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CHAPTER 6-1
ONYCHOPHORA

Figure 1. *Euperipatus rowelli*, a velvet worm that is being cultured on *Sphagnum*. Photo by Andras Keszei, with permission.

Phylum Onychophora (Velvet Worms)

*Onyches* is the Greek word for claws and *pherein* means to carry, *i.e.*, claw bearers. The phylum Onychophora (Figure 1) derives its name from the pair of retractable, chitinous claws on each foot (Figure 2-Figure 3). It is interesting that the mandibles (jaws), deep within the throat, resemble these claws. This is a phylum of wormlike creatures, 0.5-20 cm long, closely related to the arthropods and tardigrades, classified into a super group known as the Panarthropoda (Wikipedia 2010). These functionally segmented animals have antennae (Figure 4), tiny eyes (Figure 4), many paired legs (Figure 2; Figure 6), and slime glands, but they lack the chitinous exoskeleton of the arthropods. Because of their legs, they more closely resemble caterpillars, but the fleshy antennae distinctly set them apart. And they are not jointed in the same way as arthropods. Their slow movements are not unlike those of caterpillars.

Figure 2. *Euperipatus rowelli*, revealing the feet with chitinous claws. Note the two bluish slime glands beneath the antennae and the barely visible mouth in the center of the head. Photo by Andras Keszei, with permission.
The phylum is rare. This is in part due to the restricted ranges of the species and their very narrow and restricted habitat needs. But part is due to industrialization and the loss of suitable habitat. Only eleven species have been studied in detail, and three of those are critically endangered or in one case possibly extinct (Wikipedia 2010).

They are circumtropical, but are most common in the Southern Hemisphere tropics, where they prey on small insects. Because of their sensitivity to drying out, they are most common in humid forests such as the tropical rainforests, where mosses seem to be an important part of their habitat (Figure 5) (Onychophora 2005). On the other hand, Brues (1948) reported that Dr. P. J. Darlington collected a specimen of *Paraperipatus* in the Bismarck range of New Guinea in moss at 10,000 ft. (3048 m), which is above timberline. *Epiperipatus biolleyi* (Figure 6) is often associated with mosses, especially in the early stages of succession (Brinck 1956; Ruberg 1985; Mayer 2006). Mayer and Harzsch (2007) collected this species from mosses in Costa Rica for their study of the nervous system. The onychophorans use the moss as cover to protect them from the radiation.

**Feeding Habits**

These are slow walkers (1 cm s\(^{-1}\)) (Monge-Nájera *et al.* 1993) and thus cannot realistically use aggression for protection or capture. They sense their prey by air movements caused by the movement of the prey; they are nearly blind. To catch their prey, they squirt a sticky slime, generally about 1 cm, but up to 30 cm from the onychophoran (Read & Hughes 1987; Wikipedia 2010; BBC 2010). The glue dries very quickly and immobilizes the prey. For larger prey, they may target the limbs, immobilizing them with the glue-like slime. This slime comprises up to 11% of the dry weight of the velvet worm and is 90% water. Its constituents include mostly collagen proteins. It also includes sugars, lipids, and nonylphenol, a surfactant known only in the Onychophora. This makes a super glue, and the nature of the lipid and nonylphenol suggests that these two substances may be used to prevent the organism from being glued by its own secretions by stopping or slowing the drying process. Haritos *et al.* (2010) examined the mechanism in *Euparipatoideal rowelli* (Figure 4) and proposed that when the slime is expelled from the gland, evaporative water loss triggers a "glass transition change in the protein solution, resulting in adhesive and enmeshing thread formation, assisted by cross-linking of the complementary charged and hydrophobic regions of the protein." That is, this species, and probably other onychophorans, uses disordered proteins rather than the structured silk-like proteins used by some other kinds of invertebrate predators. The large percentage of body mass accounted for by this substance is
somewhat balanced by the organism's behavior of eating and reusing the dried slime of its prey (Read & Hughes 1987; Wikipedia 2010; BBC 2010). It requires 24 days to replenish the supply provided by the repository (Read & Hughes 1987). This glue also seems to be their only form of defense.

**Moisture and Light Relations**

The Onychophora have a covering of α chitin that seems to do little to prevent desiccation, forcing them to live in areas with high humidity – mosses and leaf litter of rainforests (Wikipedia 2010). Their sensitivity to desiccation is counteracted by having their activity primarily at night or in rainy weather (Monge-Nájera et al. 1993). And at least some also prefer soil that has been covered by moss, most likely because that soil has a higher moisture content. When suddenly exposed to light and its drying effects, they may roll into a ball like some isopods, forming a position that conserves moisture (Figure 7).

It appears that desiccation is not the only problem for exposed onychophorans. *Epiperipatus biolleyi* (Figure 6) is phototactic and hides from direct sunlight, avoiding light in the range of 470-600 nm (Monge-Nájera et al. 1993).

Monge-Nájera et al. (1993) reported that the field preference of the onychophoran *Epiperipatus biolleyi* (Figure 6) was either the moss-substrate interface or in burrows in the soil. Using a series of experiments, Monge-Nájera et al. demonstrated the preference of the *E. biolleyi* for bryophytes over grass (Figure 10). The researchers covered half a Petri dish with the thallose liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* (Figure 8) and half with blades of grass. When subjected to light, nearly 80% of the animals curled up under the bryophytes within a mean time of 189 seconds (n=9). The ones on soil continued searching by inserting their antennae and head among soil particles, but they never came to rest or made any burrow (Figure 10). The researchers suggested that in nature these animals may be limited to areas where there are suitable burrows or cover such as bryophytes that provide cover similar to that in a burrow. It appears that *Macroperipatus torquatus* (Figure 9) has a similar restriction (Read 1985) to such habitats.

![Figure 8. *Marchantia polymorpha.* James K. Lindsey, with permission.](image)

![Figure 9. *Macroperipatus torquatus,* shown here crossing young bryophytes, used the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* as cover from light in the lab. Photo by Mr. Spanky, through Creative Commons.](image)

Moisture, as well as light, were most likely driving forces in these experiments. Monge-Nájera et al. (1993) measured the humidity in the two vegetation choices (Figure 10) by drying them to a constant weight. Moisture was significantly higher in the bryophyte portions (bryophytes: 84.2±3.4, 76.5-87.9%; grass: 71.1±4.4, 66.8-80.0%) using Mann-Whitney U with p<0.001 and 10 replicates.

![Figure 10. Comparison of percentage of *Epiperipatus biolleyi* in bryophyte cover vs grass blade cover (left) and on soil from under bryophytes vs soil from under grass (right) in 8 cm diameter Petri plates. These onychophorans were 5 cm long, so some straddled the two areas, having no clear choice. Modified from Monge-Nájera et al. 1993.](image)
The onychophorans most likely also use the mosses as cover to avoid predators, which include the Clay-colored Robin (Turdus grayi; Figure 11) and Hemprich's Coral Snake (Micrurus hemprichii; Figure 12) (Monge-Nájera et al. 1993).

**Figure 11.** Clay-colored Robin, *Turdus grayi*, a predator on onychophorans. Photo by Amado Demesa, through Creative Commons.

**Figure 12.** Yellow-banded Coral Snake, *Micrurus hemprichii*, a predator on onychophorans. Photo by Rich Hoyer, through Creative Commons.

### Mating and Reproduction

Finding suitable habitat or finding a mate requires considerable time for such slow-moving creatures and puts them at risk of desiccation. Thus, an efficient system for locating their habitat increases their chances for survival. Elliott et al. (1993) demonstrated for the first time in onychophorans, using Cephalofovea tomahmontis, that the crural glands at the bases of the legs in males produce a pheromone that attracts females of the same species. It appears that pheromone-producing glands not only help in locating males, but may also prevent desiccation in females seeking new locations.

*Peat moss* is a typical substrate for culturing *Euperipatus rowelli* (Figure 13) (Barclay et al. 2000; Reinhard & Rowell 2005; Haritos et al. 2010; Andras Keszei, pers. comm. 7 March 2013). Keszei finds that *Sphagnum* (Figure 15) is the only suitable substrate he has found for culturing these onychophorans because it prevents mold while keeping them moist. This is perhaps due to the antibiotic properties of *Sphagnum*. He says that this species does not normally live among the *Sphagnum* [they live in decaying logs, including *Eucalyptus* (Figure 14)], but that he has collected them from less than 100 m away and considers *Sphagnum* as a possible hunting ground for them.

**Figure 13.** *Euperipatus rowelli* being cultured on peatmoss, a medium that helps to limit fungal infection. Photo by Andras Keszei, with permission.

**Figure 14.** *Euperipatus rowelli* on decaying wood in NSW where they live in habitats ranging from rainforest to dry sclerophyll forests in decomposing logs. Photo by Andras Keszei, with permission.
Eggs of at least some species are laid under mosses (Mayer & Tait 2009). On Mt. Macedon, Victoria, Australia, Mayer and Tait found Ooperipatellus insignis (see Figure 16) in leaf litter and under moss.

Barclay et al. (2000) demonstrated that in Euperipatoides rowelli (Figure 13-Figure 19), males are the first dispersers. They secrete a pheromone that attracts both males and females of the same species. Thus, when these males disperse to decomposing logs, the females are able to locate this suitable habitat with a much lower water loss and expenditure of energy. It would be interesting to know if such a pheromone signal is equally used and effective among those onychophorans dwelling among bryophytes.
Like most of the onychophorans, *Euperipatoides rowelli* (Figure 1-Figure 2, Figure 7) is secretive. However, Reinhard and Rowell (2005) suggest that their behavior is nevertheless complex. They form aggregations as large as 15, comprised of females, males, and young. The female is dominant, and despite collective hunting, the dominant female eats first – alone. Behavior of aggressive dominant and passive-subordinate establishes a hierarchy. This structured group will defend its log aggressively against any invasion by onychophorans from another location.

Monge-Nájera and Alfaro (1995) hypothesized that onychophorans might find mosses because of some odor contributed by the mosses. However, when they provided them with filter paper with water on one end and macerated moss on the other end, the onychophorans showed no preference. Furthermore, while the preference of this species for moist habitats was greater than for dry habitats in Costa Rica, more specific preferences were not clear. Habitats included sandy soil, under moss, in and under logs, under stones, and in soil of crevices.

Reproduction is sexual in all but *Epiperipatus imthurmi*, a species lacking males and using parthenogenesis (reproduction from an unfertilized egg) instead (Wikipedia 2010). Interestingly, the females are typically fertilized only once during their lives. If they are fertilized before the egg cells are mature, the sperm will be stored in a special reservoir. Sperm are released from their packets when amoebocytes from the female's blood collect inside the deposition site and decompose the packets. The young may be born live or laid as eggs, depending on the species.

Birds and rodents prey on the velvet worms. Their foraging activities may account for some of the disturbances to the mossy habitat.

*Peripatoides novaezelandiae* (Figure 21-Figure 23) is the most common peripatus species in New Zealand (Ryan 2012). I have not been able to verify that it lives among mosses, but it is commonly cultured on *Sphagnum* (Figure 15). However, a discussion on YouTube indicated that one of the posters photographed this species on *Sphagnum*, and he stated that he photographed it in a culture that used the moss where it had been found.
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Figure 23. *Peripatoides novaezelandiae* on liverwort. These are likely traversed in search of food and soil beneath them is often sought to avoid dehydration. Photo by Paddy Ryan, with permission.

**Summary**

Among the bryophyte inhabitants are members of the relatively rare phylum Onychophora. Little is known about their behavior, but it appears that bryophytes are important in maintaining moisture in the soil beneath them where onychophorans may live. It is likely that we will discover that many more species make use of the bryophytes in some capacity.

*Sphagnum* is an important culture medium for onychophorans because they do not develop fungal infections when it is used.
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