. In such a case it is more suitable to reduce the frequency of annealing cycles. This is discussed in detail in the latter section.

![Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing cycles in Anchorage, AK at outdoor operating conditions](image)

**Fig. 4.11** Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing cycles in Anchorage, AK at outdoor operating conditions

In Casa Grande, AZ (Figure 4.12) the flux and temperature are comparatively very high. The simulation shows power generation is better at outdoor operating temperatures than the other sensitivity controlled outdoor operating conditions. Maximum power is generated with the anneal frequency five times per day. It is similar in case of Apalachicola, FL (Figure 4.13) as well except the fact that the anneal frequency is six times per day. Such requirements of high number of anneals per day in Casa Grande and Apalachicola refers to the fact that the degradation is more rapid in locations with higher
outdoor temperature and more anneal pulses are required to compensate for this power loss due to fast states [8, 9]. It is also true that anneal pulses are accompanied with an initial power drop but compared to the SWE degradation and after anneal rapid power boost this drop is minor. In Aspen, CO (Figure 4.14) the outdoor temperature is low for a reasonable amount of incident solar flux in this region. Simulation result shows that one time annealing everyday at outdoor operating temperature is good enough for maximum power generation.

Fig. 4.12 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing cycles in Casa Grande, AZ at outdoor operating conditions

Fig. 4.13 Total electrical energy generation over a year under different annealing cycles in Apalachicola, FL at outdoor operating conditions
Figure 4.15 shows the max energy output for all the four cities and includes data for both the sustained operating temperature cases and outdoor operating temperature cases. In all the locations the outdoor operating temperature yield the maximum output. The max energy obtained at Aspen, CO is close to the max energy obtained at Apalachicola, FL regardless the fact that Florida gets more solar flux than Colorado. This can be attributed to the degradation rate stagnating at locations with lower outdoor temperatures. In Colorado, for example, the net electrical energy generation without annealing is higher than Florida. In Florida the flux is high, but the temperature is relatively high as well, which results in rapid degradation hence the net energy generation without annealing is lower. On the other hand additional power generation due to annealing is more in Florida compared to Colorado. This is because of the rapid degradation of generated power in Florida, which makes more room for the anneal pulses to take place and produce more annealing-associated additional electrical energy.
Fig. 4.15 Maximum electrical energy generation over a year for the four cities at sustained temperature (anneal cycle is one time per day) and outdoor operating conditions (anneal cycle varies from one place to another)

Table 4.5 shows the summary of the outdoor operating condition simulation. The data represents the output electrical energy at operating temperature same as the outdoor temperature. It contains the max energy obtained for the four cities when annealing is applied. The additional energy generated due to annealing is also shown.

**Table 4.5** Max electrical energy generation over a year in the four cities at outdoor operating temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Max Electrical Energy Without Annealing (kW-hr)</th>
<th>Max Electrical Energy With Annealing (kW-hr)</th>
<th>Required Number of Anneals</th>
<th>Additional energy Generation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, AK</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.00457</td>
<td>Once every other day</td>
<td>-6.52 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Grande, AZ</td>
<td>0.00737</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>5 times/day</td>
<td>34.35 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalachicola, FL</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.00838</td>
<td>6times/day</td>
<td>33.17 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following are the observations that summarize the whole section:

1. Outdoor operating temperature provides the maximum energy in all the representative climate cities.

2. Annealing frequency should be changed from one location to another depending on the outdoor temperature and incident solar flux.

3. Effect of annealing is significant in locations with higher outdoor temperatures. The additional energy generation due to annealing is higher in Casa Grande, AZ (34.35%) and Apalachicola, FL (33.17%) where the outdoor temperature is higher on average. Also, the annealing frequency is high for generation of this amount of additional power. For Casa Grande, the anneal frequency is five times per day and for Apalachicola it is six times per day. In other words, annealing associated additional energy generation is higher in places with higher temperature.

4. Max energy generation (without annealing at outdoor operating temperatures) is higher in regions with lower outdoor temperatures. As for example, Aspen, CO gets lower flux compared to Apalachicola, FL yet generates more power than Apalachicola without annealing (as per Table 4.5). This can be attributed to the lower outdoor temperature in Aspen which stagnates the power degradation rate.

5. In locations with extremely low temperatures, the effect of annealing is minor and a-Si:H PVT panels do not necessarily generate additional electrical energy under rapid annealing cycles. On the other hand, the maximum electrical energy without annealing is high. As for example, the additional energy generation due to annealing (once every other day) in Anchorage, AK is -6.52 %, which represents an electrical energy loss.
4.3.4 Appropriate Dispatch Strategy

The preceding sections ascertained that annealing contribute to additional electrical energy generation in PVT. But annealing is also accompanied with PVT panel thermal energy consumption. Hence it is necessary to optimize the annealing cycle and obtain the most effective dispatch strategy for the total energy. This is left for future work, which should use exergy to determine the optimal routine. A sensitization was performed on following bases:

1. Depending on the climate features of any particular region dispatch strategy should be adapted

2. The frequency of annealing should be as low as possible - reduces the annealing associated thermal energy consumption

3. The energy generation should be as high as possible and must be close to max energy generation

The dispatch strategy reasoning for each city is discussed in detail in following segments.

a) Anchorage (Alaska): The outdoor operating temperature simulation result shows that max power in Anchorage was obtained without annealing and rapid annealing reduces power generation. The power degradation rate is very low due to extremely low outdoor temperature. Hence it is required to reduce the frequency of annealing cycles to obtain power greater than the no anneal situation. Using the rules above, annealing only once in a year (approximately after 8640 hours) was chosen to be an ideal strategy for this city. It resulted in generation of .000345 % additional energy which is very negligible. Further reduction of annealing frequency i.e. annealing once in every two years will possibly provide additional energy.
b) **Casa Grande (Arizona):** In Casa Grande the max energy was obtained for the strategy that consists of five times annealing everyday at outdoor operating temperature. In Table 4.5 an additional energy of 34.35 % is reported for this strategy. It has also been observed that one time annealing per day generates 33.45 % additional energy which is fairly close to the energy generation with five times anneal per day. This will also reduce the annealing associated heating energy consumption. Thus annealing once every day should be a good compromise dispatch strategy for Casa Grande.

c) **Apalachicola (Florida):** In Apalachicola the max energy was obtained for a dispatch strategy consisting six times annealing per day- resulted in 33.17% additional energy generation. If the anneal cycle is reduced to one time every day 29.33% additional electrical energy generation is still generated, while cutting the annealing associated heating energy consumption down by a factor of six. This compares to the exergy for PV being higher than solar thermal for PV systems by a factor of six.

d) **Aspen (Colorado):** According to Table 4.5, the maximum energy for Aspen was obtained for the strategy- one time anneal every day. This strategy is also likely to provide a favorable dispatch strategy for Aspen with 22.47 % additional electrical energy generation.

Table 4.6 shows comparison of the dispatch strategies that give the maximum electrical energy with the strategies that gives favorable PVT performance. The calculation only involves electrical energy generation and hence it is not the optimized one. The appropriate dispatch strategy in this case can be defined as the strategy that generates electrical energy close to max possible electrical energy with lower frequency of anneal cycles. Similarly max energy dispatch strategy refers to the strategy that generates maximum electrical energy.
Table 4.6 Comparison between max electrical energy dispatch strategies and appropriate strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Max Energy Without Annealing (kW-hr)</th>
<th>Max Electrical Energy Dispatch Strategy</th>
<th>Appropriate Dispatch Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generated Electrical Energy (kW-hr)</td>
<td>Required Number of Anneals</td>
<td>Additional energy Generation (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, AK</td>
<td>0.004592592</td>
<td>No Anneal</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Grande, AZ</td>
<td>0.00737</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>5 times/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apalachicola, FL</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.00838</td>
<td>6times/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspen, CO</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.00834</td>
<td>1 time/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Amorphous silicon based solar cells have a lower thermal coefficient than c-Si, but their performance is undermined by the fact that long time light exposure causes formation of defect states that reduces power generation. Fortunately, a-Si:H also has the ability to reduce the quantity of defect states with exposure to high temperature annealing pulses. This property makes them more suitable for PV/T applications where the thermal collector temperature can be easily raised to the annealing temperature. Yet suitable dispatch strategies are prerequisite to obtain the optimal benefits of the PV/T assembly. Earlier chapters sum up the investigation methodologies and results of variant dispatch schemes. This chapter contains the discussions including the consistency of the simulation, limitations of the present method, improvements and the future works.

5.1 Discussions

5.1.1 Consistency of Simulation

The consistency of the simulation determines how accurate results are obtained. In the current method of outdoor environment operation of PVT it has been considered that the panels operate at outdoor temperature. In reality the temperature of the PVT panels get higher than the outdoor temperature which eventually affects the PVT degradation behavior and also the PVT panel output. It is really hard to obtain accurate panel temperature from theory as heat is transferred mostly through conduction mechanism and there exist temperature gradient between each component in the module. Both experimental and theoretical studies of thermal conductance and heat transfer in a-Si:H is required to perform for better understanding of the phenomenon.
5.1.2 Limitations of the Simulation Method

One of the major drawbacks of the model is the accuracy of values of the degradation associated constants $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$. These values were calculated linearly from the plots shown in Figure 4.1 and 4.2. Also the values of the parameters outside the 25 °C-90 °C range were calculated by extending the line on both sides. The technique is not very efficient. As the number of basic data points were limited to three it was not possible to obtain an accurate fitting. Given more data points of $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$ values in between the range 25 °C to 90 °C it will be possible to come up with a more accurate fitting curve for $k_{dss}$ and $u_{dss}$. The range of experimental data must include the 1 sun PV/T degradation plots below 25 °C (e.g. -10 °C to 25 °C) as that range of temperature is more favorable for PV/T operation.

Another limitation of the degradation associated parameters is their value limits. The value of $u_{dss}$ falls with decrease in temperature and after certain temperature (approximately 2 °C) the value of $u_{dss}$ becomes negative. Theoretically it is not possible for $u_{dss}$ to obtain negative value because that refers to rise in power rather than degradation. Thus when $u_{dss}$ value is below 0 the value is set to 0. Similarly the max limit for $k_{dss}$ value is 0.4642 and the parameter value cannot exceed the limit. Exceeding the limit deteriorates degradation behavior.

From the study of PV/T performance and dispatch strategy in Alaska it was found that annealing has very minor impact on additional power generation in this region. Annealing only one time in a year resulted in a negligible amount of additional energy generation (.000345%). It is possible that annealing only once in two or three years may yield higher additional energy generation. Due to limited access to data it was not possible to effectively optimize the situation.

The calculation of appropriate dispatch strategy does not include the thermal energy consumption associated with annealing and hence is not optimized. This portion of energy is a part of the energy loss and may change the energy output at a high margin and needs to be investigated in the future. Also due to the limitation of access to required data
and insufficiency of resources it was not possible to gather the data from all around the world. With more availability of global data better understanding of PVT behavior is possible.

5.2 Future Work

This study broadened the future scope of research in the field of a-Si:H PVT dispatch strategy and annealing. One of the more highlighted topics will be fitting the degradation associated parameter curves more accurately using experimental values. In addition, more detailed studies of snow and its impact on solar energy devices [1] such as PVT performance can provide insight into the dispatch strategies and annealing cycles in snowbound areas. Moreover, using PV/T trade-off between the thermal power and electric power is possible upon users demand. In other words, tunability between the thermal and electric power is possible. This will give users more flexibility on usage of PVT and they can set the PVT operating condition upon their instant demand of thermal power or electric power. Studies of the temperature/annealing controller aided automatic generation of anneal sequence as a function of outdoor temperature and flux will make the whole system more useful and practical for global application. Finally mathematical computation of thermal energy consumption due to spike annealing could be a good topic for experimental research.

5.3 Conclusion

The results of the initial study (Chapter 3), investigated the impact of annealing cycles and geographic locations with real solar flux data showed that at standard and sustained PV operating temperatures one anneal pulse per day provided the largest electrical output through the year. The results showed that significant amount of additional energy generation is possible over the year with an appropriate dispatch strategy and operating temperature providing 23%, 10%, and 1.2% additional energy generation over a year for the operating temperatures 25 °C, 50 °C, and 90 °C respectively. The outcome inclined that further study of annealing cycles and analysis of the degradation at other operating temperatures coupled with the optimization of the
thermal component of the PV/T can provide the optimal dispatch strategy for the devices for any application.

The latter part of the study (Chapter 4) is an expansion of the earlier work aimed to diagnose the real world outdoor environment performance of PVT under application of annealing cycles. The study includes solar data from all over U.S. and Canada. The main feature of the model is that it expands the limits of operation from sustained operating temperatures to outdoor operating temperature. The result of simulation reports the impact of annealing and maximum possible energy outputs at different outdoor operating conditions. It was found that compared to sustained temperature operation the energy generation is more when PV/Ts are operated at outdoor temperatures. Finally, a logical approach was taken to optimize the dispatch strategies which hold limitations with the accurate reckoning of the associated exergy and thermal energy. The present work concludes with the notion that with access to required data and considering the bases of sensitization as the rule of thumb it is possible to implement suitable dispatch strategies and execute virtual performance analysis of PV/T for any places around the world.
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Appendix I

Program Code: Ideal Cases

% load data files
load T630nm_25c.mat
load T630nm_50c.mat
load T630nm_90c.mat
load T630nm_25c_new.mat
load T630nm_50c_new.mat
load T630nm_90c_new.mat
load Goldendale_168.mat
load San_Antonio_168.mat
load Reno_168.mat
load Vegas_168.mat
load Goldendale_366.mat
load San_Antonio_3661.mat
load Reno_3661.mat
load Vegas_3661.mat
load t1_caseVII.mat
load t1_caseVIII.mat
load t1_caseIX.mat
load t1_caseX.mat
load t1_caseXI.mat
load t1_caseXII.mat
load t1_caseXIII.mat
load x25.mat
load x50.mat
load x90.mat
load y25.mat
load y50.mat
load y90.mat
load counter_caseVII.mat
load counter_caseVIII.mat
load counter_caseIX.mat
load counter_caseX.mat
load counter_caseXI.mat
load counter_caseXII.mat
load counter_caseXIII.mat
load counter_caseVII_366.mat
load counter_caseVIII_366.mat
load counter_caseIX_366.mat
load counter_caseX_366.mat
load counter_caseXI_366.mat
load counter_caseXII_366.mat
load counter_caseXIII_366.mat

% Solar irradiance for the four cities
plot(t(1,1:25),Goldendale_168(1:25,1),'k');
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),San_Antonio_168(1:25,1));
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),Reno_168(1:25,1),'g'); 
hold on 
plot(t(1,1:25),Vegas_168(1:25,1),'r'); 
hold on 

% constants 
delta=0.063; 
gamma=-0.002; 
% pref=5.526; 
pref=5.2825; 
T_25=273+25; 
T_50=273+50; 
T_90=273+90; 
T_ref=273+25; 
% t =[0:192]; 

% t =[0:600]; 
t=[0:8783]; 
% u25=0.05205; 
u25=0.03601; 
% u50=0.1293; 
u50=0.07552; 
u90=0.2097; 
% kdss25=0.3276; 
kdss25=0.3450; 
% kdss50=0.2023; 
kdss50=0.2154; 
kdss90=0.07917; 

% original data 
% plot(T630nm_25c_new(1:539,1),mat2gray(T630nm_25c_new(1:539,2),[0 5.278]),'g') 
% hold on 
% plot(T630nm_50c_new(1:545,1),mat2gray(T630nm_50c_new(1:545,2),[0 5.278])) 
% hold on 
% plot(T630nm_90c_new(1:383,1),mat2gray(T630nm_90c_new(1:383,2),[0 5.278]),'r') 
% hold on 
% plot(x25,mat2gray(y25,[0 5.278]),'g') 
% hold on 
% plot(x50,mat2gray(y50,[0 5.278])) 
% hold on 
% plot(x90,mat2gray(y90,[0 5.278]),'r') 
% hold on 

% case-I: under 1 sun without annealing 
pmax_50=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50)); 
pmax_90=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90)); 

tpDSS_90=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t));
tpDSS_50=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t));

tpDSS_25=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t));

pDSS_90=tpDSS_90.;

pDSS_50=tpDSS_50.;

pDSS_25=tpDSS_25.;

% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_25,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_50,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_90,[0 5.278]),'r')

% case-V: under normal sun without aneaeling

pmax_25_caseV_sa=(pDSS_25).*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));

pmax_25_caseV_re=(pDSS_25).*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));

pmax_25_caseV_ve=(pDSS_25).*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));

pmax_25_caseV_gd=(pDSS_25).*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));

pmax_50_caseV_sa=(pDSS_50).*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));

pmax_50_caseV_re=(pDSS_50).*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));

pmax_50_caseV_ve=(pDSS_50).*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));

pmax_50_caseV_gd=(pDSS_50).*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));

pmax_90_caseVI_sa=(pDSS_90).*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));

pmax_90_caseVI_re=(pDSS_90).*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));

pmax_90_caseVI_ve=(pDSS_90).*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));

pmax_90_caseVI_gd=(pDSS_90).*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));

% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_25_caseV_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_25_caseV_sa,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_25_caseV_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_25_caseV_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
% hold on
% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_50_caseV_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_50_caseV_sa,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_50_caseV_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_50_caseV_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
% hold on
% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_sa,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
% hold on
pmax_25_caseV_sa_366=(pDSS_25).*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseV_re_366=(pDSS_25).*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseV_ve_366=(pDSS_25).*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseV_gd_366=(pDSS_25).*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));
pmax_50_caseV_sa_366=(pDSS_50).*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseV_re_366=(pDSS_50).*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseV_ve_366=(pDSS_50).*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseV_gd_366=(pDSS_50).*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));
pmax_90_caseVI_sa_366=(pDSS_90).*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVI_re_366=(pDSS_90).*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVI_ve_366=(pDSS_90).*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVI_gd_366=(pDSS_90).*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

% case-X: annealing once per 2 days
% j=1;
% for i=1:193 % operating temp 90C
%     if counter_caseX(i)==1
%         tpDSS_90_X(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
}
\begin{verbatim}
\% j=j+1;
\% elseif counter_caseX(i)==2
\%  tpDSS_90_X(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_X(i-1);
\% else
\%  tpDSS_90_X(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
\% j=6;
\% end
\% end
\% figure
\% % plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_90,[0 5.278]),'r')
\% hold on
\% plot(mat2gray(tpDSS_90_X,[0 5.278]))
\% hold on
\% pmax_90_caseX_sa=(tpDSS_90_X').*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));
\% pmax_90_caseX_re=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));
\% pmax_90_caseX_ve=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));
\% pmax_90_caseX_gd=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));
\% figure
\% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
\% hold on
\% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_sa,[0 5.278]))
\% hold on
\% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
\% hold on
\% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
\% hold on
\% j=1;
\% for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
\%  if counter_caseX_366(i)==1
\%    tpDSS_25_X(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j))));
\%    j=j+1;
\%  elseif counter_caseX_366(i)==2
\%    tpDSS_25_X(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_X(i-1);
\%  else
\%    tpDSS_25_X(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
\%    j=19;
\% end
\% figure
\% subplot(3,1,1)
\% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
\% hold on
\% subplot(3,1,1)
\% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_X(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
\end{verbatim}
pmax_25_caseX_sa_366 = (tpDSS_25_X').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseX_re_366 = (tpDSS_25_X').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseX_ve_366 = (tpDSS_25_X').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseX_gd_366 = (tpDSS_25_X').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseX_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_X(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseX_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_X(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_X(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_X(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11;
    end
end

pmax_50_caseX_sa_366 = (tpDSS_50_X').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));

pmax_50_caseX_re_366 = (tpDSS_50_X').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));

pmax_50_caseX_ve_366 = (tpDSS_50_X').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));

pmax_50_caseX_gd_366 = (tpDSS_50_X').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseX_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_X(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseX_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_X(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_X(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_X(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_X(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_X(1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseX_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_X').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseX_re_366=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseX_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseX_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_X').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

% case-IX: annealing once per day
j=1;
for i=1:193 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseIX(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_sa,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
% % hold on

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseIX_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_IX(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseIX_sa_366=(tpDSS_25_IX').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseIX_re_366=(tpDSS_25_IX').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseIX_ve_366=(tpDSS_25_IX').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseIX_gd_366=(tpDSS_25_IX').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseIX_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(6,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_IX(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseIX_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_IX').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseIX_re_366=(tpDSS_50_IX').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));}
j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseIX_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
    elseif counter_caseIX_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    end
j=j+1;
end
% figure
% subplot(6,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_IX(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseIX_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_IX').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseIX_re_366=(tpDSS_90_IX').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseIX_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_IX').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseIX_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_IX').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

% case-VIII: annealing twice a day
% j=1;
% for i=1:193 % operating temp 25C
%     if counter_caseVIII(i)==1
%         tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
%     elseif counter_caseVIII(i)==2
%         tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VIII(i-1);
%     else
%         tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
%     end
%     j=j+1;
% end
% % figure
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_25,[0 5.278]),'g')
% % hold on
% % plot(t,mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VIII,[0 5.278]))
% % hold on
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\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{sa} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{San\_Antonio}\_168) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{San\_Antonio}\_168)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{re} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Reno}\_168) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Reno}\_168)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{ve} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Vegas}\_168) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Vegas}\_168)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{gd} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Goldendale}\_168) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Goldendale}\_168)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{sa}_{366} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{San\_Antonio}\_3661) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{San\_Antonio}\_3661)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{re}_{366} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Reno}\_3661) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Reno}\_3661)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{ve}_{366} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Vegas}\_3661) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Vegas}\_3661)))));
\]
\[
\text{pmax}_{90}\text{ caseVIII1}_{gd}_{366} = (\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}') \ast ((\text{Goldendale}\_366) \ast (1/(1+\delta \ast (\log(1/\text{Goldendale}\_366)))));
\]
\[
\text{for}\ i=1:8784\ %\ operating\ temp\ 25C
\text{if}\ \text{counter\ caseVIII\_366}(i)==1
\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}(i) = \text{pref} - (\text{kdss25*pref} \ast (1-\exp((-u25) \ast t(j))));
j=j+1;
\text{elseif}\ \text{counter\ caseVIII\_366}(i)==2
\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}(i) = 0.83 \ast \text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}(i-1);
\text{else}
\text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}(i) = 0.946 \ast \text{tpDSS}_{25\text{ VIII}}(i);
\text{end}
\text{end}
\text{for}\ i=1:8784\ %\ operating\ temp\ 50C
\text{if}\ \text{counter\ caseVIII\_366}(i)==1
\text{tpDSS}_{50\text{ VIII}}(i) = \text{pref}/(1+\gamma \ast (T_{\text{ref}}-T_{90})) - (\text{kdss50*pref} \ast (1-\exp((-u50) \ast t(j))));
\text{end}
\]
j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseVIII_366(i)==2
    tpDSS_50_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_VIII(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_50_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
end
j=11; % at 11th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
end

% figure
% subplot(6,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_VIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseVIII1_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_VIII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+
    delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVIII1_re_366=(tpDSS_50_VIII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(
    log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVIII1_ve_366=(tpDSS_50_VIII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*
    (log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVIII1_gd_366=(tpDSS_50_VIII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+de
    lta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseVIII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-
            exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVIII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_VIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=6; % at 11th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(6,1,4)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,4)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseVIII1_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_VIII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+
    delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVIII1_re_366=(tpDSS_90_VIII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(
    log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVIII1_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_VIII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*
    (log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVIII1_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_VIII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+de
    lta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));
% case-VII: annealing thrice a day
% j=1;
% for i=1:193 % operating temp 25C
%    if counter_caseVII(i)==1
%      tpDSS_25_VII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
%      j=j+1;
%    elseif counter_caseVII(i)==2
%      tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VII(i-1);
%    else
%      tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
%      j=19; % at 11th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak
%    end
% end
% % figure
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_25,[0 5.278]),'g')
% % hold on
% % plot(t,mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VII,[0 5.278]))
% % hold on
% pmax_90_caseVII1_sa=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseVII1_re=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseVII1_ve=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseVII1_gd=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));
% % figure
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_gd,[0 5.278]),'k')
% % hold on
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_sa,[0 5.278]))
% % hold on
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_re,[0 5.278]),'g')
% % hold on
% % plot(t,mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_ve,[0 5.278]),'r')
% % hold on

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseVII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is .946* peak power at
40C
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseVII1_sa_366=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseVII1_re_366=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseVII1_ve_366=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseVII1_gd_366=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
  if counter_caseVII_366(i)==1
    tpDSS_50_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t(j))));
    j=j+1;
  elseif counter_caseVII_366(i)==2
    tpDSS_50_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_VII(i-1);
  else
    tpDSS_50_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=11; % at 10th hour the 50C degraded power is .946* peak power at 90C
  end
end

figure
% subplot(6,1,5)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,5)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseVII1_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_VII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVII1_re_366=(tpDSS_50_VII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVII1_ve_366=(tpDSS_50_VII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseVII1_gd_366=(tpDSS_50_VII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
  if counter_caseVII_366(i)==1
    tpDSS_90_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j))));
    j=j+1;
  elseif counter_caseVII_366(i)==2
    tpDSS_90_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_VII(i-1);
  else
tpDSS_90_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));

j=6;  % at 10th hour the 50c degraded power is .946* peak power at 90c
end
end

% figure
% subplot(6,1,6)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,6)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on

pmax_90_caseVII1_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_VII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVII1_re_366=(tpDSS_90_VII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVII1_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_VII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseVII1_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_VII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

% case-XI 4 times annealing per day
% j=1;
% for i=1:193  % operating temp 25C
%     if counter_caseXI(i)==1
%         tpDSS_25_XI(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
%         j=j+1;
%     elseif counter_caseXI(i)==2
%         tpDSS_25_XI(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XI(i-1);
%     else
%         tpDSS_25_XI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
%         j=19;  % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the .946*(peak power) at 90c
%     end
% end
% figure
% subplot(6,1,6)
% plot(t,tpDSS_25_XI)
% hold on
% subplot(6,1,6)
% plot(t,pDSS_25,'g')
%
% pmax_90_caseXI_sa=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseXI_re=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseXI_ve=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));
% pmax_90_caseXI_gd=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));
% figure
% subplot(6,1,6)
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXI_sa)
% hold on
j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXI_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XI(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));  
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXI_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XI(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the
        .946*(peak power) at 90c
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseXI_sa_366=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseXI_re_366=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseXI_ve_366=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));

pmax_25_caseXI_gd_366=(tpDSS_25_XI').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseXI_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_XI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t(j))); 
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXI_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_XI(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_XI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_XI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the
        .946*(peak power) at 90c
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_XI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseXI_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_XI').*((San_Antonio_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661))));//
pmax_50_caseXI_re_366=(tpDSS_50_XI').*((Reno_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661))));//
pmax_50_caseXI_ve_366=(tpDSS_50_XI').*((Vegas_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661))));//
pmax_50_caseXI_gd_366=(tpDSS_50_XI').*((Goldendale_366).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366))));//

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseXI_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_XI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXI_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_XI(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_XI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_XI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the .946*(peak power) at 90c
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_XI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseXI_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_XI').*((San_Antonio_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661))));//
pmax_90_caseXI_re_366=(tpDSS_90_XI').*((Reno_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661))));//
pmax_90_caseXI_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_XI').*((Vegas_3661).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661))));//
pmax_90_caseXI_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_XI').*((Goldendale_366).*((1./1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366))));//

%case-XII 5 times annealing per day
j=1;
for i=1:193 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXII(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXII(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t,tpDSS_25_XII)
% hold on
% plot(t,pDSS_25,'g')

pmax_90_caseXII_sa=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_re=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_ve=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_gd=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    end
end

% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXII_sa,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXII_re,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXII_ve,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXII_gd,'g')

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    end
end

% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseXII_sa_366=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXII_re_366=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXII_ve_366=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXII_gd_366=(tpDSS_25_XII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseXII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_XII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((~u50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_XII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50));
    end
end
tpDSS_50_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_XII(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_50_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
end

j=11; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak
power at 90c
end

% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_XII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseXII_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_XII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseXII_re_366=(tpDSS_50_XII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseXII_ve_366=(tpDSS_50_XII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_50_caseXII_gd_366=(tpDSS_50_XII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseXII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_XII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_XII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_XII(i-1);
        else
            tpDSS_90_XII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
            j=6; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak
power at 90c
        end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_XII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseXII_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_XII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_re_366=(tpDSS_90_XII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_ve_366=(tpDSS_90_XII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_90_caseXII_gd_366=(tpDSS_90_XII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366)))));

%case-XIII 6 times annealing per day
j=1;
for i=1:193 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXIII(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseXIII(i)==2
    tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XIII(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
end
j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
end

% figure
% plot(t,tpDSS_25_XIII)
% hold on
% plot(t,pDSS_25,'g')
pmax_90_caseXIII_sa=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((San_Antonio_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXIII_re=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Reno_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXIII_ve=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Vegas_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_168)))));
pmax_90_caseXIII_gd=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Goldendale_168).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_168)))));
end

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXIII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXIII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
    end
end

% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXIII_sa,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXIII_re,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXIII_ve,'g')
% figure
% plot(t,pmax_90_caseXIII_gd,'g')

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseXIII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=pref-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-u25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXIII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_XIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_XIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90c
    end
end

% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseXIII_sa_366=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((San_Antonio_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXIII_re_366=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Reno_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXIII_ve_366=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Vegas_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_3661)))));
pmax_25_caseXIII_gd_366=(tpDSS_25_XIII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseXIII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_XIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-u50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXIII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_XIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_XIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_XIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90C
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_XIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseXIII_sa_366=(tpDSS_50_XIII').*((San_Antonio_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_366)))));
pmax_50_caseXIII_re_366=(tpDSS_50_XIII').*((Reno_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_366)))));
pmax_50_caseXIII_ve_366=(tpDSS_50_XIII').*((Vegas_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas_366)))));
pmax_50_caseXIII_gd_366=(tpDSS_50_XIII').*((Goldendale_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale_366))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8784 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseXIII_366(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_XIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-u90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseXIII_366(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_XIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_XIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_XIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6; % at 18th hour the 25c degraded power is equal to the peak power at 90C
    end
end
% figure
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_XIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseXIII_sa_366=(tpDSS_90_XIII').*((San_Antonio_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./San_Antonio_366)))));
pmax_90_caseXIII_re_366=(tpDSS_90_XIII').*((Reno_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Reno_366))))));
\begin{verbatim}
\texttt{pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_ve\_366=(tpDSS\_90\_XIII').*((Vegas\_3661).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Vegas\_3661))))));
\texttt{pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_gd\_366=(tpDSS\_90\_XIII').*((Goldendale\_366).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./Goldendale\_366))))));

\texttt{\% analysis}
\texttt{\% plot(t,pmax\_25\_caseV\_sa)}
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(t,pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_sa,'g')}
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(t,pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_sa,'r')}  
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(t,pmax\_90\_caseIX\_sa,'g')}  
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(t,pmax\_90\_caseX\_sa,'r')}
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{\% area\_1sun=[trapz(pDSS\_90),trapz(tpDSS\_90\_IX),trapz(tpDSS\_90\_IX),trapz(tpDSS\_25\_VIII),trapz(tpDSS\_25\_VII),trapz(tpDSS\_25\_XI),trapz(tpDSS\_25\_XII),trapz(tpDSS\_25\_XIII)]}
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{\% area\_sa=[trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVI\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXI\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXII\_sa),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_sa)]}
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{\% area\_re=[trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVI\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXI\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXII\_re),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_re)]}
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{\% area\_ve=[trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVI\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXI\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXII\_ve),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_ve)]}
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{\% area\_gd=[trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVI\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseX\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseVIII\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXI\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXII\_gd),trapz(pmax\_90\_caseXIII\_gd)]
\texttt{\%}
\texttt{d=[0,0.5,1,2,3,4,5,6]; \% no of annealing per day}
\texttt{\% figure}
\texttt{\% plot(d,area\_1sun)}
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% figure}
\texttt{\% plot(d,area\_gd,'k')}  
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(d,area\_sa)}
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(d,area\_re,'g')}  
\texttt{\% hold on}
\texttt{\% plot(d,area\_ve,'r')}  
\texttt{\% hold on}
\end{verbatim}
area_1sun_366=[trapz(pDSS_90), trapz(tpDSS_90_X), trapz(tpDSS_90_IX), trapz(tpDSS_25_VIII), trapz(tpDSS_25_VII), trapz(tpDSS_25_II), trapz(tpDSS_25_XII), trapz(tpDSS_25_XIII)];
area_sa_366=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseX_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII1_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVII1_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXI_sa_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_sa_366)];
area_re_366=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseX_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII1_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVII1_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXI_re_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_re_366)];
area_ve_366=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseX_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII1_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVII1_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXI_ve_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_ve_366)];
area_gd_366=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseX_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII1_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseVII1_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXI_gd_366), trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_gd_366)];
figure
% plot(d,area_1sun_366)
% hold on
% figure
% plot(d,area_gd_366,'k')
% hold on
% figure
% plot(d,area_sa_366)
% hold on
% figure
% plot(d,area_re_366,'g')
% hold on
% figure
% plot(d,area_ve_366,'r')
% hold on

area_1sun_366_25=area_1sun_366_25/1000000;
area_sa_366_25=area_sa_366_25/1000000;
area_re_366_25=area_re_366_25/1000000;
area_ve_366_25=area_ve_366_25/1000000;
area_ve_366_25 = area_ve_366_25 / 1000000;
area_gd_366_25 = area_gd_366_25 / 1000000;
% figure
% plot(d, area_1sun_366_25)
% hold on
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(d, area_gd_366_25, 'k')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(d, area_sa_366_25)
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(d, area_re_366_25, 'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(d, area_ve_366_25, 'r')
% hold on

area_1sun_366_50 = [trapz(pDSS_50), trapz(tpDSS_50_X), trapz(tpDSS_50_IX), trapz(tpDSS_50_VIII), trapz(tpDSS_50_VII), trapz(tpDSS_50_XI), trapz(tpDSS_50_XII), trapz(tpDSS_50_XIII)]
area_sa_366_50 = [trapz(pmax_50_caseV_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseX_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseIX_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVIII1_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVII1_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_1_sa_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXIII_sa_366)]
area_re_366_50 = [trapz(pmax_50_caseV_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseX_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseIX_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVIII1_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVII1_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_1_re_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXIII_re_366)]
area_ve_366_50 = [trapz(pmax_50_caseV_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseX_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseIX_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVIII1_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVII1_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_1_ve_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXIII_ve_366)]
area_gd_366_50 = [trapz(pmax_50_caseV_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseX_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseIX_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVIII1_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseVII1_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXI_1_gd_366), trapz(pmax_50_caseXIII_gd_366)]
area_1sun_366_50 = area_1sun_366_50 / 1000000;
area_sa_366_50 = area_sa_366_50 / 1000000;
area_re_366_50 = area_re_366_50 / 1000000;
area_ve_366_50 = area_ve_366_50 / 1000000;
area_gd_366_50 = area_gd_366_50 / 1000000;
% figure
% plot(d, area_1sun_366_50, 'k')
% hold on
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(d, area_gd_366_50, 'k')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(d, area_sa_366_50)
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(d, area_re_366_50, 'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(d, area_ve_366_50, 'r')
% hold on
% plot(d,area_re_366_50,'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(d,area_ve_366_50,'r')
% hold on

area_1sun_366_90=[trapz(pDSS_90),trapz(tpDSS_90_X),trapz(tpDSS_90_XI),trapz(tpDSS_90_XII),trapz(tpDSS_90_XIII)]
area_sa_366_90=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseX_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIIII_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_sa_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXIII_sa_366)]
area_re_366_90=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseX_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVII_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_re_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXIII_re_366)]
area_ve_366_90=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseX_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIIII_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_ve_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXIII_ve_366)]
area_gd_366_90=[trapz(pmax_90_caseVI_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseX_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseIX_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIIII_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXII_gd_366),trapz(pmax_90_caseXIII_gd_366)]
area_1sun_366_90=area_1sun_366_90/1000000;
area_sa_366_90=area_sa_366_90/1000000;
area_re_366_90=area_re_366_90/1000000;
area_ve_366_90=area_ve_366_90/1000000;
area_gd_366_90=area_gd_366_90/1000000;

figure
% plot(d,area_1sun_366_90)
% hold on
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(d,area_gd_366_90,'k')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(d,area_sa_366_90)
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(d,area_re_366_90,'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(d,area_ve_366_90,'r')
% hold on

figure
% [ax,h1,h2]=plotyy(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),t(1,1:25),San_Antonio_168(25:49,1));
% hold on
% ylim(ax(1),[0 0.6]);
% ylim(ax(2),[0 0.7]);
```matlab
set(ax(1),'Ylabel','String','Normalized Max Power (a.u.)');
set(ax(2),'Ylabel','String','Solar Irradiance(kW/m^2)');
set(ax(1),'fontsize',18,'fontweight','d','YColor',[0 0 0],'fontname','times new roman');
set(ax(2),'fontsize',18,'fontweight','d','YColor',[0 0 0],'fontname','times new roman');
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),'r')
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVIII1_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXI_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXII_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXIII_sa(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on

figure
[ax,h1,h2]=plotyy(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVI_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),t(1,1:25),San_Antonio_3661(25:49,1));
hold on
ylim(ax(1),[0 0.6]);
ylim(ax(2),[0 0.7]);
set(ax(1),'Ylabel','String','Normalized Max Power (mW)');
set(ax(2),'Ylabel','String','Solar Irradiance(kW/m^2)');
set(ax(1),'fontsize',18,'fontweight','d','YColor',[0 0 0],'fontname','times new roman');
set(ax(2),'fontsize',18,'fontweight','d','YColor',[0 0 0],'fontname','times new roman');
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseX_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),'r')
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseIX_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVIII1_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseVII1_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXI_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXII_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:25),mat2gray(pmax_90_caseXIII_sa_366(25:49,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on

figure
plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:61,1),[0 5.278]))
hold on
plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_X(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
```
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_IX(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VIII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XI(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_XIII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on

% figure
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:61,1),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_X(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_IX(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VIII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90XI(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90XII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t(1,1:61),mat2gray(tpDSS_90XIII(1,1:61),[0 5.278]))
Appendix II

Program Code: Outdoor Temperature Simulation

clc
clear all
close all
load counter_caseIII_new.csv
load counter_caseIV_new.csv
load counter_caseV_new.csv
load counter_caseVI_new.csv
load counter_caseVII_new.csv
load counter_caseVIII_new.csv
load counter_caseIX_new.csv
load ANCHORAGE_INTL_ARPT_AL1.csv
load APALACHICOLA_MUNI_FL1.csv
load ASPEN_PITKIN_CO1.csv
load CASA_GRANDE_MUNI_AZ1.csv

% load loc_storage.xlsx
% load radwx_110753545_1998.csv
prompt={'Enter USAF ID:','Year:','Region:'};
loc=inputdlg(prompt,'Location and Year')
if loc{3,1}=='NA'
pos=1;
end
if loc{3,1}=='CA'
pos=2;
end
if loc{3,1}=='EU'
pos=3;
end

% North America
if (pos==1)
    U = strcat(loc{1,1},'_',loc{2,1},'_solar.csv');
    act_dat=importdata(U);
    act_dat1(1:8760,1)=act_dat.data(1:length(act_dat.data),14)/1000;
end

% Western Canada
% if (-130<str2num(loc{1,1}) && str2num(loc{1,1})<-89) &&
% (49<str2num(loc{2,1}) && str2num(loc{2,1})<58) && (pos==2)
%     U = strcat('site_',loc{2,1},'_',loc{1,1},'_timeseries.csv');
%     act_dat=load(U);
%     act_dat1(1:8760,1)=act_dat(8:8767,6)/10000;
% end
delta=0.063;
gamma=-0.002;
t=[0:8759];
pref=5.2825;
T_25=273+25;
T_50=273+50;
T_90=273+90;
T_ref=273+25;
udss25=0.03601;
udss50=0.07552;
udss90=0.2097;
kdss25=0.3450;
kdss50=0.2154;
kdss90=0.07917;
quant1=1000;
quant2=1600;
T_inc1=(T_50-T_25)/quant1;
T_inc2=(T_90-T_50)/quant2;
kdss_inc1=(kdss50-kdss25)/quant1;
kdss_inc2=(kdss90-kdss50)/quant2;
udss_inc1=(udss50-udss25)/quant1;
udss_inc2=(udss90-udss50)/quant2;
for (i=1:2601)
  if i<1001
    T(i)=T_25+((i-1)*T_inc1);
    k(i)=kdss25+((i-1)*kdss_inc1);
    u(i)=udss25+((i-1)*udss_inc1);
  else
    T(i)=T_50+((i-1001)*T_inc2);
    k(i)=kdss50+((i-1001)*kdss_inc2);
    u(i)=udss50+((i-1001)*udss_inc2);
  end
end
a1=146.7;
b1=-0.0202;
k_fit=a1*exp(b1*T);
a2=2.147e-005;
b2=0.0255;
u_fit=a2*exp(b2*T);
figure
plot(T,k)
hold on
plot(T,k_fit,'k')
hold on
figure
plot(T,u)
hold on
plot(T,u_fit,'k')
hold on

% case-I: under 1 sun without annealing
pmax_50=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50));
pmax_90=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
tpDSS_90 = pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t));
tpDSS_50 = pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t));
tpDSS_25 = -(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t));
pDSS_90 = tpDSS_90.^
.pDSS_50 = tpDSS_50.^
pDSS_25 = tpDSS_25.^

% figure
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_25,[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_50,[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% plot(t,mat2gray(pDSS_90,[0 5.278]),'r')

% case-II: under normal sun without annealing
pmax_25_caseII = (pDSS_25).*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));
pmax_50_caseII = (pDSS_50).*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));
pmax_90_caseII = (pDSS_90).*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-III: annealing once per 2 days
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
if counter_caseIII_new(i)==1
    tpDSS_25_III(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
    j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseIII_new(i)==2
    tpDSS_25_III(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_III(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_25_III(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=19;
end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
% pmax_25_caseIII = (tpDSS_25_III').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1)))))

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
if counter_caseIII_new(i)==1
    tpDSS_50_III(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
end
j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseIII_new(i)==2
    tpDSS_50_III(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_III(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_50_III(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=11;
end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseIII=(tpDSS_50_III').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(1
og(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_III(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_III(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_III(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_III(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseIII=(tpDSS_90_III').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(1
og(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-IV: annealing once per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseIV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_IV(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_IV(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseIV=(tpDSS_25_IV').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(1
og(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-IV: annealing once per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseIV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_IV(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_IV(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_IV(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseIV=(tpDSS_25_IV').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log (1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseIV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_IV(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_IV(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_IV(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_IV(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_IV(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseIV=(tpDSS_50_IV').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log (1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseIV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_IV(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_IV(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_IV(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_IV(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_IV(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseIV=(tpDSS_90_IV').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-V: annealing twice a day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_V(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_V(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_V(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_V(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_V(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseV=(tpDSS_25_V').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_V(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_V(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_V(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_V(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_V(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseV=(tpDSS_50_V').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
if counter_caseV_new(i)==1
    tpDSS_90_V(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
    j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseV_new(i)==2
    tpDSS_90_V(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_V(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_90_V(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=6;
end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_V(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseV=(tpDSS_90_V').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-VI: annealing thrice a day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseVI_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_VI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVI_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_VI(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_VI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseVI=(tpDSS_25_VI').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseVI_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_VI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVI_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_VI(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_VI(i-1);
else
    tpDSS_50_VI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
    j=11;
end
end

figure
subplot(3,1,2)
plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
hold on
subplot(3,1,2)
plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_VI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
hold on
pmax_50_caseVI=(tpDSS_50_VI').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseVI_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_VI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-kdss90*pref*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVI_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_VI(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_VI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_VI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end
figure
subplot(3,1,3)
plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
hold on
subplot(3,1,3)
plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VI(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
hold on
pmax_90_caseVI=(tpDSS_90_VI').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-VII: annealing four times a day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseVII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-kdss25*pref*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseVII=(tpDSS_25_VII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseVII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_VII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50));
        j=11;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseVII=(tpDSS_50_VII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseVII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_VII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_VII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseVII=(tpDSS_90_VII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));
% case-VIII: annealing five times a day
j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
    if counter_caseVIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVIII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_VIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_25_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=19;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_VIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_25_caseVIII=(tpDSS_25_VIII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 50C
    if counter_caseVIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_50_VIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVIII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_50_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_VIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_50_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=11;
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_VIII(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseVIII=(tpDSS_50_VIII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseVIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)));
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j=j+1;
elseif counter_caseVIII_new(i)==2
 tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_VIII(i-1);
else
 tpDSS_90_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
 j=6;
end
end

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
 if counter_caseIX_new(i)==1
  tpDSS_25_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_25))-(kdss25*pref)*(1-exp((-udss25)*t(j))));
  j=j+1;
 elseif counter_caseIX_new(i)==2
  tpDSS_25_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_25_IX(i-1);
 else
  tpDSS_25_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
  j=19;
end
end

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 25C
 if counter_caseIX_new(i)==1
  tpDSS_50_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_50))-(kdss50*pref)*(1-exp((-udss50)*t(j))));
  j=j+1;
 elseif counter_caseIX_new(i)==2
  tpDSS_50_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_50_IX(i-1);
 else
  tpDSS_50_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
  j=11;
end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_50(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,2)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_50_IX(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_50_caseIX=(tpDSS_50_IX').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log (1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

j=1;
for i=1:8760 % operating temp 90C
    if counter_caseIX_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90))-(kdss90*pref)*(1-exp((-udss90)*t(j)))
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_90_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_90_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_90));
        j=6;
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_90(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,3)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_90_IX(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_90_caseIX=(tpDSS_90_IX').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log (1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% analysis
d=[0,0.5,1,2,3,4,5,6]; % no of annealing per day
area_1sun_25=area_1sun_25/1000000;
area_loc_25=area_loc_25/1000000;
% figure
% plot(d,area_1sun_25)
% hold on
figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
plot(d,area_loc_25,'k')
hold on
area_1sun_50=[trapz(pDSS_50),trapz(tpDSS_50_III),trapz(tpDSS_50_IV),trapz(tpDSS_50_V),trapz(tpDSS_50_VI),trapz(tpDSS_50_VII),trapz(tpDSS_50_VIII),trapz(tpDSS_50_IX)];
area_loc_50=[trapz(pmax_50_caseII),trapz(pmax_50_caseIII),trapz(pmax_50_caseIV),trapz(pmax_50_caseV),trapz(pmax_50_caseVI),trapz(pmax_50_caseVII),trapz(pmax_50_caseVIII),trapz(pmax_50_caseIX)];
area_1sun_50=area_1sun_50/1000000;
area_loc_50=area_loc_50/1000000;

figure
plot(d,area_1sun_50)
hold on
figure
subplot(3,1,1)
plot(d,area_loc_50,'g')
hold on

area_1sun_90=[trapz(pDSS_90),trapz(tpDSS_90_III),trapz(tpDSS_90_IV),trapz(tpDSS_90_V),trapz(tpDSS_90_VI),trapz(tpDSS_90_VII),trapz(tpDSS_90_VIII),trapz(tpDSS_90_IX)];
area_loc_90=[trapz(pmax_90_caseII),trapz(pmax_90_caseIII),trapz(pmax_90_caseIV),trapz(pmax_90_caseV),trapz(pmax_90_caseVI),trapz(pmax_90_caseVII),trapz(pmax_90_caseVIII),trapz(pmax_90_caseIX)];
area_1sun_90=area_1sun_90/1000000;
area_loc_90=area_loc_90/1000000;
figure
plot(d,area_1sun_90)
hold on
figure
subplot(3,1,1)
plot(d,area_loc_90,'r')
hold on

% Temperature Effect

T_loc=ANCHORAGE_INTL_ARPT_AL1(1:8760,3);  %702730
T_loc=CASA_GRANDE_MUNI_AZ1(1:8760,3);    %722748
T_loc=APALACHICOLA_MUNI_FL1(1:8760,3);   %722200
T_loc=ASPEN_PITKIN_CO1(1:8760,3);        %724676
if T_loc==ANCHORAGE_INTL_ARPT_AL1(1:8760,3);
counter_caselocX_new=ones(8760,1);
j=32;
for j=32:8640:length(counter_caselocX_new)
    counter_caselocX_new(j)=2;
    counter_caselocX_new(j+1)=0;
end
end

if T_loc==CASA_GRANDE_MUNI_AZ1(1:8760,3)
counter_caselocX_new=ones(8760,1);
j=32;
end
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for j=32:24:length(counter_caselocX_new)
    counter_caselocX_new(j)=2;
    counter_caselocX_new(j+1)=0;
end
end

if T_loc==APALACHICOLA_MUNI_F1(1:8760,3)
counter_caselocX_new=ones(8760,1);
j=32;
for j=32:72:length(counter_caselocX_new)
    counter_caselocX_new(j)=2;
    counter_caselocX_new(j+1)=0;
end
end

if T_loc==ASPEN_PITKIN_CO1(1:8760,3); 
counter_caselocX_new=ones(8760,1);
j=32;
for j=32:72:length(counter_caselocX_new)
    counter_caselocX_new(j)=2;
    counter_caselocX_new(j+1)=0;
end
end

if T_loc==APALACHICOLA_MUNI_FL1(1:8760,3) 
    counter_caselocX_new=ones(8760,1);
    j=32;
    for j=32:72:length(counter_caselocX_new)
        counter_caselocX_new(j)=2;
        counter_caselocX_new(j+1)=0;
    end
end

% T_loc1=T_loc.';
T_loc=T_loc+273;
% T_loc=T_loc+298;
% T_loc=T_loc+323;
% T_loc=T_loc+363;
for i=1:length(T_loc)
    if T_loc(i)<=323
        kdss_loc(i)=kdss25+(kdss_inc1/T_inc1)*(T_loc(i)-T_25);
        udss_loc(i)=udss25+(udss_inc1/T_inc1)*(T_loc(i)-T_25);
    else
        kdss_loc(i)=kdss50+(kdss_inc2/T_inc2)*(T_loc(i)-T_50);
        udss_loc(i)=udss50+(udss_inc2/T_inc2)*(T_loc(i)-T_50);
    end
    if udss_loc(i)<=0
        udss_loc(i)=0;
    end
    if kdss_loc(i)>=0.4642
        kdss_loc(i)=0.4642;
    end
    % if kdss_loc(i)>=0.345
    %     kdss_loc(i)=0.345;
    % end
end
for i=1:length(kdss_loc)
    tpDSS_loc(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-(kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(i)));
end
pDSS_loc=tpDSS_loc.';
% case II: under normal sun without annealing
pmax_loc_caseII=(pDSS_loc).*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-III: annealing once per 2 days
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_III(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_III(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_III(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_III(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseIII=(tpDSS_loc_III').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-IV: annealing once per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseIV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_IV(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_IV(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_IV(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_IV(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseIV=(tpDSS_loc_IV').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-V: annealing twice per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseV_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_V(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
            (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseV_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_V(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_V(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_V(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseV=(tpDSS_loc_V').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-VI: annealing thrice per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseVI_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_VI(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
            (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVI_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_VI(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_VI(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_VI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end
end
tpDSS_loc_VI(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i))); if T_loc(i)<=313
    j=19;
elseif (T_loc(i)>313) && (T_loc(i)<343)
    j=11;
else
    j=6;
end
end
end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseVI=(tpDSS_loc_VI').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

case-VII: annealing four times per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseVII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_VII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_VII(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_VII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_VII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313) && (T_loc(i)<343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end
end
end
end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseVII=(tpDSS_loc_VII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

case-VIII: annealing five times per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseVIII_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_VIII(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseVIII_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_VIII(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_VIII(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_VIII(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end

% case-IX: annealing six times per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseIX_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseVIII=(tpDSS_loc_VIII').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delt
a*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-IX: annealing six times per day
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caseIX_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-
        (kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caseIX_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_IX(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_IX(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end

% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseIX=(tpDSS_loc_IX').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% case-X: optimized annealing
j=1;
for i=1:8760
    if counter_caselocX_new(i)==1
        tpDSS_loc_X(i)=pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)))-(kdss_loc(i)*pref)*(1-exp((-udss_loc(i))*t(j)));
        j=j+1;
    elseif counter_caselocX_new(i)==2
        tpDSS_loc_X(i)=.83*tpDSS_loc_X(i-1);
    else
        tpDSS_loc_X(i)=.946*pref/(1+gamma*(T_ref-T_loc(i)));
        if T_loc(i)<=313
            j=19;
        elseif (T_loc(i)>313)&&(T_loc(i)<=343)
            j=11;
        else
            j=6;
        end
    end
end
% figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(pDSS_25(1:192,1),[0 5.278]),'g')
% hold on
% subplot(3,1,1)
% plot(t(1,1:192),mat2gray(tpDSS_25_III(1,1:192),[0 5.278]))
% hold on
pmax_loc_caseX=(tpDSS_loc_X').*((act_dat1(1:8760,1)).*(1./(1+delta*(log(1./act_dat1(1:8760,1))))));

% analysis
d=[0,0.5,1,2,3,4,5,6 7]; % no of annealing per day
area_1sun_ranloc=[trapz(pDSS_loc),trapz(tpDSS_loc_III),trapz(tpDSS_loc_IV),trapz(tpDSS_loc_V),trapz(tpDSS_loc_VI),trapz(tpDSS_loc_VII),trapz(tpDSS_loc_VIII),trapz(tpDSS_loc IX)];
area_ranloc=[trapz(pmax_loc_caseII),trapz(pmax_loc_caseIII),trapz(pmax_loc_caseIV),trapz(pmax_loc_caseV),trapz(pmax_loc_caseVI),trapz(pmax_loc_caseVII),trapz(pmax_loc_caseVIII),trapz(pmax_loc_caseIX),trapz(pmax_loc_caseX)];
area_1sun_ranloc=area_1sun_ranloc/1000000;
area_ranloc=area_ranloc/1000000;
% figure
% plot(d,area_1sun_25)
% hold on
figure
% subplot(3,1,1)
plot(d, area_ranloc, 'k')
hold on