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On a multidimensional spherically invariant extension of the Rademacher–Gaussian comparison
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Abstract

It is shown that

\[ P(\|a_1 U_1 + \cdots + a_n U_n\| > u) \leq c P(\|Z_d\| > u) \]

for all real \( u \), where \( U_1, \ldots, U_n \) are independent random vectors uniformly distributed on the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are any real numbers, \( a := \sqrt{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2)/d} \).

\( Z_d \) is a standard normal random vector in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( c = 2e^3/9 = 4.46 \ldots \). This constant factor is about 89 times as small as the one in a recent result by Nayar and Tkocz, who proved, by a different method, a corresponding conjecture by Oleszkiewicz. As an immediate application, a corresponding upper bound on the tail probabilities for the norm of the sum of arbitrary independent spherically invariant random vectors is given.
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In this note, we shall present an upper bound on the tail probability for the Euclidean norm of the weighted sum of independent random vectors distributed uniformly on the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) that is about 89 times as small as the corresponding bound recently obtained in [8].

To provide a relevant context, let us begin by introducing the class \( C_{\text{conv}}^2 \) of all even twice differentiable functions \( h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) whose second derivative \( h'' \) is convex. Let \( \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \) be independent Rademacher random variables (r.v.’s), and let \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) be any independent symmetric r.v.’s with \( \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2 = 1 \) for all \( i \).

Take any natural \( d \). For any vectors \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), let, as usual, \( x \cdot y \) denote the standard inner product of \( x \) and \( y \), and then let \( \|x\| := \sqrt{x \cdot x} \).

Theorem 2.3 in [9] states that \( \mathbb{E} h(\sqrt{\varepsilon A T}) \leq \mathbb{E} h(\sqrt{\xi A T}) \) for any \( h \in C_{\text{conv}}^2 \) and any nonnegative definite \( n \times n \) matrix \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), where \( \varepsilon := [\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n] \) and \( \xi := [\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] \).

This can be restated as the following generalized moment comparison:

\[ \mathbb{E} h(\|\varepsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n x_n\|) \leq \mathbb{E} h(\|\xi_1 x_1 + \cdots + \xi_n x_n\|) \quad (1) \]

for any \( h \in C_{\text{conv}}^2 \) and any (nonrandom) vectors \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \); indeed, any nonnegative definite matrix \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) is the Gram matrix of some vectors \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) for some natural \( d \), and then \( \|\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n\| = \sqrt{\alpha \alpha^T} \) for any \( \alpha := [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n} \).

From the comparison (1) of generalized moments of the r.v.’s \( \|\varepsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n x_n\| \) and
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\[ \|\xi_1 x_1 + \cdots + \xi_n x_n\|, \] a tail comparison was extracted ([9, Theorem 2.4]), an equivalent form of which is the inequality

\[ P(\|\xi_1 x_1 + \cdots + \xi_n x_n\| > u) < c P(\|Z_r\| > u) \quad (2) \]

for all real \( u \), where \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) are any (nonrandom) vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) whose Gram matrix is an orthoprojector of rank \( r \), \( Z_r \) is a standard normal random vector in \( \mathbb{R}^r \), and

\[ c = c_3 := 2e^3/9 = 4.46 \ldots \quad (3) \]

A special case of (2) is the inequality

\[ P(\|\xi_1 a_1 + \cdots + \xi_n a_n\| > u) \leq c P(|Z_1| > u) \quad (4) \]

for all real \( u \), where \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are any real numbers such that

\[ a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 = 1. \]

The quoted results generalize and refine results of [4, 5]. In turn, they were further developed in [10, 11].

A simple inductive argument, which was direct rather than based on a generalized moment comparison, was offered in [3], where (4) was proved with \( c \approx 12.01 \). Based in part on that inductive argument in [3], the constant \( c \) in (4) was improved to \( \approx 1.01 c_3 \) in [13] and then to \( c_5 \) in [2], where \( c_5 := P(|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \geq 2)/P(|Z_1| \geq \sqrt{2}) = 3.17 \ldots \), so that \( c_5 \) is the best possible value of \( c \) in (4).

In [1], another kind of multidimensional generalized moment comparison was obtained. A continuous function \( f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) is called bisubharmonic if the (Sobolev–Schwartz) distribution \( \Delta^2 f \) is a nonnegative Radon measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( \Delta \) is the Laplace operator on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). By [1, Theorem 3], for any continuous function \( f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) one has

\[ f \text{ is bisubharmonic if and only if } E f(y + U \sqrt{t}) \text{ is convex in } t \in (0, \infty) \text{ for each } y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \]

where \( U \) is a random vector uniformly distributed on the unit sphere \( S^{d-1} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Let \( U_1, \ldots, U_n \) be independent copies of \( U \). Theorem 1 in [1] states that

\[ E f(a_1 U_1 + \cdots + a_n U_n) \leq E f(b_1 U_1 + \cdots + b_n U_n), \quad (6) \]

where \( f \) is a bisubharmonic function and \( a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \) are real numbers such that the n-tuple \( (b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2) \) is majorized by \( (a_1^2, \ldots, a_n^2) \) in the sense of the Schur majorization (see e.g. [7]).

One may note that, whereas in (1) each of the random summands \( \xi_1 x_1, \ldots, \xi_n x_n, \xi_1 x_1, \ldots, \xi_n x_n \) is distributed on a straight line through the origin, each of the random summands \( a_1 U_1, \ldots, a_n U_n, b_1 U_1, \ldots, b_n U_n \) in (6) is uniformly distributed on a sphere centered at the origin.

Since the distributions of the random vectors \( a_1 U_1 + \cdots + a_n U_n \) and \( b_1 U_1 + \cdots + b_n U_n \) are clearly spherically invariant, without loss of generality one may assume that the function \( f \) in (6) is spherically invariant as well, that is, \( f(x) \) depends on \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) only through \( \|x\| \). If \( f \) is indeed a spherically invariant bisubharmonic function, it then follows from (6) and [1, formulas (1.2), (1.3)] that

\[ E f(a U_1 + \cdots + a_n U_n) \leq E f(a Z_d), \quad (7) \]

where

\[ a := \sqrt{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2)/d}; \quad (8) \]

cf. [1, Corollary 1].
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Let $C^2_{\text{conv}}(H)$ denote the class of all spherically invariant twice differentiable functions $f$ from a Hilbert space $H$ to $\mathbb{R}$ whose second derivative $f''$ is convex in the sense that the function $H \ni x \mapsto f''(x; y, y)$ is convex for each $y \in H$, where $f''(x; y, y)$ is the value of the second derivative of the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto f(x + ty)$ at $t = 0$. The class $C^2_{\text{conv}}(H)$ was characterized in [12], with some applications. Clearly, $C^2_{\text{conv}}(\mathbb{R})$ coincides with the class $C^2_{\text{conv}}$ defined in the beginning of this note.

K. Oleszkiewicz conjectured [8] that

$$P(\|a_1 U_1 + \ldots + a_n U_n\| > u) \leq c P(\|Z_d\| > u)$$

for some universal constant $c$ and all real $u$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n, U_1, \ldots, U_n, Z_d$ are as before; clearly, (9) is a generalization of (4). This conjecture was proved in [8] with $c = 397$ based, in part, on the idea from [3].

Using inequality (2.6) in [9], one can improve the lower bound $1/397$ in [8, Lemma 1] to $1/e^2$ and thus improve the constant $c$ in (9) from 397 to $c^2 = 7.38 \ldots$. Indeed, let, as usual, $\Phi$ denote the standard normal distribution function. Then, by inequality (2.6) in [9], $g(d) := P(\|Z_d\| > \sqrt{d + 2}) > 1 - \Phi((\sqrt{d + 2} - \sqrt{d - 1})/\sqrt{2}) = g(d)$, which latter is clearly increasing in $d$, with $g(4) > 1/e^2$, whence $g(d) > 1/e^2$ for $d = 4, 5, \ldots$, whereas $g(2) = 1/e^2 < g(3)$. So, $P(\|Z_d\| > \sqrt{d + 2}) = g(d) > 1/e^2$ for $d = 2, 3, \ldots$. Similarly, $P(\|Z_d\| > \sqrt{d}) > 1/e$ for $d = 2, 3, \ldots$ (but a lower bound on $P(\|Z_d\| > \sqrt{d})$ is not really needed in the proof of the main result in [8]).

The aim of this note is to point out that, based on the generalized moment comparison (7) and results in [9, 10], one can further improve the constant $c$ in (9):

**Theorem 1.** Inequality (9) holds (for all real $u$) with $c$ as in (3). The strict version of (9), again with $c$ as in (3), also holds.

Our method is quite different from that of [8]. In view of (7), Theorem 1 is an immediate corollary of the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** For any function $h \in C^2_{\text{conv}}$, the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula $f(x) := h(\|x\|)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a spherically invariant bisubharmonic function.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\xi$ be any nonnegative r.v. such that

$$E h(\xi) \leq E h(\|Z_d\|) \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in C^2_{\text{conv}}.$$  

Then

$$P(\xi > u) < c_3 P(\|Z_d\| > u)$$

for all real $u$, with $c_3$ defined in (3).

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Let $U$ be as in (5) and then let $\varepsilon$ be a Rademacher r.v. independent of $U$. For all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$E f(y + U \sqrt{t}) = E f(y + \varepsilon U \sqrt{t}) = E h(\|y + \varepsilon U \sqrt{t}\|) = E E_U g_{U,h}(\beta_U + \varepsilon \sqrt{t}),$$

where $E_U$ denotes the conditional expectation given $U$, $g_{U,h}(u) := h(\sqrt{u^2 + b})$ for $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_U := y \cdot U$, and $h_U := \|y\|^2 - (y \cdot U)^2 \geq 0$, so that the r.v. $\varepsilon$ is independent of the pair $(b_U, \beta_U)$, which latter is a function of $U$. By [9, Lemma 3.1], $g_{\varepsilon,h} \in C^2_{\text{conv}}$ for each $h \in [0, \infty)$. Hence, by [14, Lemma 3.1] or [9, Proposition A.1], $E_U g_{U,h}(\beta_U + \varepsilon \sqrt{t})$ is convex in $t \in (0, \infty)$. So, in view of (12), $E f(y + U \sqrt{t})$ is convex in $t \in (0, \infty)$. Now it follows by (5) that the function $f$ is indeed bisubharmonic. That $f$ is spherically invariant is trivial.

**Proof of Lemma 2.** Taken almost verbatim, the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [9] (based on Theorem 2.3 in [9]) can also serve as a proof of Lemma 2. Indeed, no properties of the
r.v. $\varepsilon \Pi e^T$ were used in the proof of [9, Theorem 2.4] except that this nonnegative r.v. satisfies the inequality in [9, Theorem 2.3] with $A = I$ and $\xi = Z_n$, which can then be written as (10) with $\xi = \sqrt{\varepsilon \Pi e^T}$ and $d$ equal the rank of $\Pi$. (Note here a typo in [9]: in place of “Theorem 2.3” in line 7- on page 363 there, it should be “Theorem 2.4”.)

Instead of following the entire proof of [9, Theorem 2.4], one can alternatively reason as follows. Let $\xi$ be any nonnegative r.v. such that (10) holds. Then [9, Lemma 3.5] holds with $\xi^2$ in place of $\varepsilon \Pi e^T$. So, in view of [9, formula (3.11)] and (10, formula (22) in Theorem 3.11), inequality (11) holds for $u \geq \mu_r$, with $r := d$ and $\mu_r$ defined on page 362 in [9]. The cases $r^{1/2} \leq u \leq \mu_r$ and $0 \leq u \leq r^{1/2}$ are considered as was done at the end of the proof of [9, Lemma 3.6], starting at the middle of page 365 in [9]. The case $u < 0$ is trivial.

An immediate application of Theorem 1 is

**Corollary 1.** Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be any independent spherically invariant random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which are also independent of the Gaussian random vector $Z_d$. Then

$$P(\|X_1 + \cdots + X_n\| > u) < \frac{2e^3}{9} P(\sqrt{\|X_1\|^2 + \cdots + \|X_n\|^2} > u) \tag{13}$$

for all real $u$.

This corollary follows from Theorem 1 by the conditioning on $\|X_1\|, \ldots, \|X_n\|$, because for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the conditional distribution of the spherically invariant random vector $X_i$ given $\|X_i\| = a_i$ is the distribution of $a_i U_i$.

In the case when the independent spherically invariant random vectors $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are bounded almost surely by positive real numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_n$, respectively, one can obviously replace $\sqrt{\|X_1\|^2 + \cdots + \|X_n\|^2}$ in the bound in (13) by $b_1^2 + \cdots + b_n^2$. The resulting bound, but with the constant factor $397$ in place of $\frac{2e^3}{9} = 4.46 \ldots$, was obtained in [8].

Similarly to the extension (13) of inequality (9), one can extend (7) as follows:

$$E f(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) \leq E f(\sqrt{\|X_1\|^2 + \cdots + \|X_n\|^2} Z_d) \tag{14}$$

for any spherically invariant bisubharmonic function $f$, where $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are as in Corollary 1.

A related result was obtained in [6]: if $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are independent identically distributed spherically invariant random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $E h(\|X_1\|^2) \leq E h(\|Z_d\|^2)$ for all nonnegative convex functions $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, then

$$E \|a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n\|^p \leq E \|a Z_d \sqrt{d}\|^p \tag{15}$$

for real $p \geq 3$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n, a$ are as in (7)-(8).
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