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Abstract: The use of lasers to weld polymer sheets provides a means of highly-adaptive and custom additive manufacturing for a wide array of industrial, medical, and end user/consumer applications. This paper provides an open source design for a laser polymer welding system, which can be fabricated with low-cost fused filament fabrication and off-the-shelf mechanical and electrical parts. The system is controlled with free and open source software and firmware. The operation of the machine is validated and the performance of the system is quantified for the mechanical properties (peak load) and weld width of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) lap welds manufactured with the system as a function of linear energy density. The results provide incident laser power and machine parameters that enable both dual (two layers) and multilayer (three layers while welding only two sheets) polymer welded systems. The application of these parameter sets provides users of the open source laser polymer welder with the fundamental requirements to produce mechanically stable LLDPE multi-layer welded products, such as heat exchangers.
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1. Introduction

Focused laser radiation absorbed into a polymer interface produces an elevated temperature, which can be used for inter-layer bonding. A contact free manufacturing method, such as laser welding, provides increased flexibility and further application than its conventional joint bonding processes [1]. Advancement in the field of polymer welding has expanded applications to microfluid polymer packages [2], aseptic packaging [3], hermetic sealing of an electronic car key [4], microfluidic channels [5], and additively manufactured and complex microchannel heat exchangers [6,7].

Characterization of polymer welds and in-process monitoring techniques have been explored with acoustic, optical, thermal, ultrasonic, and emission techniques [8,9]. Thus, the application of polymer sheet material(s) for lap-joint laser welding applications is not uncommon. Ghorbel et al. characterized the thermal and mechanical behavior of some thermoplastic polymers [10]. They successfully welded polypropylene sheets by diode-laser transmission welding [11] and selected soundness variables for the diode laser welding of polypropylene thermoplastic polymers by experimental and numerical analysis [12]. Also, Torrisi et al. characterized the adhesion susceptibility of polyethylene sheet materials [13,14]. The work described indicates that efficient welding of polymer materials is the result...
of not only thermally induced melting effects, but also the development of ions near the laser-polymer interface. Subsequently, pulsed laser radiation allows for adequate polymer weld adhesion, through photo-chemical and ion implantation effects, while not elevating the polymer beyond its melting temperature. All work described suggests that the resultant weld seam quality correlates to diode laser process parameters (laser power (W) and cross-head speed (mm/s)) and the optical/absorption properties of the incident polymer [11]. Dowding et al. successfully demonstrated the production of viable adhesive bonds between LLDPE (linear low density polyethylene) on PP (polypropylene) at an appropriate laser line energy (J/m), similar to a linear energy density (Coulombs/mm). In this study, maximum peel force was used for quantification [15]. The response behavior of the material system is constant in regard to incident laser line energy. Specifically, the linear energy density delivered to the polymer system requires, at a minimum, a critical value to induce bonding.

This paper provides open source designs for a laser polymer welding system and then explores the mechanical properties and weld width appearance of LLDPE lap welds manufactured with the system. Specifically, apparent peak load (lbf) and linear energy density (coulombs/mm), corresponding to weld width (mm), are quantified. The designed, open-source, system is meant to provide a reliable manufacturing tool to be readily adapted to a multitude of polymer welding applications. Available source code and the provided component build files allow a multitude of users the ability to utilize the technology as they see appropriate.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Laser Welder

An open-source computer numeric control (CNC) laser welder [16] was modified for this experiment. The apparatus is a gantry device with NEMA17 motors driving 20 tooth GT2 pulleys, one set for the x-axis and one for y. The frame is constructed with 20-20 extruded aluminum with accommodating fittings and fixtures. Utilized bearings and guide rods are readily available standard equipment for purchase.

Printed members (Table 1) were redesigned in OpenSCAD [17], an open source parametric scripting computer aided design (CAD) program, and printed on a standard RepRap [18–21] using polylactic acid (PLA). Parts were designed so as to maximize rigidity while minimizing plastic consumption to minimize printing time, embodied energy, environmental impact, and economic cost. All SCAD files are available for free [22] under the GNU GPLv3 [23] along with operational instructions [24].

Boxed idler ends were designed to maximize rigidity and to assure proper belt tracking under tension. 624-ZZ roller bearings on 4 mm shafts were used as idlers. Belt tension was applied and maintained through the use of large nylon wire ties stretched between belt terminators previously designed for the MOST delta RepRap [25].

The x-carriage can adjust the position of the laser in the z-direction to assist in focusing. A pair of printed thumbscrews clamp the position of a threaded rod upon which they ride to the x-carriage. The laser mount is fixed to one end of the threaded rod and additionally constrained in the x and y-directions by a 6 mm smooth rod that is press-fit into the mount and passes through the x-carriage. The assembled x-carriage and z-adjustment system are shown in Figure 1.

Mechanical snap-action switches to eliminate the need for a 5 V power supply and to simplify the design. A Melzi controller [26] was mounted to the frame with three dimensional (3-D) printed components and this is driven by a Raspberry Pi [27] with custom Franklin firmware [28,29], Arroyo Instruments 4320 20 A LaserSource, and 5305 5A/12V TECSource. Gcode, for the laser profile scans, was user-generated and imported into Franklin. As designed, the 4320 20 A LaserSource and X/Y laser-head movement is controlled by commands the user prescribed, while the 5305 TECSource is a standalone unit. The 4320 20 A LaserSource provides the incident laser source while the 5305 TECSource is the cooling system for the laser apparatus.
Table 1. Three-dimensional (3-D) printed parts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Name/Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Rendered Image</th>
<th>Part Name/Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Rendered Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controller standoff for attaching controller to frame</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Laser carriage for mounting laser to holder apparatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit switch mount for mechanical switches to appropriate guide rods</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>M8 thumbscrew for adjusting z-position of laser carriage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-carriage cable mount for attaching a cable to the x-carriage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>X-carriage for connecting x-axis linear bearings to x-axis drive belt, laser carriage, and cable carrier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-clamp for securing x-axis guide rods to y-bearings and for holding x-axis idler</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>X fixed cable carrier mount for attaching cable carrier to y-bearing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-idler cap for boxing x-axis idler bearing and shaft</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>X-motor mount for mounting x-motor to y-bearing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-motor saddle cable carrier mount for mounting a cable carrier for the y-axis and for added rigidity of the x-motor mount</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Y cable mount for mounting fixed end of y-axis cable carrier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-carriage for connecting y-bearing to y-drive belt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Y-idler for holding y-axis idler bearing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-motor mount for attaching y-motor to frame</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Fixed belt terminator for attaching drive belt to x and y-carriages and tensioning of open ended belting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free belt terminator for tensioning of open ended belting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cable carriers are used to support the laser fiber and were mounted such that the fiber is nearly continuously protected. The laser source is positioned under the frame. The entire apparatus (Figure 2) is placed in a shielded aluminum box for the safety of operators.

2.2. Materials

Liner low-density polyethylene (LLDPE), which is typically utilized as an underground encasement of ductile iron pipes per ANSI/AWWA C105/A21.5, is analyzed. Large industrial LLDPE rolls are readily available [30]. Material was obtained in a continuous length measuring
16 in (406.4 mm) ±0.5 in (12.7 mm) in width and manufactured to a minimum thickness of 0.008 in (0.203 mm). The supplier’s technical data sheets indicate a density of 0.910 to 0.935 g/cm$^3$ and a carbon black additive of no less than 2% [31].

Figure 1. Closeup of the x-carriage z-axis adjustment assembly. Cable carriers are used to support the laser fiber and were mounted such that the fiber is nearly continuously protected. The laser source is positioned under the frame. The entire apparatus (Figure 2) is placed in a shielded aluminum box for the safety of operators.

Figure 2. Completed open-source laser welder apparatus.

2.3. Fabrication

The LLDPE sheeting was sectioned into dimensions $2.25 \times 4.5$ in ($57.15 \times 114.3$ mm) ±0.5 in (12.7 mm). The specified dimensions allow for sufficient bonding area to be analyzed while fitting into the tensile testing grips used for analysis. Prior to all welding operations, foreign particulate (e.g., dust
and debris) was removed from the surface with a wet cloth then allowed to dry. Contaminates, as described, may depreciate the validity of the analysis.

A single sample component is comprised of two to three layers of LLDPE, to dimensions specified prior, depending upon testing conditions. Three individual samples are placed inside the polymer welder at a time, thus providing three samples per testing condition. Multiple testing conditions were analyzed beyond variable layer count. Incident current (I) and cross-head speed were intentionally varied throughout the analysis. Specifically, the incident current was incremented 0.5 A per analysis within the range of 5 A–20 A, and all collected data was done in two scenarios: one using a 10 mm/s cross-head speed, and the other using a 20 mm/s cross-head speed. Laser scan patterns proceeded linearly across the sample component, parallel to the rolled direction, near mid length ~2.25 in (57.15 mm). Table 2 describes the test parameters in further detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>I0 (ACC)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I0 Limit</td>
<td>5.5–20</td>
<td>Amps (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0 Limit</td>
<td>20,400</td>
<td>Microamps (μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1 Limit</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Volts (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable R</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Ohms (Ω)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance I0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Milliamps (mA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Delay</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Milliseconds (ms)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Variable in experimentation.

Low-iron glass plates, 0.6 cm thick, were utilized to ensure sample stability and flatness during the welding operation. The experimental setup involved layering three samples adjacent to one another, along their 4.5 mm length, followed by another secondary low-iron glass plate placed on top. Second, the laser head, modifiable with a set screw, was placed adjacent to the top glass surface. Figure 3 describes the set-up involved during all experimentation.

![Figure 3. Sample dimensions and two/three layer experimental set up. Linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE), linear low density polyethylene.](image-url)
2.4. Characterization

2.4.1. Peak Load Determination

Procured materials for this analysis are assumed to not be anisotropic. Specifically, all tensile tests performed induce force normal to the rolled direction of the manufactured LLDPE and/or normal to the weld line. Baseline analysis of “virgin” LLDPE samples (e.g., no weld line specimens) can be directly compared to their welded counterparts. An Instron 4206 tensile tester with testing procedures modeled after ASTM D2990-01 and D638-02a allowed for determination of peak load (lbf) for all sample conditions [32,33]. Specimens comprised of two and three layers were subjected to this analysis. All two layered components exhibiting adequate layer-to-layer adhesion were deemed adequate. If visual analysis post-welding determined any delamination and/or lack of weld cross section, the sample was omitted from the analysis. Similar inspection criteria were employed on the three layer samples. Ideally, the bottom layer (third layer—Figure 3) will not bond to the near-adjacent first and second layers, which enables complex 3-D geometries to be fabricated with this system (e.g., heat exchangers). Thus, the near-adjacent layers can be welded independently of the previous bottom layers of LLDPE. Fabrication methods, as described prior, are aimed to ensure this. Thus, tensile testing on three layered specimens was performed pending the observation that the first and second layers are adequately bonded while the third has not.

2.4.2. Weld Width (mm) and Resultant Energy Density (Coulombs/mm)

The application of imaging software ImageJ 1.49 [34] allowed for the quantitative analysis of each respective weld width. Images selected for analysis were captured utilizing a standard digital camera. The image frame (i.e., contained in the image(s)) were a representative top-down view of each weld line. Each image frame contained a ruler with 0.5 and 1.0 mm resolution/gradations. The ruler provided the ability to utilize ImageJ 1.49 to properly scale the captured images. This is accomplished by the software measurement correlation to the “real” measurements using a “pixels/in” determination. An average of three distinct line profile length measurements ensured statistical confidence in operator measurement(s). Figure 4 displays a representative weld width photograph used for width determination.

![Figure 4. Representative weld width analysis photograph.](image-url)

Correlating laser cross-head speed to incident laser current derives an expression for linear energy density (Coulombs (A·s)/mm). Thus, linear energy density, weld width, and peak load can be characterized.
3. Results

3.1. Weld Width at Various Linear Energy Densities

Linear regression analysis of measured weld width vs. linear energy density data show that weld width increases with increased linear energy density. Figures 5–8 describe the correlation. Directly comparing the regression analysis of Figures 5 and 7 (two layered systems) shows that the slopes are near equivalent and greater than one. Conversely, Figures 6 and 8 (three layered systems) also display a similar slope, although at a different magnitude of ~0.5. Weld width data was recorded for linear welds with, at a minimum, incident laser appearance. Specifically, solid linear welds to observable faint heat lines were recorded. Significant data spread, in reference to the trend line, is apparent in Figure 5 at a range of 0.5–1.3 (Coulombs/mm). At relatively low linear energy densities the resultant weld width is a gradient (e.g., a thin linear indication that gradually fades at distances normal to the weld direction). Conversely, relatively high linear energy density welds develop weld seams with a visible finite width. Thus, upon measurement with ImageJ, the identification of the apparent weld is subjective as some zone within the gradient is selected as the edge. The deviation in operator measurement, which is identified as the edge of the weld, causes the spread shown in the Figure 5 data set.

![Figure 5. Weld width as a function of linear energy density for 10 mm/s on two layers of LLDPE.](image)

\[ y = 0.3687x + 0.8741 \]

![Figure 6. Weld width as a function of linear energy density for 10 mm/s on three layers of LLDPE.](image)

\[ y = 0.5135x + 0.7686 \]
Figure 7. Weld width as a function of linear energy density for 20 mm/s on two layers of LLDPE.

![Graph showing linear relationship between weld width and linear energy density](image1)

\[ y = 1.4475x + 0.0557 \]

Figure 8. Weld width as a function of linear energy density for 20 mm/s on three layers of LLDPE.

![Graph showing linear relationship between weld width and linear energy density](image2)

\[ y = 1.2104x + 0.2791 \]

Typical weld cross sections are as shown in Figures 9 and 10. Figure 9 demonstrates a quality weld, while Figure 10 demonstrates a delaminated failed weld.

Figure 9. Representative photograph of a quality two layer LLDPE polymer laser weld. Similar surface topology, as shown, is apparent in three layer LLDPE weld systems.

![Representative photograph of a quality two layer LLDPE polymer laser weld](image3)
Figure 10. Representative photograph of a degraded two layer LLDPE polymer laser weld. Similar line width decrease is apparent in three layer LLDPE weld systems.

3.2. Polymer Weld Adhesion of Two and Three Layered LLDPE Systems Available

Adhesion susceptibility due to an increase in linear energy density was analyzed qualitatively. Post welding operations/attempts, operators would analyze generated welds and exert a small pull force (by hand) in attempts to shear the weld zone. Welds requiring minimal effort (e.g., tackiness) were deemed unacceptable for further analysis. Welds exhibiting greater adhesion (i.e., greater than minimal force) were subjected to further mechanical testing. Laser welds requiring further mechanical testing and those sheared are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The linear line indication in Figures 11 and 12 represent solid weld regions. A proper weld contains a solid line (Figure 11). Conversely, a poor weld (Figure 12) will have dashed indications displaying improper adhesion. It is to be concluded that the ideal weld appearance will be a solid uninterrupted line.

Figure 11. Laser weld subjected to further mechanical testing. Linear indications signify proper adhesion at the weld interface of the LLDPE sheeting.

Figure 12. Laser weld not subjected to further mechanical testing. Broken/dashed linear indication represented a degraded weld seam between the LLDPE sheeting.
Figure 13 describes each testing scenario and their respective shear point(s) (e.g., where mechanical testing is not required for quantification).

![Graph showing shear and bond zone comparisons](image)

**Figure 13.** Shear and Bond Zone comparisons of 10 and 20 mm/s cross-head speeds at variable incident laser current (A).

In application of three layered based systems a delaminated (i.e., un-bonded) third layer is ideal. Specifically, the information described in Figure 13 indicates that multilayered systems are applicable to this technology. By proper control of the linear energy density (vector speed x incident current (i.e., laser power)) the overall depth of penetration can be controlled. Thus, providing an adequate system to develop multichannel and multi-layered laser welded LLDPE polymer systems. Specifically, in the developed system for three layered manufacturing processes at 10 and 20 mm/s are to be set at 8.5 and 10.5 A, respectively. At these specified zones, the laser system has successfully welded two layers of the three layered systems. Amperage settings greater than those recommended will yield completely welded three layered components. Conversely, amperages settings below the recommendations may fail to allow the top two layers to bond.

### 3.3. Mechanical Testing—Peak Load (lbf)

Mechanical testing was performed on all sample components abiding similar criteria, to the energy density determination, were met. Typically, recorded mechanical data is resultant of an average of three different peak load determinations. Specifically, all mechanically tested samples resemble those described in Figure 11. Raw (i.e., non-welded LLDPE) samples set the baseline for the analysis. Maximum sustained peak loads for each experimental condition (10 mm/s—two layers, 10 mm/s—three layers, 20 mm/s—two layers, and 20 mm/s—three layers) are displayed in Table 3. Representative values shown indicate maximum peak load at the experimental setting just after the shear zone (no-bond region). Thus, any incident current greater than the critical shear zone limit amperage will provide, at a minimum, this corresponding peak load. Furthermore, for comparative purposes, typical load-extension curves are displayed in Figure 14. Samples were subjected to a cross-head displacement rate of 1 in/min with the maximum allowable extension set at 1 in. The test was completed if a break/rupture was measured and/or the maximum cross-head displacement was reached.
Figure 14. Typical load-elongation curves for sample conditions described in Table 3. Samples were subjected to a cross-head displacement of 1 in/min.

Table 3. Maximum sustained peak load above shear point of LLDPE weld(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Material</th>
<th>Condition/Speed (mm/s)</th>
<th>LLDPE Layers</th>
<th>Peak Load (±σ) (lbf)</th>
<th>Incident Current Setting (A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE RAW</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE 10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.6 (3.8)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE 10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.5 (3.4)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE 20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE 20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The proposed welding system was shown to adhere multi-layered systems. Sustained peak load measurements of the resultant weld width(s) are equivalent to a virgin/raw LLDPE sample sheet. The experimental trials have identified shear zones of the particular weld systems (e.g., 10 and 20 mm/s cross-head speeds coupled with variable incident beam current). Quantification of the rigidity of two layered LLDPE systems, specifically the shear zone, allows for confirmation of a quality lap weld seam. Furthermore, shear zone identification in three layered systems determines the appropriate linear energy density for a given multi-layered system.

Mechanical property results describe a system in which a welded interface will perform similarly to that of its not welded raw/virgin counterpart. Comparison of the representative data in Table 3 shows, at a maximum, the overall degradation in sustain peak load (lbf) is 26.32% (10 mm/s and two layers of LLDPE). Collected mechanical data (peak load (lbf)) is representative of a weld just beyond the potential shear zone. These data points described are theoretical operating minimums of the polymer welding system. Thus, an adequate safety factor is to be applied to further manufacturing operations to ensure, at a minimum, the peak load of the theoretical minimum is achieved. For example, in a three layer 20 mm/s condition the incident current setting should be 10%–20% larger than the recommended minimums of 9.5 A. The clustering of the mechanical property results suggest that a weld interface does not significantly impact the mechanical performance of the polymer in this test scenario. Various energy densities have been shown to produce quality welds. Refer to Table 3, a LLDPE polymer weld at 10 mm/s with 8.5 A current (0.425 Coulombs/mm) produces a peak sustained load of 25.3 lbf. Comparatively, a LLDPE polymer weld at 20 mm/s with 10.5 A current (0.525 Coulombs/mm) produced a peak load of 25.4 lbf. Therefore, a linear energy variance of 21.95% produces a LLDPE weld seam where the average mechanical property variance is relatively small at 0.39%.

Larger scaled application(s) are possible with large X-Y build platforms. Increased productivity (i.e., speed of manufacturing) is achievable by implementation of multiple laser head systems.
Situations and models described in these experiments utilize a single laser source head, whereas multiple systems would allow for a similar part (laser paths) to be replicated during the same manufacturing cycle. Similarly, increased laser power allows for increased manufacturing speed [35]. High power laser systems have been shown to be valuable in the current scope in laser welding applications [4]. Thus, quick-high power systems are achievable. In addition, large-scale mass manufacturing is possible with this process using roll-to-roll technology [7].

Furthermore, numerous direct applications are available for implementation of the proposed system. For example, the system can be used for additive manufacturing of vehicle heat recovery ventilators for the automotive industry [36], industrial heat exchangers [6,37], heat exchangers for solar water pasteurization [38], hermetic thermoplastic medical device encapsulation [39], bio-microfluidic channels in transparent polymer materials [40], and consumer goods packaging [41]. The polymer laser welder described is ideal for rapid prototyping. For example, the new floating photovoltaics (FPV) can be combined with aquaponics to makeaquavoltaics (AV), which use thin film flexible substrate based solar photovoltaic (PV) modules to float on water, yet designs have largely been untested [42,43]. The low mass allows a significantly diminished supporting structure and the flexible nature of the system allows for designed yield to oncoming waves while maintaining electrical performance [44]. This enables FV to take advantage of the superior net energy production of thin film PV materials like amorphous silicon [45,46]. To maintain the flexibility and long term structural integrity of the module, thin-films should be encapsulated by a polymer with high transparency, low rigidity, and be waterproof [42], and during the encapsulation process air pockets or voids can be purposefully introduced to increase buoyancy without increasing mass [44]. The system described in this article can be used to test various thin-film FPV designs by prototyping them at minimal costs.

5. Conclusions

Modification of a standard RepRap system has allowed for the development of a novel laser welding system and weld protocol. Previously custom developed Franklin firmware has provided an intuitive graphical user interface in which to control the welding system. Mechanical property analysis and weld width characterization of representative LLDPE polymer welds have shown applicability to multiple industrial, medical, and end user/consumer systems. Results have shown success in both dual (two layer) and multilayer (three layer) systems. Proper incident laser power and machine parameters (i.e., linear energy density) have been determined. Application of these parameter sets will provide user(s) with the fundamental LLDPE requirements to produce adequate mechanical polymer welds. Incident laser current (A) has been shown to display a positive linear relationship with relative weld width data. Thus, weld width increases as incident laser current increases. However, increased laser current did not show any increase and/or degradation to the LLDPE weld mechanical properties.
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